

Chapter 1

Local setting for trace formulas

In this chapter we establish almost all needed local setting for Selberg trace formula and Kuznetsov trace formula for $G := \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ (where F is a local field) and their comparison.

Before go further, we need to fix some notation which we shall use in this chapter.

1.1 The p -adic case

In this section we work with a finite extension F of \mathbb{Q}_p where p is a certain odd prime number. The field F is then the field of fractions of a discrete valuation ring \mathcal{O} . Let \mathfrak{p} be the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} and $k = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ the residue field. Thus k is finite and of characteristic p . We shall denote the cardinality of k by q .

We choose one for all an uniformizer ϖ of \mathfrak{p} , that is, an element such that $\varpi\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}$. Every element $x \in F^\times$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$x = u\varpi^n$$

with $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Note that the integer n does not depend on the choice of ϖ .) The integer number n is called the valuation of x over F and is denoted by $v_F(x)$ (we shall drop the subindex F when the field is clear). The absolute value $|\cdot|_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$|x|_F = q^{-v_F(x)}, \forall x \in F^\times, \text{ and } |0|_F = 0$$

gives a metric on F . In the metric space topology, F is a complete, locally compact, totally disconnected (that is no nonempty subsets are connected except singleton sets), Hausdorff topological field.

The matrix ring $M_2(F) \simeq F^4$ of 2×2 matrices with entries in F carries the product topology, relative to which it is a locally compact, totally disconnected, Hausdorff topological ring. Since $\det : M_2(F) \rightarrow F$ is a polynomial in the matrix entries, \det is a continuous map. It implies that $\mathrm{GL}_2(F) = \det^{-1}(F^\times)$ ($F^\times = F \setminus \{0\}$ is an open subset of F) is an open subset of $M_2(F)$. We give $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ the topology it inherits as an open subset of $M_2(F)$. The inversion of matrices is continuous, so G is a locally compact, totally disconnected, Hausdorff topological group. In the terminology of [5] such a group is called an ℓ -**group**. From now on, we shall add ℓ -group beside G to indicate that a statement is true not only for $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ but also for any ℓ -group. The subgroups

$$K_0 = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}) := \{g \in M_2(\mathcal{O}) \mid \det(g)|_F = 1\}, \quad K_i = 1 + \varpi^i M_2(\mathcal{O}), \quad \forall i \geq 1$$

are compact open, and give a fundamental system of open neighborhood of the identity in G .

1.1.1 Smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$

A (continuous) **representation** (π, V) of an ℓ -group G consists of a topological \mathbb{C} -vector space V and a group homomorphism $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ from G to the group of invertible linear operators on V such that for each $v \in V$, the map

$$G \rightarrow V : g \mapsto \pi(g)v$$

is continuous. The space V is called the representation space of G . We may refer to the representation as π (when V is clear from the context), or we may just say V (when the action π is clear from the context). When V is equipped with the discrete topology, we obtain than a **smooth representation** of G . (Since the discrete topology on V is the finest topology on V , the smooth representation is continuous for any kind of topology on V .)

Lemma 1.1.1. *Let (π, V) be a representation of an ℓ -group G . The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The representation (π, V) is smooth.*
2. *For each $v \in V$, the map $\varphi_v : G \rightarrow V : g \mapsto \pi(g)v$ is **smooth**, i.e. locally constant.*
3. *For each $v \in V$, the set $\mathrm{Stab}_G(v) := \{g \in G \mid \pi(g)v = v\}$ is open in G .*
4. *For each $v \in V$, there exist an open compact subgroup K_v (depends on v) of G such that $\pi(K_v)v = v$.*

Proof. • (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). Since V is equipped with the discrete topology, a function $\varphi_v : G \rightarrow V$ is smooth if and only if it is continuous.

- (2) \Rightarrow (3). Since φ_v is locally constant, there exists an open neighborhood U of 1 (the unit element of G) such that $\pi(u)v = \pi(1)v = v$ for all $u \in U$. It implies that $U \subset \text{Stab}_G(v)$. Let $g \in \text{Stab}_G(v)$, we have $\pi(gu)v = \pi(g)(\pi(u)v) = \pi(g)v = v$ for all $u \in U$. Hence gU is an open neighborhood of g and is contained in $\text{Stab}_G(v)$. So the set $\text{Stab}_G(v)$ is open.
- (3) \Rightarrow (4). Since $1 \in \text{Stab}_G(v)$, the set $\text{Stab}_G(v)$ is an open neighborhood of 1 in G . Since G is an ℓ -group, there exist an open compact subgroup $K_v \subset \text{Stab}_G(v)$. For example for $\text{GL}_2(F)$, we choose i large enough such that $K_v := K_i = 1 + \varpi^i M_2(\mathcal{O}) \subset \text{Stab}_G(v)$. We have $\pi(K_v)v = v$.
- (4) \Rightarrow (2). For all $g \in G$, gK_v is an open neighborhood of g . Set $g' = gu \in gK_v$, we have $\varphi_v(g') = \pi(gu)v = \pi(g)(\pi(u)v) = \pi(g)v = \varphi_v(g)$. Hence, φ_v is locally constant.

□

Given a smooth representation (π, V) of an ℓ -group G , a subspace W of V is said to be **G -invariant** if for every $w \in W$ and every $g \in G$ we have $\pi(g)w \in W$.

If (π, V) and (π', V') are two representations of an ℓ -group G then we denote by $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi')$ the space of all linear maps $f : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $f(\pi(g)v) = \pi'(g)f(v)$ for all $v \in V$ and all $g \in G$. We say that π and π' are **equivalent** (or **isomorphic**) if $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi')$ contains an invertible element. In that case, we write $\pi \simeq \pi'$.

For every representation V of an ℓ -group G , a vector $v \in V$ is a **smooth vector** if its stabilizer $\text{Stab}_G(v)$ is open in G . We shall denote by V^{sm} the G -invariant subspace consisting of smooth vectors of V . By Lemma 1.1.1, V^{sm} is a smooth representation of G .

Let (π, V) be a representation of G . We denote by V^* the space of all linear forms on V . For every $v^* \in V^*$ and $g \in G$, we define $\pi^*(g)v^* \in V^*$ by

$$(\pi^*(g)v^*)(u) = v^*(\pi(g^{-1})u), \quad \forall u \in V.$$

Clearly, (π^*, V^*) is a representation of G . The dual representation V^* might not be smooth even if V is smooth. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be the G -invariant subspace $\tilde{V} = V^{*, \text{sm}}$ of π^* . The representation $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ is called the **contragredient** of (π, V) .

It doesn't like the representation theory of finite group; in general the representation $\tilde{\pi}$ is not equivalent to π . However, we shall soon see that this phenomena is true when we add some more condition to π .

A smooth representation (π, V) of an ℓ -group G is said to be **admissible** if for every compact open subgroup K of G , the subspace $V^K := \{v \in V \mid \pi(K)(v) = v\}$ is finite dimensional. In the case $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, because $V^{gKg^{-1}} = \pi(g)(V^K)$ and all the maximal compact subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ are conjugate to K_0 , a smooth representation V is admissible if and only if V^K is finite dimensional for every open subgroup K of K_0 .

Proposition 1.1.2. *If a representation (π, V) of an ℓ -group G is admissible, then the representation $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ is also admissible. Futhermore, we have $\tilde{\pi} \simeq \pi$.*

Proof. Let K be a compact open subgroup of G . Since $\mathrm{Stab}_K(v) = \mathrm{Stab}_G(v) \cap K$ is open in K , we can consider V as a smooth representation of compact group K . Set

$$V(K) = \mathrm{Span}(\{\pi(g)(v) - v \mid g \in K, v \in V\}).$$

Observe that $V(K)$ and V^K are two K -invariant subspace of V . We make the following claim:

Claim: " $V = V^K \oplus V(K)$."

Assuming the claim for the time being we prove the proposition as follows. Let $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}^K$. By definition of \tilde{V}^K , we have

$$\tilde{v}(\pi(g)u - u) = \tilde{v}(\pi(g)(u)) - \tilde{v}(u) = \tilde{\pi}(g^{-1})(\tilde{v})(u) - \tilde{v}(u) = 0$$

for all $g \in K$ and $u \in V$. It implies that $\tilde{v}|_{V(K)} = 0$. Thus $\tilde{v} \in (V^K)^*$. By the admissibility of V , we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}((V^K)^*) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^K) < \infty$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{V}^K) < \infty$.

Now given $v^* \in (V^K)^*$, we extend \tilde{v} to an element of V^* by letting \tilde{v} equal to zero on $V(K)$. We shall prove that $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}^K$.

- Let $u \in V$. We have then $u = u^K + w$ where $u^K \in V^K$ and $w \in V(K)$. For all $g \in K$ we have

$$\tilde{\pi}(g)(\tilde{v})(u) = \tilde{v}(\pi(g^{-1})(u^K + w)) = \tilde{v}(u^K + \pi(g^{-1})(w)) = \tilde{v}(u^K) = \tilde{v}(u).$$

Thus \tilde{v} is invariant under the action of K .

- Assume that $g \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\pi^*}(\tilde{v})$ (we use this notation to show that we are considering the action of G via π^*). Then gK is an open neighborhood of g which is contained in $\mathrm{Stab}_{\pi^*}(\tilde{v})$. It implies that $\mathrm{Stab}_{\pi^*}(\tilde{v})$ is open.

We have shown that $(\tilde{V})^K = (V^K)^*$. It implies that

$$(\tilde{\tilde{V}})^K = ((\tilde{V})^K)^* = ((V^K)^*)^* \simeq V^K.$$

For each $u \in V$, we consider the linear map $f_u : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\tilde{v} \mapsto \tilde{v}(u)$. We have $\text{Stab}_\pi(u) \subset \text{Stab}_{(\tilde{\pi})^*}(f_u)$. Because $\text{Stab}_\pi(u)$ is open in G (since (π, V) is a smooth representation), it contains an open compact subgroup H of G . Let $g \in \text{Stab}_{(\tilde{\pi})^*}(f_u)$. Since gH is an open neighbourhood of g which is contained in $\text{Stab}_{(\tilde{\pi})^*}(f_u)$, then $\text{Stab}_{(\tilde{\pi})^*}(f_u)$ is open in G . Therefore f_u is an element of $\tilde{\tilde{V}}$.

We consider the natural map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \tilde{\tilde{V}}$, $v \mapsto f_v$. As above $\varphi|_{V^K}$ is an isomorphism between V^K and $(\tilde{\tilde{V}})^K$ for any open compact subgroup K of G .

- Let f be any element of $\tilde{\tilde{V}}$. Since $\text{Stab}_{(\tilde{\pi})^*}(f)$ is open in G , then there exists an open compact subgroup $H \subset \text{Stab}_{(\tilde{\pi})^*}(f)$. It implies that $f \in (\tilde{\tilde{V}})^H$. Since $\varphi|_{V^H}$ is an isomorphism between V^H and $\tilde{\tilde{V}}^H$, there exist then $v \in V^H \subset V$ such that $\varphi(v) = f$. Hence φ is an epimorphism.
- Assume that $\varphi(v) = \varphi(v')$. Because $\text{Stab}_\pi(v)$ and $\text{Stab}_\pi(v')$ are two open subgroups of G , the subgroup $\text{Stab}_\pi(v) \cap \text{Stab}_\pi(v')$ is also open in G . There exists then an open compact subgroup $H \subset \text{Stab}_\pi(v) \cap \text{Stab}_\pi(v')$. We have $v, v' \in V^H$. Since $\varphi|_{V^H}$ is an isomorphism between V^H and $\tilde{\tilde{V}}^H$, we have $v = v'$. Hence φ is injective.
- We have

$$\tilde{\tilde{\pi}}(g)(f_v)(\tilde{u}) = f_v(\tilde{\pi}(g^{-1})\tilde{u}) = (\tilde{\pi}(g^{-1})\tilde{u})(v) = \tilde{u}(\pi(g)v) = f_{\pi(g)v}(\tilde{u}).$$

It implies that $\varphi \circ \pi = \tilde{\tilde{\pi}} \circ \varphi$.

In conclusion, φ is an isomorphism between two representations (π, V) and $(\tilde{\tilde{\pi}}, \tilde{\tilde{V}})$. In other word,

$$\pi \simeq \tilde{\tilde{\pi}}.$$

It suffices now to prove the claim. Let v be any vector of V . Because $\text{Stab}_K(v)$ is open in K and K is compact and totally disconnected, the set $S := K/\text{Stab}_K(v)$ is finite. We have

$$v = \frac{1}{\#S} \sum_{g \in S} \pi(g)v - \frac{1}{\#S} \sum_{g \in S} (\pi(g)v - v).$$

It is easy to check that in the right hand side, the first factor is a vector of V^K and the second one is a vector of $V(K)$. Hence $V = V^K + V(K)$.

Now we prove that $\sum_{g \in S} \pi(g)v = 0$ if $v \in V(K)$. By definition of $V(K)$, it suffices to prove for $v = \pi(g_0)u - u$ for some $g_0 \in K$ and $u \in V$. In fact, we have:

$$\sum_{g \in S} \pi(g)v = \sum_{g \in S} \pi(g)(\pi(g_0)u - u) = \sum_{g \in S} \pi(g)v - \sum_{g \in S} \pi(gg_0)u = 0.$$

The last equation is a consequence of the fact that gg_0 runs through all the equivalent classes of $K/\text{Stab}_K(v)$. Therefore, if $v \in V^K \cap V(K)$, we have then

$$v = \frac{1}{\#S} \sum_{g \in S} \pi(g)v = 0.$$

Thus $V^K \cap V(K) = 0$. □

From the definition of a contragredient representation, it is easy to check that the canonical non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle v, v^* \rangle = v^*(v)$ on $V \times \tilde{V}$ satisfies

$$\langle \pi(v), \tilde{\pi}(v^*) \rangle = \langle v, v^* \rangle.$$

A very natural question is that do a non-degenerate bilinear form invariant under the action of G defines a contragredient representation? The answer is yes in the case when π is admissible. More precisely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1.3. *Let (π, V) be an admissible representation. Assume that there exists another admissible representation (π', V') and a non-degenerate bilinear form $\phi : V \times V' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\phi(\pi(g)(v), \pi'(g)(v')) = \phi(v, v').$$

Then $(\pi', V') \simeq (\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$.

Proof. Denote $\varphi(v') = \phi(\cdot, v') \in V^*$ for all $v' \in V'$. We have

$$\tilde{\pi}(g)(\varphi(v'))(v) = \phi(v')(\pi(g^{-1}v)) = \phi(\pi(g^{-1}v), v') = \phi(v, \pi'(g)v'). \quad (1.1.1)$$

Since ϕ is non-degenerate, then $\text{Stab}_{\tilde{\pi}}\varphi(v') = \text{Stab}_{\pi'}(v')$. In other words, $\varphi(v')$ is a smooth vector in V^* .

We consider a homomorphism

$$V' \rightarrow \tilde{V} \quad v' \mapsto \varphi(v').$$

We now prove that this homomorphism is G -isomorphic.

- The identity (1.1.1) implies that

$$\tilde{\pi}(g)(\varphi(v')) = \varphi(\pi'(g)v') \quad \forall g \in G, v' \in V'.$$

- Since ϕ is non-degenerate, $\varphi(v') = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$. Hence φ is injective.
- Let $\xi \in \tilde{V}$. Take K be a compact subgroup contained in $\text{Stab}_{\tilde{\pi}}(\xi)$. We have then $\xi \in \tilde{V}^K$. By the admissibility of π, π' and non-degenerateness of ϕ , we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^K) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}((V')^K) < \infty$. Using the proof Proposition 1.1.2, we also have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^K) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{V}^K) < \infty$. Thus $\varphi_{|(V')^K}$ is an isomorphism. In other word, there exists $\xi' \in V'$ such that $\varphi(\xi') = \xi$.

□

A smooth representation (π, V) of an ℓ -group G is said to be **irreducible** if the only G -invariant subspaces of V are 0 and V itself.

Lemma 1.1.4 (Schur's lemma). *Let (π, V) be an irreducible admissible representation of an ℓ -group G . Then we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi)) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi)$. We take an arbitrary $v \in V$. Using Lemma 1.1.1, there exist an open compact subgroup K such that $v \in V^K$. By definition of A we have $\pi(g)(Au) = A(\pi(g)u) = Au$ for all $g \in K$ and all $u \in V^K$. Thus $A|_{V^K}$ is a linear homomorphism from V^K to itself. Moreover V^K is a finite dimensional space, since (π, V) is admissible. Thus, $A|_{V^K}$ is an automorphism of the finite dimensional space V^K . Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be a proper value of A . Then there exist $v \neq 0$ such that $Av = \lambda.v$. Denote by $V' := \{v \in V | Av = \lambda.v\}$ the proper subspace w.r.t the proper value λ of V . It is easily seen that V' is a G -invariant subspace of V . By the irreducibility of V , we have $V' = V$. It follows that $A = \lambda \mathbf{1}_V$ (here $\mathbf{1}_V$ is the identity automorphism of V). □

Corollary 1.1.5. *Let $Z = \{g \in G | g'.g = g.g', \forall g' \in G\}$ be the center of G . If (π, V) is an irreducible admissible representation of an ℓ -group G , there exists then a **quasicharacter** (that is, a smooth one-dimensional representation) χ_{π} of Z such that $\pi(z) = \chi_{\pi}(z).\mathbf{1}_V$ for all $z \in Z$. (This χ_{π} is called the **central quasi-character** of π .)*

Proof. Let z be any element of Z . We have

$$\pi(g)\pi(z) = \pi(gz) = \pi(zg) = \pi(z)\pi(g)$$

for all $g \in G$. It implies that $\pi(z) \in \text{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi)$. By the Schur's lemma, there exists $c_z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\pi(z) = c_z \cdot \mathbf{1}_V$. We denote by

$$\chi_\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad z \mapsto c_z.$$

It is easy to check that χ_π is a group homomorphism and $\pi(z) = \chi_\pi(z) \cdot \mathbf{1}_V$. Finally, let v be a non-zero of V . By Lemma 1.1.1, there exists an open compact subgroup K of G such that $v \in V^K$. We have $v = \pi(z)v = \chi_\pi(z)v$ for all $z \in K \cap Z$. It implies that $\chi_\pi(z) = 1$ for all $z \in K \cap Z$. Thus for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$ the map $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \chi_\pi(z)c$ is locally constant. By loc. cit., χ_π is a smooth representation of Z . \square

Lemma 1.1.6. *Let (π, V) be an admissible representation of an ℓ -group G and $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ its contragredient. Then (π, V) is irreducible if and only if $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Assume that $0 \neq U$ is a G -invariant subspace of V . Let W be a subspace of V such that $V = U \oplus W$ (W does not need to be G -invariant). Each element $\lambda \in U^*$ can be extended to an element of V by letting $\lambda(w) = 0$ for all $w \in W$. In this sense, we can view U^* as a G -invariant subspace of V^* . Then \tilde{U} is a G -invariant subspace of \tilde{V} . Moreover, by Proposition 1.1.2, $\tilde{U} \neq 0$ (otherwise $0 = \tilde{U} \simeq U$). Thus \tilde{U} is a non-zero G -invariant subspace of \tilde{V} . The lemma is a direct consequence of this argument and loc. cit.. For example, to prove that (π, V) is irreducible if $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ is irreducible, we do as follows.

Assume that (π, V) is reducible. There exists then a non-zero proper G -invariant subspace U of V . By irreducibility of \tilde{V} , we have $\tilde{U} = \tilde{V}$. Now, using loc. cit., we have $U \simeq \tilde{\tilde{U}} = \tilde{\tilde{V}} \simeq V$ (contradictory). \square

One of the main goal of this chapter is to classify irreducible admissible representations of G . The finite dimensional admissible irreducible smooth representations of G are not very interesting. Each is a one dimensional space on which the element of G acts by scalar. This is the content of Proposition 1.1.8. The proof of this proposition requires the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1.7. *The matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $x, y \in F$ generate $\text{SL}_2(F)$*

Proof. Every $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(F)$ can be written in the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On other hand, if $c \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c^{-1}a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -c & 0 \\ 0 & -c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c^{-1}d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Those identities imply that $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ is generated by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, with $x, y \in F$ and $z \in F^\times$.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z^{-1} - 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z - 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $z \in F^\times$. The proof of the lemma is a direct consequence of the above two identities. \square

Proposition 1.1.8. *A finite admissible irreducible of G is one dimensional. Moreover, it is of the form $g \rightarrow \chi(\det(g))$ for some quasi-character χ of F^\times .*

Proof. Let (π, V) be a finite dimensional irreducible admissible representation of G . Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V . By Lemma 1.1.1, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists an open compact subgroup $K_i \subset G$ that stabilises v_i . We denote by K the intersection of K_i . Then K is an open compact subgroup of G and fixes V . So the kernel $H := \ker(\pi)$ of the representation contains a compact open subgroup. In other word, H is a non-trivial open normal subgroup of G .

Now let $x \in F$ be arbitrary. We choose $b \in F$ such that $|bx|_F$ is sufficient small so that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & bx \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & bx \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H.$$

Similarly, we also can show that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H$ for all $y \in F$. It immediately follows from Lemma 1.1.7 that $\mathrm{SL}_2(F) \subset H$. Note that $gg_1g^{-1}g_1^{-1} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ for all $g, g_1 \in G$. Thus $\pi(g)\pi(g_1) = \pi(g_1)\pi(g)$ for all $g, g_1 \in G$. It implies that $\pi(g) \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi)$. By the Schur's lemma, there exists $\delta_g \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\pi(g) = \delta_g \cdot \mathbf{1}_V$.

We consider the subspace $V_1 := \mathbb{C}v_1$ generated by the vector v_1 of V . For any $g \in G$, $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $\pi(g)(kv_1) = k\delta_g v_1 \in V_1$. It implies that V_1 is a G -invariant subspace of V . By the irreducibility of V , we have $V = V_1$. We have shown that the dimension of V is one.

Now we consider $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ being a smooth representation of G . We define an application $\chi : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\chi(z) = \pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

It is clear that χ is a quasi-character of F^\times and

$$\pi(g) = \chi(\det(g)), \forall g \in G.$$

□

Corollary 1.1.9. *Any quasi-character of G is of the form $\phi \circ \det$, for some quasi-character ϕ of F^\times .*

1.1.2 Haar measures and the Hecke algebra

Let G be an ℓ -group. Let $C_c^\infty(G)$ be the space of functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are locally constant and of compact support. The group G acts on $C_c^\infty(G)$ by *left* and *right translation* by the formulas

$$\ell_x f(y) = f(x^{-1}y), \text{ and } r_x f(y) = f(yx).$$

Local constancy and compactness of support of function in $C_c^\infty(G)$ imply that both of the G -representations $(C_c^\infty(G), \ell)$, $(C_c^\infty(G), r)$ are smooth.

Definition 1.1.10. A *left invariant distribution* on G is a linear form $\xi : C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\xi(\ell_x f) = \xi(f)$ for all $x \in G$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

A *left Haar distribution* on G is a non-zero left invariant distribution ξ such that $\xi(f) \geq 0$ whenever $f \geq 0$.

We can also define a *right invariant distribution* (resp. *right Haar distribution*) similarly, using right translation r instead of left translation ℓ .

Proposition 1.1.11. *There exists a left Haar distribution $I : C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the space of left invariant distributions on G is one dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space.*

Proof. Let K be a compact open subgroup of G , we denote by $C_c^\infty(G)^K$ the space of functions in $C_c^\infty(G)$ that are right invariant under K . The $(C_c^\infty(G)^K, \ell)$ is then a smooth representation of G .

Lemma 1.1.12. *Viewing \mathbb{C} as the trivial G -representation, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hom}_G(C_c^\infty(G/K), \mathbb{C})) = 1.$$

There exists a non-zero element $I_K \in \text{Hom}_G(C_c^\infty(G/K), \mathbb{C})$ such that $I_K(f) \geq 0$ whenever $f \geq 0$. If 1_K is the characteristic function of K , then $I_K(1_K) > 0$.

Proof. The space $C_c^\infty(G/K)$ has a basis 1_{xK} consisting of characteristic function of right cosets xK . A linear form $\xi : C_c^\infty(G/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is G -invariant if and only if $\xi(1_{xK}) = \xi(1_K)$ for all $x \in G$. In other words, the map $\text{Hom}_G(C_c^\infty(G/K), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\xi \mapsto \xi(1_K)$ is an isomorphism. In particular $\text{Hom}_G(C_c^\infty(G/K), \mathbb{C})$ is one dimensional.

The linear form $I_K : 1_{xK} \mapsto 1$ has the required properties. \square

We choose a descending sequence $\{K_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of normal compact open subgroup K_i of G such that $\bigcap_i K_i = 1$ (due to van Dantzig's lemma, there always exists this kind of sequence - in the case when $G = \text{GL}_2(F)$ we can choose $K_i = 1 + \varpi^i M_2(\mathcal{O})$ for all $i \geq 1$). We have then:

$$C_c^\infty(G) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} C_c^\infty(G/K_i).$$

For each $i \geq 1$, there is a unique left G -invariant linear form $I_i : C_c^\infty(G/K_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which maps the characteristic function of K_i to $(\#(K_1/K_i))^{-1}$. Since the restriction of I_{i+1} on $C_c^\infty(G/K_i)$ is I_i , the form $I : C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $I(f) = I_i(f)$ whenever $f \in C_c^\infty(G/K_i)$ is well-defined. The statements of Proposition are immediate. \square

Proposition 1.1.13. *content...*

Let H be a closed subgroup of G with module δ_H . Let $\theta : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character of H . We consider the space $C_c^\infty(H \backslash G, \theta) = c - \text{Ind}_H^G \theta$, i.e the space of functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are G -smooth under right translation, compactly supported modulo H , and satisfy

$$f(hg) = \theta(h)f(g), \quad h \in H, g \in G.$$

Proposition 1.1.14. *Let $\delta_{H \backslash G}(h) = \delta_H(h)^{-1} \delta_G(h)$, $h \in H$. There exist a non-zero linear functional $I_{H \backslash G} : C_c^\infty(H \backslash G, \delta_{H \backslash G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ having the following two properties:*

- (1) $I_{H \backslash G}(r_g(f)) = I_{H \backslash G}(f)$, for all $f \in C_c^\infty(H \backslash G, \delta_{H \backslash G})$ and all $g \in G$.
- (2) If $g \in G$, K is a compact open subgroup of G , and $f \in C_c^\infty(H \backslash G, \delta_{H \backslash G})^K$ is supported on the double coset HgK , then $I_{H \backslash G}$ is a positive multiple of $f(g)$.

Proof. Let μ_G, μ_H be left Haar measures on G, H respectively. For each $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, we define $\tilde{f} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\tilde{f}(g) := \int_H \delta_G(h)^{-1} f(hg) d\mu_H(h).$$

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}(h_1g) &= \int_H \delta_G(h)^{-1} f(hh_1g) d\mu_H(h) \\
&= \delta_{H \setminus G}(h_1) \int_H \delta_G(hh_1)^{-1} f(hh_1g) \delta_H(h_1) d\mu_H(h) \\
&= \delta_{H \setminus G}(h_1) \int_H \delta_G(hh_1)^{-1} f(hh_1g) d\mu_H(hh_1) \\
&= \delta_{H \setminus G}(h_1) \tilde{f}(g)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $h_1 \in H$. Since the support of f is compact, the support of \tilde{f} is compact modulo H . If K is a compact open subgroup of G such that $f(gk) = f(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and $k \in K$, then $\tilde{f}(gk) = \tilde{f}(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and $k \in K$. Hence $\tilde{f} \in C_c^\infty(H \setminus G, \theta)$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{g_1}(\tilde{f})(g) &= \tilde{f}(gg_1) = \int_H \delta_G(h)^{-1} f(hgg_1) d\mu_H(h) \\
&= \widetilde{r_{g_1}(f)}(g).
\end{aligned}$$

It implies that the map $(C_c^\infty(G), r) \rightarrow (C_c^\infty(H \setminus G, \delta_{H \setminus G}), r)$ which sends f to \tilde{f} is a G -homomorphism.

This homomorphism satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\ell_{h_1}(f)}(g) &= \int_H \delta_G(h)^{-1} f(h_1^{-1}hg) d\mu_H(h) \\
&= \delta_G(h_1)^{-1} \tilde{f}(g)
\end{aligned}$$

for $h_1 \in H$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. We now prove that it is surjective.

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(H \setminus G, \theta)$. Then there exists a compact open subgroup K of G such that $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(H \setminus G, \theta)^K$ (the subspace of $C_c^\infty(H \setminus G, \theta)$ which is invariant under the action of K). Since φ has compact support modulo H , there exist $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G/K$ such that $\varphi(g) = 0$ if $g \notin \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n Hg_iK$. We define a function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows

$$f(g_ik) = \text{vol}(H \cap g_iK g_i^{-1}) \varphi(g_i)$$

and $f(g) = 0$ for $g \notin \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n g_iK$. By definition, $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}(h_1g_ik) &= \theta(h_1) \tilde{f}(g_ik) = \theta(h_1) \int_H (\theta \delta_H)(h)^{-1} f(hg_ik) d\mu_H(h) \\
&= \theta(h_1) \int_{H \cap g_iK g_i^{-1}} (\theta \delta_H)(h)^{-1} \text{vol}(H \cap g_iK g_i^{-1}) \varphi(g_i) d\mu_H(h).
\end{aligned}$$

□

1.1.3 Parabolic induction and Jacquet module

One of the way to construct representations of G is to induce representations from smaller subgroups. In this section, we induce the representations of B which are trivial on its nilpotent subgroup N . Non-trivial characters on N (Whittaker functionals) are also interesting. They will be studied in Section 1.1.6.

Definition 1.1.15. Let (σ, W) be a smooth representation of T . We consider the space $\text{Ind}_B^G W$ of smooth functions $f : G \rightarrow W$ which satisfy

$$f \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} g \right) = \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right|^{1/2} \sigma \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} g \right) f(g).$$

We define a homomorphism $\text{Ind}_B^G \sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Ind}_B^G W)$ by

$$\text{Ind}_B^G \sigma(g)f : x \mapsto f(xg), \quad x, g \in G.$$

The pair $(\text{Ind}_B^G \sigma, \text{Ind}_B^G W)$ provides a smooth representation of G . It is called the (*normalized*) *parabolic induction* of σ .

Remark 1.1.16. (1) Due to Iwasawa decomposition $G = BK_0$, the subspace $c - \text{Ind}_B^G \sigma$ of smooth functions $f \in \text{Ind}_B^G \sigma$ which are *compactly supported modulo B* (this means that the image of the support of f in $B \backslash G$ is compact) is the whole space $\text{Ind}_B^G \sigma$. In other word, $\text{Ind}_B^G \sigma$ is also the compact induction of σ .

(2) Let $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$ be a quasi-character of T . The representation $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ is called the *principal series representation* of G .

Lemma 1.1.17. *The principal series $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ is admissible.*

Proof. Let K be a compact open subgroup of G . We may assume that $K \subset K_0$ (since all the maximal compact subgroups of G are conjugate to K_0). Since $G = BK_0$ (Iwasawa decomposition) and K_0/K is finite, the set of double cosets $B \backslash G/K$ is also finite. By definition, a function $f \in \text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ is defined uniquely by its image over the set of double cosets $B \backslash G/K$. Hence,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}((\text{Ind}_B^G \chi)^K) < \infty.$$

□

The character $\delta_B^{1/2} : \text{diag}(a_1, a_2) \mapsto \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right|^{1/2}$ was introduced so that $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ preserves unitarity.

Proposition 1.1.18. *If χ is unitary then $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ has a natural G -invariant Hermitian inner product, defined by $\|f\|^2 = \int_{K_0} |f(k)|^2 dk$.*

Definition 1.1.19. Let (V, π) be a smooth representation of G . Let

$$V(N) := \text{Span}(\{\pi(n)v - v | n \in N, v \in V\}).$$

This $V(N)$ is an N -invariant subspace of V . Let $V_N = V/V(N)$ the largest quotient of V on which N acts trivially. Because N is invariant under T , the V_N inherits a representation π_N of $B/N = T$ (can be also viewed as a representation of B which is trivial on N), which is smooth. The (*normalized*) *Jacquet module* $\text{Jac}_B^G \pi$ or $\text{Jac}_B^G(V)$ is the representation $(\pi_N \otimes \delta_B^{-1/2}, V_N)$ of B .

Theorem 1.1.20 (Frobenius reciprocity). *For any smooth representation (π, V) (resp. (σ, W)) of G (resp. T) we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \text{Ind}_B^G \sigma) \simeq \text{Hom}_T(\text{Jac}_B^G \pi, \sigma).$$

Corollary 1.1.21. *Let (π, V) be an irreducible smooth representation of G . If $\text{Jac}_B^G(V) \neq 0$ (equivalently, $V_N \neq 0$) then V embeds in a principal series representation of G (i.e in a $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ for some quasi-character χ of T).*

Let w_0 be the longest Weyl element of G , i.e

$$w_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each smooth representation σ of T , we define the representation $\sigma^{w_0} : t \mapsto \sigma(w_0 t w_0^{-1})$, and view it as a representation of B which is trivial on N .

Lemma 1.1.22 (Restriction-Induction Lemma). *Let (σ, W) be a smooth representation of T . There is an exact sequence of representations of T :*

$$0 \rightarrow \delta_B^{-1/2} \otimes \sigma^{w_0} \rightarrow \text{Jac}_B^G \text{Ind}_B^G \sigma \xrightarrow{\alpha_\sigma} \delta_B^{1/2} \otimes \sigma \rightarrow 0,$$

where α_σ is the canonical T -map $\text{Jac}_B^G(\text{Ind}_B^G(W)) \rightarrow W$ defined by $f \mapsto f(1)$.

Theorem 1.1.23 (Irreducibility Criterion). *Let $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$ be a quasicharacter of T .*

- (1) *The representation $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ is irreducible unless $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$.*
- (2) *If $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|$ then $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ contains an irreducible admissible G -subspace of codimension 1.*

- (3) If $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|^{-1}$ then $\text{Ind}_B^G\chi$ contains a 1-dimensional G -subspace whose quotient is irreducible.

Theorem 1.1.24 (Classification theorem). *Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of G . Then π is equivalent to one of the following disjoint types:*

- (1) the irreducible induced representations $\text{Ind}_B^G\chi$, where $\chi \neq \phi \otimes \delta_B^{\pm 1/2}$ for any quasi-character ϕ of F^\times ;
- (2) the special representations $\chi \otimes \text{St}_G$, where χ ranges over the quasi-characters of F^\times ;
- (3) the cuspidal representations;
- (4) the 1-dimensional representations $\chi \circ \det$, where χ ranges over the quasi-characters of F^\times .

Moreover

- (a) in (1), we have $\text{Ind}_B^G\chi \simeq \text{Ind}_B^G\psi$ if and only if $\psi = \chi$ or χ^ω ;
- (b) in (2), we have $\chi \otimes \text{St}_G \simeq \chi' \otimes \text{St}_G$ if and only if $\chi = \chi'$;
- (c) in (4), we have $\chi \circ \det \simeq \chi' \circ \det$ if and only if $\chi = \chi'$.

1.1.4 Cuspidal representations

Let E/F be a separable quadratic extension of local field F . We fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi = \psi_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then $\psi_E = \psi_F \circ \text{tr}_{E/F}$ is a non-trivial additive character of E . Let $C_c^\infty(E)$ be the space of complex valued smooth functions of compact support on E . Given $f \in C_c^\infty(E)$, define the *Fourier transform* $\hat{f} \in C_c^\infty(E)$ by

$$\hat{f}(y) = \int_E f(x)\psi_E(xy)dx,$$

where dx is the self-dual measure with respect to ψ_E on E (i.e dx is the normalized Haar measure so that $\hat{\hat{f}}(x) = f(-x)$). Since dx is self-dual, we have then the *Fourier inversion formula*

$$f(x) = \int_E \hat{f}(y)\widetilde{\psi_E(xy)}dy.$$

Lemma 1.1.25 (Weil constant). *There exist a constant $\gamma(\psi_F, E)$ such that for every function $\phi \in C_c^\infty(E)$*

$$\int_E (\phi * f)(x)\psi_E(xy)dx = \gamma(\psi_F, E)f^{-1}(\iota(y))\hat{f}(y).$$

1.1.5 Kloosterman integrals and Shalika germs

In this section, we shall prove the existence of Shalika germs for **orbital (Kloosterman) integrals** which are appeared in the geometric side of Kuznetsov trace formula for GL_2 . The main reference for this subsection is [10, 12]. (In these loc. cit. Jacquet and Ye proved the existence of Shalika germs for a more general orbital integrals which are appeared in the geometric side of Kuznetsov trace formula for GL_r).

For a convenience, we recall the definition of the orbital integral. Let G be the group GL_2 viewed as an algebraic group over F . We often write G for $G(F)$. We denote by $C_c^\infty(G)$ the space of complex valued, locally constant functions of compact support on G . Let Z be the center of G . Let W be the Weyl group of G . Let T be the subgroup of diagonal matrices of G and N the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices with unit diagonal. We fix a non-trivial additive quasi-character ψ of F and define a character $\theta : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by the formula

$$\theta(u) = \psi(n_{1,2}),$$

where $n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n_{1,2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The Kloosterman integrals of a function $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ which we want to study are the functions:

$$I(g, f) = \int f({}^t n_1 g n_2) \theta(n_1 n_2) dn_1 dn_2.$$

Here g is a *relevant element*, i.e g satisfies a condition that $\theta(n_1 n_2) = 1$ if ${}^t n_1 g n_2 = g$. The integral is taken over the quotient of $N(F) \times N(F)$ by the subgroup N^g of elements (n_1, n_2) of $N(F) \times N(F)$ satisfying ${}^t n_1 g n_2 = g$.

Lemma 1.1.26. *Let $N \times N$ operate on G by $(n_1, n_2).g = {}^t n_1 g n_2$. Then any relevant orbit of $N \times N$ contains a unique representative of the form wt with $w \in R(G) := \left\{ e := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, w_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and*

$$t \in T_w := \begin{cases} T & \text{if } w = e, \\ Z & \text{if } w = w_0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $w \in R(G)$. Let M_w be the standard Levi subgroup such that w is the longest element of $M_w \cap W$. Let $P_w = M_w U_w$ be the standard parabolic subgroup which has Levi factor M_w . Set $V_w = N \cap M_w$. For every $t \in T_w$, (by an elementary matrix calculation) we have

$$N^{wt} = N^w = \{(n_1, n_2) \in V_w^2 | n_2 = w^t n_1^{-1} w\}.$$

Lemma 1.1.27. *Any point of the orbit of wt under the action of $N(F) \times N(F)$ can be uniquely written in the following form*

$$\mu(u_1, v, u_2) = u_1 w t v u_2$$

with $u_i \in U_w$ and $v \in V_w$.

Proof. Since $N \subset P_w$, by using the Levi decomposition for elements of N , we can rewrite any element of the orbit of wt under the action of $N(F) \times N(F)$ as below:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t n_1 w t n_2 &= {}^t u_1 {}^t v_1 w t v_2 u_2 \\ &= {}^t u_1 [{}^t v_1 w t (w {}^t v_1^{-1} w)] [(w {}^t v_1 w) v_2] u_2 \\ &= {}^t u_1 w t v u_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here $u_i \in U_w$, $v_i \in V_w$, $v \in V_w$ such that $v_1 u_1 = n_1$, $v_2 u_2 = n_2$ and $v = (w {}^t v_1 w) v_2$. The last identity follows $({}^t v_1, w {}^t v_1^{-1} w) \in N^w$.

Suppose that ${}^t u_1 w t v u_2 = {}^t u'_1 w t v' u'_2$ with $u_i, u'_i \in U_w$ and $v, v' \in V_w$. We have then

$${}^t (u_1^{-1} u'_1) w t (v' u'_2 u_2^{-1} v^{-1}) = w t.$$

Hence, $v' u'_2 u_2^{-1} v^{-1} \in V_w$ and ${}^t (u_1^{-1} u'_1) \in V_w$. It implies that $\{u'_2 u_2^{-1}, u_1^{-1} u'_1\} \subset U_w \cap V_w = \{e\}$. Thus $u_i = u'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$. As a consequence, we have $v = v'$. \square

Since the orbits of $N(F) \times N(F)$ are closed, the map μ is an isomorphism of $U_w(F) \times V_w(F) \times U_w(F)$ onto the orbit of wt . Recall that we let dx be the self-dual Haar measure on F with respect to the fixed non-trivial additive character ψ . If α is a root let X_α be the corresponding root vector in the Lie algebra of N (entry at α is 1, the other entries are 0). If U is a subgroup of N generated by a set of roots S (i.e $U = \{u = 1 + \sum_{\alpha \in S} x_\alpha X_\alpha\}$) we set $du = \otimes_{\alpha \in S} dx_\alpha$. We take for invariant measure on the orbit of wt the product measure $du_1 dv du_2$. Thus

$$I(wt, f) = \int_{U_w(F) \times V_w(F) \times U_w(F)} f({}^t u_1 w t v u_2) \theta(u_1 u_2) \theta(v) du_1 dv du_2. \quad (1.1.2)$$

Since the orbit is closed, for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, the integral on the right hand side has compact support. Thus the integral converges and define a smooth function on $T_w(F)$ which send $t \in T_w(F)$ to $I(wt, f)$.

We denote by $T_w^{w_0} := \{t \in T_{w_0} \mid \det(w_0 t) = \det(w)\}$ for each $w \in R(G)$. For instance, the set $T_e^{w_0}$ is the set of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & -z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 1.1.28. *There is a locally constant function $K_e^{w_0}$ on $T_e^{w_0}$ satisfying the following properties. For each function $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, there is a function $\omega \in C_c^\infty(T_e)$ such that*

$$I(et, f) = \omega(t) + \sum_{(b,c)} K_e^{w_0}(b) I(w_0c, f).$$

The sum is taken over the finite set

$$\{(b, c) \in T_e^{w_0} \times T_{w_0} | bc = t\}.$$

Proof. Let $G_1 = \{g \in G | \det(g) = \det(w_0)\}$. We have $w_0T_{w_0} \cap G_1 = w_0T_{w_0}^{w_0}$, a finite set. If w_0t where $t \in T_{w_0}$ is in G_1 , then the scalar matrix $t = \text{diag}(z, z)$ verifies $z^2 = 1$. We can choose $f_0 \in C_c^\infty(G)$ such that $I(w_0, f_0) = 1$ and $I(w_0 \text{diag}(z, z), f_0) = 0$ if $z \neq 1$ and z is a square-root of 1 in F . (For example, we can choose $f_0 = \phi_m$ with m large enough as in Lemma 1.1.29 below.)

We define a function $K_e^{w_0}$ on $T_e^{w_0}$ by

$$K_e^{w_0}(t) = I(et, f_0).$$

We define a function f_1 on G by the formula

$$f_1(g) = \sum_{(g_1, c)} f_0(g_1) I(w_0c, f),$$

where the sum is over the finite set

$$S_g := \{(g_1, c) \in G_1 \times T_{w_0} | g_1c = g\}.$$

It is a smooth function on G .

For $t \in T_e$, we consider all possible decompositions

$${}^t n_1 e t n_2 = g_1 c,$$

with $g_1 \in G_1$ and $c \in T_{w_0}$. Since c is in the centre of G , we can write

$$g_1 = {}^t n_1 e t c^{-1} n_2 = {}^t n_1 e b n_2$$

where $b = t c^{-1} \in T_e^{w_0}$ (since $g_1 \in G_1$). Thus

$$f_1({}^t n_1 e t n_2) = \sum_{(b,c)} f_0({}^t n_1 e b n_2) I(w_0c, f)$$

where the sum is over the finite set

$$\{(b, c) \in T_e^{w_0} \times T_{w_0} | bc = t\}.$$

Since c is in the centre of G , we have $N^{et} = N^{eb}$. After integrating two side of above identity over the quotient of $N(F) \times N(F)$ by the subgroup N^{et} , we obtain then

$$I(et, f_1) = \sum_{(b,c)} I(eb, f_0)I(w_0c, f) = \sum_{(b,c)} K_e^{w_0}(b)I(w_0c, f).$$

We define a function ω on T_e by the formula

$$\omega(t) = I(et, f) - I(et, f_1) = I(et, f - f_1).$$

It is a smooth function on T_e and we have

$$I(et, f) = \omega(t) + \sum_{(b,c)} K_e^{w_0}(b)I(w_0c, f).$$

□

Lemma 1.1.29. *Let $t = \text{diag}(z, z)$ with $z^2 = 1$ and ϕ_m a product of the characteristic function of the congruence group K_m and the scalar $\text{vol}(\mathfrak{p}^m)^{-1}$. For m large enough, we have then*

$$I(w_0t, \phi_m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } z = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Firstly, we calculate the integral $I(w_0, \phi)$. This integral has the form (cf. the formula (1.1.2))

$$I(w_0, \phi) = \int_F \phi \left(w_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi(x) dx$$

Now we take ϕ be a product of the characteristic function of w_0K_m and the scalar $\text{vol}(\mathfrak{p}^m)^{-1}$, this integral is equal to

$$\text{vol}(\mathfrak{p}^m)^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{p}^m} \psi(x) dx.$$

For m large enough (for example m is larger then the level of ψ), we have $\psi(x) = 1$. It implies that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{p}^m} \psi(x) dx = \text{vol}(\mathfrak{p}^m).$$

In consequence, the first assertion is proved.

Choosing m large enough such that $z \notin K_m$ for all z which satisfy $z^2 = 1$ and $z \neq 1$. We have then ${}^t n_1 w_0 t n_2 \notin w_0 K_m$ for all $(n_1, n_2) \in N_r(F) \times N_r(F)$. The second assertion follows. \square

Proposition 1.1.30. *The germ $K_e^{w_0}$ is given, for $|z|$ small enough, by*

$$K \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & -z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \left| \frac{1}{2z} \right|^{1/2} \psi \left(\frac{2}{z} \right) \gamma \left(\frac{2}{z}, \psi \right).$$

Proof. Let $f = \phi_m$ as in Lemma 1.1.29. The relation defining germ $K_e^{w_0}$ reads

$$I(t, \phi_m) = \omega_{\phi(m)}(t) + \sum_{(b,c)} K_e^{w_0}(b) I(w_0 c, \phi_m). \quad (1.1.3)$$

Since ω_{ϕ_m} is of compact support, for $|z|$ small enough we have

$$\omega_{\phi_m} \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & -z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Substituting $t = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & -z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ with $|z|$ small enough to (1.1.3) and using Lemma 1.1.29, we have then

$$\begin{aligned} K_e^{w_0} \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & -z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} &= I \left(\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & -z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \phi_m \right) \\ &= \int_{F \times F} \phi_m \begin{pmatrix} z & z x_1 \\ z x_2 & -z^{-1} + x_1 x_2 z \end{pmatrix} \psi(x_1 + x_2) dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned}$$

After changing x_1 to x_1/z and x_2 to x_2/z , the germ $K_e^{w_0}(\text{diag}(z, -z^{-1}))$ is equal to

$$|z|^{-2} \int_{F \times F} \phi_m \begin{pmatrix} z & x_1 \\ x_2 & -z^{-1} + z^{-1} x_1 x_2 \end{pmatrix} \psi \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{z} \right) dx_1 dx_2.$$

The integral is 0 unless $z \in \mathfrak{p}^m$. We can choose $|z|$ small enough such that $z \in \mathfrak{p}^m$. We see that then the integral is equal to

$$|z|^{-2} \text{vol}(\mathfrak{p}^m)^{-1} \int \psi \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{z} \right) dx_1 dx_2$$

integrated over the domain defined by:

$$x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^m} \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$$

$$x_1 x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{z\mathfrak{p}^m}.$$

We change variables and set

$$x_2 = t x_1^{-1},$$

where now the domain of integration is defined by:

$$x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^m}, t \equiv 1 \pmod{z\mathfrak{p}^m}.$$

(Since $z \in \mathfrak{p}^m$, the two conditions on x_1 and t guarantee that $t/x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^m}$.) After integrating over t the integral becomes

$$|z|^{-1} \int_{x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^m}} \psi\left(\frac{\phi}{z}\right) dx_1,$$

where the phase function ϕ is given by:

$$\phi = x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}.$$

We set $x_1 = 1 + v$ with $v \in \mathfrak{p}^m$. The phase function takes the form

$$\phi = 1 + v + \frac{1}{1 + v}.$$

The Taylor expansion of this function at the origin has the form

$$2 + v^2 + \text{higher degree terms.}$$

By the principle of the stationary phase there is a compact neighborhood Ω of 0 in F such that, for $|z|$ small enough, the integral is equal to

$$|z|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \psi\left(\frac{2 + v^2}{z}\right) dv = \left|\frac{1}{2z}\right|^{1/2} \psi\left(\frac{2}{z}\right) \gamma\left(\frac{2}{z}, \psi\right).$$

□

1.1.6 Kirillov models and Whittaker models

We fix a non-trivial character ψ of the additive group F . Let (π, V) is a representation of $G(F)$. Let $N = \left\{n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in F\right\}$, ψ defines a character ψ_N of N by

$$\psi_N(n) = \psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \psi(x).$$

Definition 1.1.31. • A **Kirillov model** for (π, V) is a sub- \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ of the space of \mathbb{C} -valued functions on F^\times , and an action $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ of $G(F)$ on $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ with the property that

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{k}} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (f)(x) = \psi(bx)f(ax) \quad \forall a, x \in F^\times, b \in F, f \in \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi),$$

such that the representation V and $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ are isomorphic.

- A **Whittaker model** for (π, V) is a sub- \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ of the space of locally constant \mathbb{C} -valued functions on G satisfying

$$f \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right) = \psi(x)f(g), \quad \forall g \in G, x \in F,$$

and an action of $G(F)$ on $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ defined by a right translation, i.e. $(g.f)(g') = f(g'g)$ such that the representation V and $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ are isomorphic.

Theorem 1.1.32 ([9, Theorem 1, p. 1.3]). *If (π, V) is an irreducible admissible infinite-dimensional representation of $G(F)$ then (π, V) has a unique Kirillov model $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$. Furthermore, every $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ is a locally constant function on F^\times and vanishes outside some compact subset of F . The space $C_c^\infty(F^\times)$ of locally constant functions on F^\times with compact support is a subspace of finite codimension of $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$.*

Proof. Assume that (π, V) has a Kirillov model $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$. Then the subspace \mathcal{K}_0 of $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ consisting of f such that $f(1) = 0$ has codimension 1. \square

Corollary 1.1.33. *If (π, V) is an irreducible admissible infinite-dimensional representation of $G(F)$ then (π, V) has a unique Whittaker model.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ be a Kirillov model for (π, V) . For every $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$, we consider the function

$$W_\kappa(g) = \pi_{\mathfrak{k}}(g)(\kappa)(e).$$

The vector space generated by $\{W_\kappa | \kappa \in \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)\}$ is a Whittaker model for (π, V) .

Let $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ be a Whittaker model for (π, V) . The vector space generated by $\{\kappa_W(x) = W(\text{diag}(x, 1)) | W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)\}$ is a Kirillov model for (π, V) .

Using the existence and uniqueness of the Kirillov model for irreducible admissible infinite-dimensional representation (cf. Theorem 1.1.32), we obtain then a proof for this corollary. \square

Definition 1.1.34. Let (π, V) be a representation of G . A ψ **Whittaker functional** on (π, V) is non-zero linear form $L : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$L(\pi(n)v) = \psi(n)L(v)$$

for all $n \in N$ and $v \in V$.

We have a relation between Whittaker functional and Whittaker model as follows: given a Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ define L by $L(v) = W_v(e)$ where e is the neutral element of G , W_v is the image of v via the G -isomorphism $V \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, and given a Whittaker functional L define $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ as the space of $W_v : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $g \mapsto L(\pi(g)v)$ when v runs through V . In other word, we have that to give a Whittaker functional (up to scalar multiples) is to give a Whittaker model and vice-versa.

Let $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $n(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Now let π be an irreducible admissible infinite-dimensional representation of $G(F)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ its corresponding Kirillov model. Since $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ is irreducible, it is generated by $\pi_{\mathfrak{t}}(g)C_c^\infty(F^\times)$. Moreover, $C_c^\infty(F^\times)$ is stable under the action of Borel subgroup of G , and $\pi_{\mathfrak{t}}(n(t)w)\kappa - \pi_{\mathfrak{t}}(w)\kappa$ belongs to $C_c^\infty(F^\times)$ for every $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ and every $t \in F$. Using Bruhat's decomposition, we obtain then

$$\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi) = C_c^\infty(F^\times) + \pi_{\mathfrak{t}}(w)C_c^\infty(F^\times).$$

Theorem 1.1.35 ([9, Theorem 2, p. 1.18]). *Let (π, V) be an infinite-dimensional irreducible admissible of $G(F)$. Then the contragredient $\tilde{\pi}$ of π is equivalent to $\chi_\pi^{-1} \otimes \pi$, where χ_π is the central character of π , and the Kirillov space $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1})$ is the set of function $x \mapsto \chi_\pi(x)^{-1}\kappa(x)$ with $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$. Furthermore the invariant duality between $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1})$ is given by the bilinear form $\langle \kappa, \eta \rangle$ such that*

$$\langle \kappa, \eta \rangle = \int \kappa_1(x)\eta(-x)d^\times x + \int \kappa_2(x)\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{t}}(w)\eta(-x)d^\times x$$

if $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \pi_{\mathfrak{t}}(w)\kappa_2$ with $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in C_c^\infty(F^\times)$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{K}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1})$.

1.1.7 Bessel distributions and Bessel functions

Let (π, V) be an infinite-dimensional irreducible admissible representation of G . Due to Corollary 1.1.33, there exists an unique (up to scalar multiples) ψ Whittaker functional $L : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let \tilde{L} be a ψ^{-1} Whittaker functional on the representation contragredient $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ to (π, V) . It follows from Theorem 1.1.35, we normalize \tilde{L} so that if $v \in V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$ are such that either

$x \mapsto L(\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1))v)$ or $x \mapsto \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v})$ has compact support in F^\times then

$$\tilde{v}(v) = \langle v, \tilde{v} \rangle = \int_{F^\times} L(\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1))v) \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v}) d^\times x.$$

(Note that $L(\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1))v) \in \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$ and $\tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{K}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1})$).

For $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ we define the linear functional $\rho(f)\tilde{L} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(\rho(f)\tilde{L})(\tilde{v}) = \int_G f(g) \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(g^{-1})\tilde{v}) dg, \quad \tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}. \quad (1.1.4)$$

It clear that $\rho(f)\tilde{L} \in \tilde{V}$ (i.e a smooth linear functional). Using the canonical isomorphism $\tilde{\pi} \simeq \pi$ (cf. Proposition 1.1.2), we can identify $\rho(f)\tilde{L}$ with a vector $v_{f, \tilde{L}} \in V$.

Definition 1.1.36 (Bessel distribution). Let (π, V) be an infinite-dimensional irreducible admissible representation of G . The (Gelfand-Kazhdan) Bessel distribution of π is the distribution $J_\pi : C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$J_\pi(f) = L(v_{f, \tilde{L}}).$$

Our main theorem in this section is the following:

Theorem 1.1.37. *There exists a locally integrable function j_π on G such that*

$$J_\pi(f) = \int_G j_\pi(g) f(g) dg, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(G).$$

The strategy to prove this Theorem is that:

- We firstly define the function j_π via the uniqueness of Whittaker model for π on the open Bruhat cell. (We follow the work of Soudry in [17]). This function is the *Bessel function* of π .
- We then prove that j_π is a locally integrable function and $J_\pi(f) = \tilde{J}_\pi(f) := \int_G j_\pi(g) f(g) dg$ for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. (We follow the work of Baruch in [1]).

Let N_m be the subgroup of N defined by

$$N_m := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid |x| \leq q^m \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ be the Whittaker model of (π, V) . Let $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$. We define $W_m : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$W_m(g) := \int_{N_m} W(gn)\psi^{-1}(n)dn. \quad (1.1.5)$$

Since W smooth and N_m compact, this function is well defined. We can easily verify that

$$W_m(ng) = \psi(n)W_m(g), \quad \forall n \in N, g \in G.$$

Lemma 1.1.38. *We have $W_m(\text{diag}(y, 1)) \in C_c^\infty(F^\times) \subset \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$.*

Proof. It easy to see that W_m is a smooth function.

We have

$$W \left(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = W \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & xy \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \psi(xy)W \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It implies that

$$W_m(\text{diag}(y, 1)) = W(\text{diag}(y, 1)) \cdot \int_{\varpi^{-m}\mathcal{O}} \psi(xy)dx.$$

Since

$$\int_{\varpi^{-m}\mathcal{O}} \psi(xy)dx = \begin{cases} q^m & \text{if } |y| \leq q^{-m-c}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where c is the conductor of ψ , W_m has a compact support. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 1.1.38, we have $W_m \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$.

Lemma 1.1.39. *If $g \in Bw_0B$ then there exists $m_0 = m_{0,g}$ such that $W_m(g) = W_{m_0}(g)$ for all $m \geq m_0$.*

Proof. We note that for any $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, $W(\text{diag}(y, 1)) \in \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi) = C_c^\infty(F^\times) + \pi_{\mathfrak{t}}(w)C_c^\infty(F^\times)$. Assume first that $W(\text{diag}(y, 1)) \in C_c^\infty(F^\times)$, then for a fixed $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Bw_0B$ (i.e $c \neq 0$), the function $W \left(g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ has a compact support in z . Indeed, let $|z|$ be so large that

$$\pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -(z + \frac{d}{c})^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) W = W$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
W\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) &= W\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -(z + \frac{d}{c})^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= W\left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\det(g)}{cz+d} & az+b \\ 0 & cz+d \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= \chi_\pi(cz+d)\psi\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) W\left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\det(g)}{(cz+d)^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By our assumption, there exist m_0 (depending on g) such that

$$W\left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\det(g)}{(cz+d)^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$$

if $|z| \geq q^{m_0}$. It implies that $W_m(g) = W_{m_0}(g)$ for all $m \geq m_0$.

Now let W be any function in $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$. Fix an integer $m_1 > 0$. Let $m \geq m_1$, and $g \in Bw_0B$, we have

$$W_m(g) = \int_{N_m} W_{m_1}(gn)\psi^{-1}(n)dn.$$

Using Lemma 1.1.38 and above argument, we obtain then a proof for this Lemma. \square

For $g \in Bw_0B$, we define $L_g(W) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} W_m(g)$. Due to Lemma 1.1.39, this limit converges. For each $v \in V$, assume that W_v is the image of v via the isomorphism $V \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$. We abuse the notation of L_g to define a function from V to \mathbb{C} : $L_g(v) := L_g(W_v)$. It is easily to check that L_g is a Whittaker functional on (π, V) . From the uniqueness of Whittaker functional, there exists a function $j_\pi : Bw_0B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ independent of v , such that

$$L_g(v) = j_\pi(g)W_v(e), \quad g \in Bw_0B, v \in V.$$

Lemma 1.1.40. *Assume that $g = n_1z\text{diag}(x, 1)w_0n_2 \in Bw_0B$ with $n_1, n_2 \in N$, $z \in Z(G)$ and $x \in F^\times$. We have then*

$$j_\pi(g) = \psi(n_1)\psi(n_2)\chi_\pi(z)j_\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1)w_0).$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_g(v) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N_m} W_v(gn) \psi^{-1}(n) dn \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N_m} W_v(n_1 z \text{diag}(x, 1) w_0 n_2 n) \psi^{-1}(n) dn \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N_m} \psi(n_2) W_v(n_1 z \text{diag}(x, 1) w_0 n_2 n) \psi^{-1}(n_2 n) dn \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N_m} \psi(n_2) W_v(n_1 z \text{diag}(x, 1) w_0 n) \psi^{-1}(n) dn \quad (\text{changing variable}) \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N_m} \psi(n_2) \psi(n_1) W_v(\text{diag}(x, 1) w_0 n z) \psi^{-1}(n) dn \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N_m} \psi(n_2) \psi(n_1) W_{\pi(z)(v)}(\text{diag}(x, 1) w_0 n) \psi^{-1}(n) dn \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N_m} \psi(n_2) \psi(n_1) W_{\chi_\pi(z).v}(\text{diag}(x, 1) w_0 n) \psi^{-1}(n) dn \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N_m} \psi(n_1) \psi(n_2) \chi_\pi(z) W_v(\text{diag}(x, 1) w_0 n) \psi^{-1}(n) dn \\
&= \psi(n_1) \psi(n_2) \chi_\pi(z) L_{\text{diag}(x, 1) w_0}(v) \\
&= \psi(n_1) \psi(n_2) \chi_\pi(z) j_\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1) w_0) W_v(e).
\end{aligned}$$

The last identity implies that $j_\pi(g) = \psi(n_1) \psi(n_2) \chi_\pi(z) j_\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1) w_0)$. \square

Lemma 1.1.41. *For $|x|$ large enough, we have then*

$$j_\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1) w_0) = \int_{F^\times} I(\text{diag}(z, xz), 1_{w_0 K_0}) \chi_\pi(z)^{-1} d^\times z.$$

(Recall that $I(wt, f)$ is the orbital integral defined in Section 1.1.5.)

Proof. Let n_0 be an arbitrary non-negative integer. Take $W = W_0$ in $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ such that the function $W_0(\text{diag}(x, 1))$ is the characteristic function of $1 + \varpi^{n_0} \mathcal{O}$.

Since W_0 is smooth, there exists m such that if $|z| \geq q^m$ then

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -z^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} (W_0) = W_0,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
W_0 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= W_0 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -z^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= W_0 \left(\begin{pmatrix} -x \\ z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right) = W_0 \left(z \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x/z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x/z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \chi_\pi(z) \psi \left(\frac{x}{z} \right) W_0 \begin{pmatrix} -x/z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\text{diag}(x,1)w_0}(W_0) &= \int_{|z| \leq q^m} W_0 \left(\text{diag}(x,1)w_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(z) dz \\
&\quad + \int_{|z| > q^m} \chi_\pi(z) \psi \left(\frac{x}{z} - z \right) W_0 \begin{pmatrix} -x/z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} dz
\end{aligned}$$

Let C_{π, n_0} be such that

$$W_0 \left(\text{diag}(x,1)w_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 0$$

for all $|z| \leq q^m$ and all $|x| \geq C_{\pi, n_0}$ and using $W_0(e) = 1$ we obtain

$$j_\pi(\text{diag}(x,1)w_0) = L_{\text{diag}(x,1)w_0}(W_0) = \int_{xz^{-2}+1 \in \varpi^{n_0}\mathcal{O}} \chi_\pi(z) \psi \left(\frac{x}{z} - z \right) dz \quad (1.1.6)$$

for all $|x| \geq C_{\pi, n_0}$.

On the other hand,

$$I(\text{diag}(z, zx), 1_{w_0K_{n_0}}) = \int 1_{w_0K_{n_0}} \begin{pmatrix} z & zx_2 \\ zx_1 & zx_1x_2 + zxz \end{pmatrix} \psi(x_1 + x_2) dx_1 dx_2.$$

This is 0 unless $z \in \varpi^{n_0}\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}^{n_0}$. We change x_1 to x_1/z and x_2 to x_2/z . We obtain then

$$I(\text{diag}(z, zx), 1_{w_0K_{n_0}}) = |z|^{-2} \int \psi \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{z} \right) dx_1 dx_2,$$

integrated over the domain defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i &\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n_0}} && \text{for } i = 1, 2, \\
x_1x_2 &\equiv -xz^2 \pmod{z\mathfrak{p}^{n_0}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This domain is empty unless $-xz^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n_0}}$. We change variables and set

$$x_2 = tx_1^{-1},$$

where now the domain of integration is defined by:

$$x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n_0}}, t \equiv -xz^2 \pmod{z\mathfrak{p}^{n_0}}.$$

Choose n_0 large enough such that $\psi(u) = 1$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_0}$, after integrating over t the integral becomes

$$|z|^{-2} \text{vol}(\mathfrak{p}^{n_0}) \int_{x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n_0}}} \psi\left(\frac{\phi}{z}\right) dx_1,$$

where the phase function ϕ is given by:

$$\phi = x_1 + \frac{-xz^2}{x_1}.$$

We set $x_1 = 1 + v$ with $v \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_0}$. The phase function takes the form

$$\phi = 1 + v + \frac{-xz^2}{1 + v}.$$

The Taylor expansion of this function at the origin has the form

$$(1 - xz^2) + (1 + xz^2)v - (xz^2)v^2 + \text{higher degree terms}.$$

By the principle of the stationary phase there is a compact neighborhood Ω of 0 in F such that, for $|z|$ small enough, the integral is equal to

$$|z|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \psi\left(\frac{2 + v^2}{z}\right) dv = \left|\frac{1}{2z}\right|^{1/2} \psi\left(\frac{2}{z}\right) \gamma\left(\frac{2}{z}, \psi\right).$$

We note that for $|x| > q^{\frac{n_0}{2}}$, the condition $-xz^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n_0}}$ implies that $z \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_0}$. Hence

$$\int_{F^\times} I(\text{diag}(z, xz), 1_{w_0K_0}) \chi_\pi(z)^{-1} = \int_{xz^2+1 \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_0}} \quad (1.1.7)$$

□

Lemma 1.1.42. *Let $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ be such that the function $W(\text{diag}(x, 1))$ belongs to $C_c^\infty(F^\times)$. Then*

$$W(g) = \int_{F^\times} j_\pi(g \cdot \text{diag}(x^{-1}, 1)) W(\text{diag}(x, 1)) d^\times x$$

for all $g \in Bw_0B$.

Proof. We put

$$\phi_{W,g}(z) = W \left(g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

then

$$\widehat{\phi_{W,g}}(1) = \int_F \phi_{W,g}(z) \psi^{-1}(z) dz = L_g(W) = j_\pi(g)W(e).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\phi_{W,g}}(y) &= \int_F \phi_W(z) \psi^{-1}(yz) dz \\ &= \int_F |y|^{-1} \phi_{W,g}(y^{-1}z) \psi^{-1}(z) dz \quad (\text{changing variable}) \\ &= \int_F |y|^{-1} W \left(g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y^{-1}z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(z) dz \\ &= \int_F |y|^{-1} W \left(g \begin{pmatrix} y^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(z) dz \\ &= |y|^{-1} \int_F \phi_{\pi \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (W), g \begin{pmatrix} y^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}(z) \psi^{-1}(z) dz \\ &= |y|^{-1} \widehat{\phi_{\pi \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (W), g \begin{pmatrix} y^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}}(1) \\ &= |y|^{-1} j_\pi(g \cdot \text{diag}(y^{-1}, 1)) \cdot \pi \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (W)(e) \\ &= |y|^{-1} j_\pi(g \cdot \text{diag}(y^{-1}, 1)) W(\text{diag}(y, 1)) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} W(g) &= \phi_{W,g}(0) = \widehat{\widehat{\phi_{W,g}}}(0) = \int_F \widehat{\phi_{W,g}}(y) dy \\ &= \int_F |y|^{-1} j_\pi(g \cdot \text{diag}(y^{-1}, 1)) W(\text{diag}(y, 1)) dy \\ &= \int_{F^\times} j_\pi(g \cdot \text{diag}(y^{-1}, 1)) W(\text{diag}(y, 1)) d^\times y. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.1.43. *Let $\widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{W}(\widetilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1})$ be such that the function $\widetilde{W}(\text{diag}(x, 1))$ belongs to $C_c^\infty(F^\times)$. Then*

$$\widetilde{W}(g^{-1}) = \int_{F^\times} j_\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1)g) \widetilde{W}(\text{diag}(x, 1)) d^\times x$$

for all $g \in Bw_0B$.

Proof. We define W by

$$W(g) = \widetilde{W}(w_0 g^* w_0)$$

where $g^* = (g^t)^{-1}$. Since $\widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{W}(\widetilde{\pi}, \psi^{-1})$, W is a locally constant \mathbb{C} -valued function on G and

$$\begin{aligned} W\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\right) &= \widetilde{W}\left(w_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} w_0\right) \\ &= \widetilde{W}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} w_0 (g^t)^{-1} w_0\right) \\ &= \psi(x) \widetilde{W}(w_0 (g^t)^{-1} w_0) = \psi(x) W(g). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} W(\text{diag}(x, 1)) &= \widetilde{W}(w_0 \text{diag}(x, 1)^* w_0) = \widetilde{W}(\text{diag}(1, x^{-1})) \\ &= \widetilde{W}(x \text{diag}(1, x^{-1})) = \chi_{\widetilde{\pi}}(x^{-1}) \widetilde{W}(\text{diag}(x, 1)) \\ &= \chi_{\pi}(x) \widetilde{W}(\text{diag}(x, 1)) \end{aligned}$$

belongs to $C_c^\infty(F^\times) \subset \mathcal{K}(\pi, \psi)$. (Due to Theorem 1.1.35, we have $\chi_{\widetilde{\pi}}(x) = \chi_{\pi}(x)^{-1}$.) Hence W satisfies the condition of Lemma 1.1.42. By using Lemma 1.1.42 for W and $g = \text{diag}(y, 1)w_0$, we have then

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}(g^{-1}) &= \widetilde{W}(w_0 \text{diag}(y, 1)^{-1}) = W(w_0 (g^{-1})^* w_0) = W(\text{diag}(y, 1)w_0) \\ &= \int_{F^\times} j_{\pi}(\text{diag}(y, 1)w_0 \text{diag}(x^{-1}, 1)) W(\text{diag}(x, 1)) d^\times x \\ &= \int_{F^\times} j_{\pi}(\text{diag}(y, 1)w_0 \text{diag}(x^{-1}, 1)) \chi_{\pi}(x) \widetilde{W}(\text{diag}(x, 1)) d^\times x \\ &= \int_{F^\times} j_{\pi}(x \text{diag}(y, 1)w_0 \text{diag}(x^{-1}, 1)) \widetilde{W}(\text{diag}(x, 1)) d^\times x \\ &= \int_{F^\times} j_{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1) \text{diag}(y, 1)w_0) \widetilde{W}(\text{diag}(x, 1)) d^\times x \quad (1.1.8) \end{aligned}$$

Now for $g = n_1 z \text{diag}(y, 1) w_0 n_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}(g^{-1}) &= \widetilde{W}(n_2^{-1} z^{-1} w_0 \text{diag}(y, 1)^{-1} n_1^{-1}) \\ &= \psi^{-1}(n_2^{-1}) \chi_{\widetilde{\pi}}(z^{-1}) \widetilde{\pi}(n_1^{-1}) \widetilde{W}(w_0 \text{diag}(y, 1)^{-1}) \\ &= \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1.1.44 (cf. [1, Corollary 4.2]). *There exist constants $C = C_{\pi}$ and $D = D_{\pi}$ such that for $|x| > C$,*

$$|j_{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1)w_0)| \leq D |\chi_{\pi}(x)|^{1/2} |x|^{1/4}.$$

Proof. We denote by ζ a square root of $\frac{-1}{x}$. Another square root of $\frac{-1}{x}$ is then $-\zeta$. Using germ expansion (cf. Theorem 1.1.28), for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and $z \in F^\times$ we obtain then

$$\begin{aligned} I(\text{diag}(z, xz), f) &= \omega_f(\text{diag}(z, xz)) + K_e^{w_0} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & -\zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} I\left(\frac{z}{\zeta}w_0, f\right) \\ &\quad + K_e^{w_0} \begin{pmatrix} -\zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} I\left(\frac{-z}{\zeta}w_0, f\right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1.9)$$

□

Proposition 1.1.45 (cf. [1, Proposition 4.3]). *Let $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.*

(a) *There exists a positive constant $M = M_f$ such that for $|x| < M$ we have*

$$\int |f(n_1 w_0 \text{diag}(x, 1) z n_2) \chi_\pi(z)| d^\times z = 0.$$

(b) *There exist positive constants $C = C_f$ and $D = D_f$ such that for $|x| > C$ we have*

$$\int |f(n_1 w_0 \text{diag}(x, 1) z n_2) \chi_\pi(z)| d^\times z \leq D |\chi_\pi(x)|^{1/2} |x|^{1/2}.$$

Proof. We let

$$\tilde{f}(g) := \int_{NZ} |f(nzg) \chi_\pi(z)| dnd^\times z.$$

Since f is smooth and compactly supported, \tilde{f} is well-defined. Moreover, \tilde{f} is smooth on the right (i.e. there exists a compact open subgroup K of G such that $\tilde{f}(gk) = \tilde{f}(g)$ for all $g \in G$), compactly supported modulo NZ

(a)

□

Theorem 1.1.46. *The function j_π is locally integrable.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1.37. We define the distribution on $C_c^\infty(G)$ to be

$$\tilde{J}_\pi(f) := \int_G j_\pi(g) f(g), \quad f \in C_c^\infty(G).$$

By Theorem 1.1.46, \tilde{J}_π is well defined. We shall prove that $\tilde{J}_\pi = J_\pi$.

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. Since $(C_c^\infty(G), \ell)$ smooth, there exist an integer m such that $\ell\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)f = f$ for all $x \in K_m$. Let $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$ be such that

$$\tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v}) = q^m \mathbf{1}_{K_m}(x) \in C_c^\infty(F^\times)$$

for all $x \in F^\times$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_\pi(f) &= \int_{F^\times} \tilde{J}_\pi(\ell\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)f) \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v}) d^\times x \\ &= \int_{F^\times} \left(\int_G j_\pi(g) f(\text{diag}(x^{-1}, 1)g) dg \right) \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v}) d^\times x \\ &= \int_{F^\times} \left(\int_G j_\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1)g) f(g) dg \right) \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v}) d^\times x \\ &= \int_G f(g) \left(\int_{F^\times} j_\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1)g) \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v}) d^\times x \right) dg \\ &= \int_G f(g) \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(g^{-1})\tilde{v}) dg \quad (\text{cf. Lemma 1.1.43(1)}) \\ &= (\rho(f)\tilde{L})(\tilde{v}) \quad (\text{cf. (1.1.4)}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1.10}$$

In other hand, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} J_\pi(f) &= \int_{F^\times} J_\pi(\ell\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)f) \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v}) d^\times x \\ &= \int_{F^\times} L(\pi(\text{diag}(x, 1))v_{f, \tilde{L}}) \tilde{L}(\tilde{\pi}(\text{diag}(x, 1))\tilde{v}) d^\times x \quad (\text{by definition of } J_\pi) \\ &= \langle v_{f, \tilde{L}}, \tilde{v} \rangle \quad (\text{By the normalization of } \tilde{L}) \\ &= (\rho(f)\tilde{L})(\tilde{v}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1.11}$$

Combining (1.1.10) and (1.1.9), we obtain then

$$J_\pi(f) = \tilde{J}_\pi(f).$$

□

The rest of this section is devoted to calculate the Bessel function j_π .

Bessel functions for the principal series of G . (We will follow the work of Baruch and Mao in [2].) Now let π be the infinite dimensional irreducible component of $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ where $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$ and χ_1, χ_2 are two multiplicative quasi-characters on F^\times .

For a smooth representation (π, V) of N , we denote by $V_\psi(N)$ the subspace generated by all vectors in V of the form

$$\pi(n)(v) - \psi(n)v$$

where $n \in N$ and $v \in V$. We set $V_{\psi,N} := V/V_{\psi}(N)$. This space can be viewed as Jacquet space of the twisted N -representation $\psi^{-1} \otimes V$. The group N acts on $V_{\psi,N}$ by $\psi : \pi(n)(v) = \psi(n)v$.

Lemma 1.1.47. *We have*

$$V_{\psi}(N) = \left\{ v \in V \mid \int_{N_m} \pi(n)(v)\psi^{-1}(n)dn = 0 \text{ for some } m \right\}.$$

Proof. Let e_m be $\text{vol}(N_m)^{-1}$ times the characteristic function of N_m . By definition we have

$$\int_{N_m} \pi(n)(v)\psi^{-1}(n)dn = \int_N e_m(n)\pi(n)(v)\psi^{-1}(n)dn.$$

Let $v \in V$, $n \in N$. There exist some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n \in N_m$. Because N_m is a group and $n \in N_m$, we have $e_m(n'n^{-1}) = e_m(n')$ for all $n' \in N$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{N_m} \pi(n_1)(\pi(n)(v))\psi^{-1}(n_1)dn_1 &= \int_N e_m(n_1)\pi(n_1)(\pi(n)(v))\psi^{-1}(n_1)dn_1 \\ &= \int_N e_m(n_2n^{-1})\pi(n_2)(v)\psi^{-1}(n_2n^{-1})dn_2 \\ &= \psi(n) \int_{N_m} \pi(n_2)(v)\psi^{-1}(n_2)dn_2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\int_{N_m} \pi(n_1)(\pi(n)(v) - \psi(n)v)\psi^{-1}(n_1)dn_1 = 0$. Thus

$$V_{\psi}(N) \subset \left\{ v \in V \mid \int_{N_m} \pi(n)(v)\psi^{-1}(n)dn = 0 \text{ for some } m \right\}.$$

Suppose $v \in V$ and $\int_{N_m} \pi(n)(v)\psi^{-1}(n)dn = 0$ for some m . Let $N_{m,v} = \{n \in N_m \mid \pi(n)v = v\} \cap \ker(\psi)$. Then $N_{m,v}$ is an open subgroup of the compact group N_m . Thus $N_m/N_{m,v}$ is finite and

$$\int_{N_m} \pi(n)(v)\psi^{-1}(n)dn = |N_m/N_{m,v}|^{-1} \sum_{k \in N_m/N_{m,v}} \pi(k)(v)\psi^{-1}(k).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} v &= v - \int_{N_m} \pi(n)(v)\psi^{-1}(n)dn \\ &= -|N_m/N_{m,v}|^{-1} \sum_{k \in N_m/N_{m,v}} \psi^{-1}(k)(\pi(k)(v) - \psi(k)v). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.1.48. *The functor $V \rightarrow V_{\psi, N}$ (viewing as a functor in the category of N -modules) is exact.*

Corollary 1.1.49. *Let $f \in \text{Ind}_B^G \chi$. We can then always write f as*

$$f = f' + f'',$$

where f' is in $V_{\psi}(N)$ and f'' has support in Bw_0N .

Proof. Let V be subspace of $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ contains all the functions have support in Bw_0N . We have then the following exact sequence (of N -modules):

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G \chi \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\mathbb{C}_{\psi, N} = 0$. Using Proposition 1.1.48, we obtain then $V_{\psi, N} \simeq (\text{Ind}_B^G \chi)_{\psi, N}$. \square

Corollary 1.1.50. *Let $f \in \text{Ind}_B^G \chi$. Then the integral*

$$L_m := \int_{N_m} f(w_0n)\psi^{-1}(n)dn$$

converges when m tends to ∞ . Moreover $L = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L_m$ is a Whittaker functional on $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$.

Proof. Denotes

$$I_m := \int_{N_m} f(w_0n)\psi^{-1}(n)dn.$$

We shall prove that there exists m_0 such that $I_m = I_{m_0}$ for all $m \geq m_0$.

Using Corollary 1.1.49, the function f can be written as

$$f = f' + f''$$

where $f' \in (\text{Ind}_B^G \chi)_{\psi}(N)$ and f'' has support in Bw_0N .

Due to Proposition 1.1.48, there exist $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\int_{N_m} f'(w_0n)\psi^{-1}(n)dn = 0$$

for all $m \geq m_1$.

Furthermore, the function $n \mapsto f''(w_0n)$ has a compact support in N . Indeed, let $|z|$ be so large that

$$f'' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = f'' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} f'' \left(w_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= f'' \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-1}{z} & 1 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \chi_1 \left(\frac{-1}{z} \right) \chi_2(z) \left| \frac{-1}{z^2} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} f'' \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that there exists $m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\int_{N_m} f''(w_0 n) \psi^{-1}(n) dn = \int_{N_m} f''(w_0 n) \psi^{-1}(n) dn$$

for all $m \geq m_2$.

Take $m_0 = \max\{m_1, m_2\}$, we obtain then our claim.

The second assertion of this corollary is obvious. \square

We can now describe the Whittaker model associated to $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$. Let $f(g) \in \text{Ind}_B^G \chi$. We define

$$W_f(g) = L(r_g(f)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N_m} f(w_0 n g) \psi^{-1}(n) dn.$$

Since

$$w_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{diag}(a, 1) w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{a}{z} & 1 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} w_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{a}{z} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$W_{f_m}(\text{diag}(a, 1) w_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\substack{|z| \leq q^n \\ |\frac{a}{z}| \leq q^m}} \chi_1 \left(-\frac{a}{z} \right) \chi_2(z) \left| \frac{a}{z^2} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi \left(\frac{a}{z} - z \right) dz.$$

Theorem 1.1.51. *Let π be the infinite dimensional irreducible component of $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$. We have*

$$j_\pi(g) = \psi(n_1 n_2) \chi_1(z) \chi_2(z) \int^{+,-} \chi_1 \left(\frac{-a}{x} \right) \chi_2(x) \left| \frac{a}{x^2} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi \left(\frac{a}{x} - x \right) dx$$

if $g = n_1 z \text{diag}(a, 1) w_0 n_2$ with $n_1, n_2 \in N$, $z \in Z(G)$ and $j_\pi(g) = 0$ otherwise. Here

$$\int^{+,-} \phi(x) dx = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{q^{-m} \leq |x| \leq q^m} \phi(x) dx,$$

if the limit exists.

Bessel functions for cuspidal representations of G . (We will follow the work of Baruch and Snitz in [4]). We have known that (for p is odd) all cuspidal representations are given by the construction of Jacquet and Langlands (cf. Section 1.1.4). For a convenience, we recall their construction. Let E be a quadratic extension of the p -adic field F . Let β be a quasi-character of E^\times which does not factor through the norm, i.e there does not exist a quasi-character α of F^\times such that $\beta(z) = \alpha(N(z))$ for all $z \in E^\times$. Let τ be the non-trivial quadratic character defined on $F^\times/N(E^\times)$ and extended to F^\times . Let $C_c^\infty(E)$ be the Schwartz space of locally constant and compactly supported functions on E . Let $S_\beta(E)$ be the subspace of functions $f \in C_c^\infty(E)$ such that

$$f(xz) = \beta(z^{-1})f(x) \quad (1.1.12)$$

for all $z \in E^1 := \{z \in E | N(z) = 1\}$. Let G_+ be the subgroup of matrices in G whose determinant is a norm. Let $a \in F$ be a norm. Then there exists $z_a \in E$ such that $N(z_a) = a$. The group G_+ acts on $S_\beta(E)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (n(x)f)(y) &:= \psi(xy^2)f(y), \\ (\text{diag}(a, 1)f)(y) &:= |z_a|_E^{1/2}\beta(z_a)f(yz_a), \\ (\text{diag}(b, b^{-1})f)(y) &:= \tau(b)|b|_E^{1/2}f(by), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1.13)$$

and

$$(wf)(y) := \gamma(\psi, E)\hat{f}(\bar{y})$$

where $\gamma(\psi, E)$ is the Weil constant defined in Lemma 1.1.25. We denote by r_β the cuspidal representation attached to β of G via the construction of Jacquet and Langlands. Then r_β is the representation of G induced from the above representation of G_+ . In other word, the space of r_β is given by

$$V_{r_\beta} := \{f : G \rightarrow S_\beta(E) | f(hx) = hf(x), h \in G_+\},$$

and G acts by right translation: $(r_\beta(g)f)(x) = f(xg)$.

Before stating our formula for Bessel functions for cuspidal representations of G , we need to fix some Haar measures. Let dr be a self dual measure on F with respect to ψ . We let $d^\times r = dr/|r|_F$ be a multiplicative Haar measure on F^\times . Let dz be an additive Haar measure on E . Let $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_\ell\}$ be a set of representatives of $F^\times/(F^\times)^2$. Then, E^\times is the disjoint union of E_{ϵ_i} ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$), where

$$E_{\epsilon_i} := \{z \in E | \exists r_z \in K^\times, N(z) = r_z^2 \epsilon_i\}.$$

Note that E_{ϵ_i} is empty if ϵ_i is not a norm, and r_z is defined up to a sign. If E_{ϵ_i} is non-empty, we define a measure on E_{ϵ_i} to be the restriction of dz to

the open sets E_{ϵ_i} . Assume that ϵ_i is a norm and choose $z_{\epsilon_i} \in E$ such that $N(z_{\epsilon_i}) = \epsilon_i$. Then every element $z \in E_{\epsilon_i}$ can be written in the form (unique up to the sign of r_z and α) $z = z_{\epsilon_i} r_z \alpha$ with $\alpha \in E^1$. We define then a Haar measure $d\alpha$ on E^1 such that

$$dz = |z_{\epsilon_i}|_E |r_z|_E^{1/2} dr d\alpha.$$

It is easy to check that this measure does not depend on ϵ_i .

For $x \in K$, we define $E^x := \{z \in E | N(z) = x\}$. It is easy to see that E^x is empty when x is not a norm. If E^x is non-empty, then $E^x = zE^1$, where z is any element satisfying $N(z) = x$. We define a measure $d_x \alpha$ on E^x by $d_x \alpha = |z|_E^{1/2} d\alpha$. It is clear that this measure does not depend on the choice of z .

Theorem 1.1.52. *Let β be a quasi-character of E^\times which does not factor through the norm form E to F . Let r_β be the cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ attached to β . We have*

$$j_{r_\beta}(g) = \psi(n_1 n_2) \beta(z) \gamma(\psi, E) \int_{E^a} \beta(\alpha) \psi(\mathrm{tr}(\alpha)) d_a \alpha$$

if $g = n_1 z \mathrm{diag}(a, 1) w n_2$ with $n_1, n_2 \in N$, $z \in Z(G)$, a is a norm and $j_\pi(g) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. We consider a Whittaker functional $L : V_{r_\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $L(\mathfrak{f}) := \mathfrak{f}(I)(1)$, where I is unit matrix of G and 1 is the unit element of E . The corresponding Whittaker function is then $W_{\mathfrak{f}}(g) := L(r_\beta(g)\mathfrak{f})$. Using the standard way, we obtain then the Kirillov functions

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(b) := W_{\mathfrak{f}}(\mathrm{diag}(b, 1)) = L(r_\beta(\mathrm{diag}(b, 1))\mathfrak{f}). \quad (1.1.14)$$

It follows from the definition that the mapping $\mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \phi_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is one to one and the space of all such function $\phi_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is $C_c^\infty(F^\times)$. Due to Lemma 1.1.42, the Bessel function j_{r_β} can be calculated by calculating $\phi_{r_\beta(w)\mathfrak{f}}(b) = L(r_\beta(\mathrm{diag}(b, 1)w)\mathfrak{f})$.

Since $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_\ell\}$ is a set of representatives of $F^\times / (F^\times)^2$, there exist $r_b \in F^\times$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $b = r_b^2 \epsilon_j$. We can write then

$$\mathrm{diag}(b, 1)w = \mathrm{diag}(r_b^2, 1) \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon_j, \epsilon_j) w \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon_j^{-1}, 1),$$

and $\phi_{r_\beta(w)\mathfrak{f}}(b)$ becomes

$$L(r_\beta(\mathrm{diag}(r_b^2, 1) \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon_j, \epsilon_j) w \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon_j^{-1}, 1))\mathfrak{f}).$$

Now r_b^2 is the norm of the element $r_b \in F$ viewed as a vector in E , and the scalar matrix $\text{diag}(\epsilon_j, \epsilon_j)$ acts by the central character. So we get (cf. (1.1.12))

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{r_\beta(w)\mathfrak{f}}(b) &= |r_b|_E^{1/2} \beta(r_b) \beta(\epsilon_j) \mathfrak{f}(w \text{diag}(\epsilon_j^{-1}, 1))(r_b) \\ &= |r_b|_E^{1/2} \beta(r_b \epsilon_j) \gamma(\psi, E) \mathfrak{f}(\overline{\text{diag}(\epsilon_j^{-1}, 1)})(r_b) \\ &= |r_b|_E^{1/2} \beta(r_b \epsilon_j) \gamma(\psi, E) \int_E \mathfrak{f}(\text{diag}(\epsilon_j^{-1}, 1))(y) \psi(\text{tr}(r_b y)) dy.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that E is the disjoint union of E_{ϵ_i} ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$). Therefore, the integral over E breaks up into a sum of integrals over the sets E_{ϵ_i} , i.e.,

$$\phi_{r_\beta(w)\mathfrak{f}}(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} I_{\epsilon_i}(b, \mathfrak{f}) \quad (1.1.15)$$

where

$$I_{\epsilon_i}(b, \mathfrak{f}) := r_b |r_b|_E^{1/2} \beta(r_b \epsilon_j) \gamma(\psi, E) \int_{E_{\epsilon_i}} \mathfrak{f}(\text{diag}(\epsilon_j^{-1}, 1))(y) \psi(\text{tr}(r_b y)) dy.$$

If $E_{\epsilon_i} = \emptyset$ (is equivalent to that ϵ_i is not a norm), we set $I_{\epsilon_i}(b, \mathfrak{f}) = 0$. Recall that if E_{ϵ_i} is non-empty, then every element $y \in E_{\epsilon_i}$ can be written in the form $y = z_{\epsilon_i} r_y \alpha$ with $\alpha \in E^1$, $r_y \in F^\times$ and $z_{\epsilon_i} \in E$ such that $N(z_{\epsilon_i}) = \epsilon_i$. So, I_{ϵ_i} can be written as a double integral

$$|r_b|_E^{1/2} \beta(r_b \epsilon_j) \gamma \int_{F^\times} \int_{E^1} \mathfrak{f}(\text{diag}(\epsilon_j^{-1}, 1))(z_{\epsilon_i} r_y \alpha) \psi(\text{tr}(r_b z_{\epsilon_i} r_y \alpha)) d\alpha |z_{\epsilon_i} r_y|_E d^\times r_y.$$

Using relation (1.1.11), I_{ϵ_i} is then

$$|r_b|_E^{1/2} \beta(r_b \epsilon_j) \gamma \int_{F^\times} \mathfrak{f}(\text{diag}(\epsilon_j^{-1}, 1))(z_{\epsilon_i} r_y) |z_{\epsilon_i} r_y|_E \int_{E^1} \beta(\alpha^{-1}) \psi(\text{tr}(r_b z_{\epsilon_i} r_y \alpha)) d\alpha d^\times r_y.$$

Now using equations (1.1.13) and (1.1.12), we have

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(r_y^2 \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1}) = L(r_\beta(\text{diag}(r_y^2 \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1}))\mathfrak{f}) = |r_y z_{\epsilon_i}|_E^{1/2} \beta(r_y z_{\epsilon_i}) \mathfrak{f}(\text{diag}(\epsilon_j^{-1}, 1))(r_y z_{\epsilon_i}),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}I_{\epsilon_i}(b, \mathfrak{f}) &= |r_b|_E^{1/2} \beta(r_b \epsilon_j) \gamma \int_{F^\times} \phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(r_y^2 \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1}) |z_{\epsilon_i} r_y|_E^{1/2} \beta(z_{\epsilon_i} r_y)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \int_{E^1} \beta(\alpha^{-1}) \psi(\text{tr}(r_b z_{\epsilon_i} r_y \alpha)) d\alpha d^\times r_y.\end{aligned}$$

We define

$$J_{\epsilon_i}(b, \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1} r_y^2) = \gamma |r_b z_{\epsilon_i} r_y|_E^{1/2} \beta(r_b \epsilon_j z_{\epsilon_i}^{-1} r_y^{-1}) \int_{E^1} \beta(\alpha^{-1}) \psi(\text{tr}(r_b z_{\epsilon_i} r_y \alpha)) d\alpha \quad (1.1.16)$$

if ϵ_i is a norm and $J(b, \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1} r_y^2) = 0$ otherwise. We have then

$$I_{\epsilon_i}(b, \mathfrak{f}) = \int_{F^\times} \phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(r_y^2 \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1}) J(b, \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1} r_y^2) d^\times r_y.$$

We change the variable of integration to $x = r_y^2 \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1}$ and integrate over the set $\epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1} (F^\times)^2$, and we get

$$I_{\epsilon_i}(b, \mathfrak{f}) = \int_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1} (F^\times)^2} J_{\epsilon_i}(b, x) \phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(x) d^\times x. \quad (1.1.17)$$

For any $x \in F^\times$, there exists uniquely $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that the square class of bx is ϵ_i . Recall that $b = \epsilon_j r_b^2$. So there exist uniquely (up to a sign) $r_y \in K^\times$ such that $x = \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1} r_y^2$. We define

$$J(b, x) = J_{\epsilon_i}(b, \epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1} r_y^2).$$

Combining equations (1.1.14), (1.1.16) and definition of $J(b, x)$, we get

$$\phi_{r_\beta(w)\mathfrak{f}}(b) = \int_{F^\times} J(b, x) \phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(x) d^\times x. \quad (1.1.18)$$

Let $z = r_b r_y z_{\epsilon_i} \alpha$. As α varies over E^1 , z varies over E^{bx} . Recall that

$$dz = |r_b r_y z_{\epsilon_i}|_E^{1/2} d\alpha,$$

so we can write J as (cf. equation (1.1.15))

$$J(b, x) = \gamma \beta(b) \int_{E^{bx}} \beta(z^{-1}) \psi(\text{tr}(z)) dz = \gamma \beta(x^{-1}) \int_{E^{bx}} \beta(bx z^{-1}) \psi(\text{tr}(z)) dz$$

Since $N(z) = z\bar{z} = bx$, we have $bx z^{-1} = z\bar{z} z^{-1} = \bar{z}$. Moreover $\text{tr}(\bar{z}) = \text{tr}(z)$, so

$$J(b, x) = \gamma \beta(x^{-1}) \int_{E^{bx}} \beta(z) \psi(\text{tr}(z)) dz.$$

Combine above equation with (1.1.17), we obtain then

$$\phi_{r_\beta(w)\mathfrak{f}}(b) = \int_{F^\times} \phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(x) \beta(x^{-1}) \left[\gamma \int_{E^{bx}} \beta(z) \psi(\text{tr}(z)) dz \right] d^\times x.$$

It implies that $j_{r_\beta}(w) =$

□

1.1.8 Orbital integrals

We denote by G_{rs} the set of semi-simple regular elements of G , i.e the set of matrix has a separable characteristic polynomial. Let T' be a maximal torus of G , we shall denote by $T'_{G-\text{reg}} = T' - Z$ the subset of regular elements. (T' can be a centralizer of an *elliptic* element which has an irreducible (in $F[X]$) characteristic polynomial or the “standard” split torus T .)

For $g \in G$ we denote $D(g) = 4 - \det(g)^{-1}\text{tr}(g)^2$.

Proposition 1.1.53 (Orbital integrals). *Let $\gamma \in G$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. Then $\int_{G_\gamma \backslash G} f(g^{-1}\gamma g)dg$ where G_γ is the centralizer of $\gamma \in G$ converges absolutely. The integral*

$$O_\gamma(f) := \int_{G_\gamma \backslash G} f(g^{-1}\gamma g)dg$$

is called **orbital integral** of f at γ .

Proof. If γ is central, then $G_\gamma = G$. So the statement is trivial.

Now we look at the case when γ is a *hyperbolic* (or *split*) semi-simple regular element (which is conjugated to $\text{diag}(x, y) \in T$ for $x \neq y$). We can assume that $\gamma \in T$, so that $G_\gamma = T$. Using Iwasawa decomposition $G = T \times N \times K_0$ we have

$$O_\gamma(f) = \int_{N \times K_0} f(k^{-1}n^{-1}\gamma nk)dndk.$$

Denote $\gamma = \text{diag}(x, y)$ and $n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $n^{-1}\gamma n = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1-y/x)u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} O_\gamma(f) &= |1 - y/x|^{-1} \int_{K_0 \times N} f(k^{-1}\gamma nk)dkdn \\ &= |D(\gamma)|^{-1/2} \delta_B^{1/2}(\gamma) \int_{K_0 \times N} f(k^{-1}\gamma nk)dkdn. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1.19)$$

Since $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, there exists $K \subset K_0$ an open compact subgroup of G such that f is bi- K -invariant. \square

Theorem 1.1.54 (Germ expansion). *Let γ be an elliptic element in G which is sufficiently close to e . Write E for the splitting field of the quadratic torus T' -determined uniquely up to conjugation in G by γ . Then*

$$O_\gamma(f) = -\frac{2}{q-1} \text{vol}(K_0) O_e(f) + \kappa_{T'} c(T') |D(\gamma)|^{-1/2} O_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}(f),$$

where $\kappa_{T'} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } E/F \text{ is ramified} \\ \frac{q+1}{q} & \text{if } E/F \text{ is unramified,} \end{cases}$ and $c(T') = c(E)$ is the square root of the absolute value of a generator of the discriminant of the splitting field E over F .

Proof. First we need to describe γ . A local field F has the form $\mathbb{F}_q((\varpi))$, power series in the variable ϖ over the field \mathbb{F}_q where q is a power of an odd prime number p . Its ring of integers $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[[\varpi]]$, has the maximal ideal $\varpi\mathcal{O}$, and group of units $\mathcal{O}^\times = \mathcal{O} - \varpi\mathcal{O}$.

The ramified quadratic separable extension of F are $E = F(r)$ where r is a root of x^2 □

Corollary 1.1.55. *Let C be a compact subset of G/Z . Then there is $c = c(C) > 0$ such that*

$$O_\gamma(1_C) \leq c|D(\gamma)|^{-1/2}c(E)$$

for every $\gamma \in G_{\text{rs}}^{\text{ell}}$ where 1_C is the characteristic function of C in G/Z and $E = F(\gamma)$.

Proof. Using Germ expansion (cf. Theorem 1.1.54) for $f = 1_C$ and taking

$$c = 2 \left| O_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}}(1_C) \right|$$

we obtain then the Corollary. □

Theorem 1.1.56 (Change of variable formula). *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism between p -adic manifolds of constant dimensions such that the differential of ϕ is everywhere invertible (in particular, $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$). Assume that the fibers of ϕ have bounded cardinality, and denote $c_\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $y \mapsto \#(\phi^{-1}(\{y\}))$. Then for any differential form ω on Y and any function $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is integrable with respect to $|\omega|$, we have*

$$\int_X f \circ \phi |\phi^* \omega| = \int_Y f c_\phi |\omega|.$$

1.1.9 Harish-Chandra characters

Theorem 1.1.57. *Let (π, V) be an irreducible representation of G . Then there is a unique smooth function $\Theta_\pi : G_{\text{rs}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that Θ_π is locally integrable on G , and for any $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ we have*

$$\text{tr}\pi(f) = \int_G f(g)\Theta_\pi(g)dg.$$

Definition 1.1.58. Let (π, V) be a smooth representation of G . Assume that χ_π is its central quasi-character. We say that π is **square-integrable** (or part of the **discrete series**) if χ_π is unitary and for any $v \in V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$,

$$\int_{G/Z} |\langle \pi(g)v, \tilde{v} \rangle|^2 dg < +\infty.$$

We say that π is **essentially square-integrable** if there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $|\det|^s \otimes \pi$ is square-integrable.

Lemma 1.1.59. *Any irreducible square-integrable representation is unitarizable, i.e admits a G -invariant hermitian inner product. Moreover the G -invariant hermitian inner product is unique up to \mathbb{R}_+ .*

Proof. Let (π, V) be an irreducible square-integrable representation of G with central character χ_π . We denote by $L^2(G, \chi_\pi)$ the space of measurable functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(zg) = \chi_\pi(z)f(g)$ for all $z \in Z$, $g \in G$ and $\int_{G/Z} |f(g)|^2 dg < +\infty$. This space has a canonical Hermitian form

$$H_0(f, f') = \int_{G/Z} f(g)\overline{f'(g)} dg.$$

For each $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V} - \{0\}$, the function $g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)v, \tilde{v} \rangle$ is belong to $L^2(G, \chi_\pi)$ and the map $v \mapsto (g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)v, \tilde{v} \rangle)$ gives a G -equivariant embedding of V into $L^2(G, \chi_\pi)$. Thus V admits a G -invariant hermitian inner product.

Let $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ be any G -invariant hermitian inner form on V . We denote by \overline{V} the \mathbb{C} -vector space V but with the product $\mathbb{C} \times V \rightarrow V : (c, v) \mapsto \overline{c}v$. Then $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a G -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form on $V \times \overline{V}$. Using Proposition 1.1.3, $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ can be see as a G -isomorphism $\varphi_H : \overline{V} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$. More precisely,

$$H(v_1, v_2) = \langle v_1, \varphi_H(v_2) \rangle.$$

Since \overline{V} is irreducible, by Schur's lemma, the G -invariant hermitian inner product is unique up to a scalar. Due to positive-definiteness of inner product, this scalar should be in \mathbb{R}_+ . □

Proposition 1.1.60 (Formal degree). *Let (π, V) be an irreducible essentially square-integrable representation of G . Then for any $u, v \in V$ and $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$ the integral*

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle \langle v, \tilde{\pi}(g)\tilde{v} \rangle$$

converges absolutely and there exists a unique $d_\pi \in \mathbb{R}_+$, called the **formal degree** of π such that

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle \langle v, \tilde{\pi}(g) \rangle = \frac{1}{d_\pi} \langle u, \tilde{v} \rangle \langle v, \tilde{u} \rangle.$$

Proof. Assume that χ_π is the central quasi-character of π . Since $\tilde{\pi}$ is equivalent to $\chi_\pi^{-1} \otimes \pi$ (cf. Theorem 1.1.32), the absolute convergence of

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle \langle v, \tilde{\pi}(g)\tilde{v} \rangle dg$$

is equivalent to the absolute convergence of

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle \langle \pi(g)v, \tilde{v} \rangle \chi_\pi^{-1}(\det(g)) dg.$$

Moreover, since (π, V) is essentially square-integrable we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G/Z} |\langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle \langle \pi(g)v, \tilde{v} \rangle \chi_\pi^{-1}(\det(g))| dg &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{G/Z} (|\langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle|^2 + |\langle \pi(g)v, \tilde{v} \rangle|^2) dg \\ &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now we fix $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{V}$ and $v \in V$, then the integral

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle \langle v, \tilde{\pi}(g)\tilde{v} \rangle dg$$

is a G -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form on $V \times \tilde{V}$. Since \tilde{V} is irreducible (cf. 1.1.6), using Proposition 1.1.3 and Schur's lemma, it is a complex number times the canonical non-degenerate bilinear form on $V \times \tilde{V}$. In other word, there exist a function $c_\pi : V \times \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle \langle v, \tilde{\pi}(g)\tilde{v} \rangle dg = c_\pi(v, \tilde{u}) \langle u, \tilde{v} \rangle \quad \forall (u, \tilde{v}) \in V \times \tilde{V}.$$

Fix $u \in V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$. The integral

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle \langle v, \tilde{\pi}(g)\tilde{v} \rangle dg$$

is also a G -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form on $V \times \tilde{V}$. It implies that $c_\pi(v, \tilde{u})$ is a G -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form on $V \times \tilde{V}$. Using Proposition 1.1.3 and Schur's lemma again, there exist $c_\pi \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$c_\pi(v, \tilde{u}) = c_\pi \langle v, \tilde{u} \rangle.$$

Hence

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(g)u, \tilde{u} \rangle \langle v, \tilde{\pi}(g)\tilde{v} \rangle dg = c_\pi \langle u, \tilde{v} \rangle \langle v, \tilde{u} \rangle. \quad (1.1.20)$$

It remains to show that $c_\pi \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Up to twisting by a character, we can assume that π is square-integrable. Pick any G -invariant hermitian inner product $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ on V , which is equivalent to an isomorphism $\varphi_H : \bar{V} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ (cf. proof of Lemma 1.1.59). Taking $\tilde{u} = \varphi_H(v)$ and $\tilde{v} = \varphi_H(u)$ for arbitrary $u, v \in V - \{0\}$, the LHS of (1.1.19) is equal to

$$\int_{G/Z} H(\pi(g)u, v)H(v, \pi(g)u)dg = \int_{G/Z} |H(\pi(g)u, v)|^2 dg$$

which is non-negative and not identically vanishing, and the RHS of (1.1.19) is equal to $c_\pi H(u, u)H(v, v)$, therefore $c_\pi \in \mathbb{R}_+$. \square

Theorem 1.1.61 (Weyl integration formula). *Fix a set \mathcal{T} of representatives of conjugacy classes of tori in $G(F)$. Let f be a measurable function on G . Then*

$$\int_G f(g)dg = \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{T'_{G-\text{reg}}} |D(t)| O_t(f) dt$$

if one side is absolutely convergent.

Proposition 1.1.62. *Let $\chi : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a quasicharacter of T , and consider $\pi = \text{Ind}_B^G \chi$. Then Theorem 1.1.57 holds for π , and Θ_π is the unique G -invariant function on G_{rs} which vanishes identically on G_{rs}^{ell} and such that for any $t \in T_{G-\text{reg}}$ we have*

$$\Theta_\pi(t) = |D(t)|^{-1/2} (\chi(t) + \chi^w(t)).$$

Proof. Due to the definition of $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ and the Iwasawa decomposition, $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ may be regarded as a space of function in $\phi \in C^\infty(K_0)$ which satisfies

$$\phi(bk) = \delta_B^{1/2}(b)\chi(b)\phi(k) = \chi(b)\phi(k)$$

for all $b \in B \cap K_0$ and $k \in K_0$. To evaluate $\text{tr}\pi(f)$ we observe that if ϕ be a such function, $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ and $k_1 \in K_0$, and using Iwasawa decomposition we have then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f)(\phi)(k_1) &= \int_G f(g)\phi(k_1g)dg = \int_G \phi(g)f(k_1^{-1}g)dg \\ &= \int_{K_0 \times B} \phi(bk_2)f(k_1^{-1}bk_2)dk_2db \\ &= \int_{K_0} \phi(k_2) \int_B \chi(b)\delta_B^{1/2}(b)f(k_1^{-1}bk_2)dbdk_2 \\ &= \int_{K_0} \phi(k_2)\psi(k_1, k_2)dk_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(k_1, k_2) = \int_B \mu(b) \delta_B^{1/2}(b) f(k_1^{-1} b k_2) db$ is a smooth function on $K_0 \times K_0$. We denote by $I(\psi)$ the integral operator on $C^\infty(K_0)$ defined by

$$\phi \mapsto I(\psi)(\phi)(\cdot) = \int_{K_0} \phi(k) \psi(\cdot, k) dk.$$

Then $\pi(f)$ coincides with $I(\psi)$ on $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$. Moreover, we can easily check that $I(\psi)(\phi)$ belongs to $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$ for all $\phi \in C^\infty(K_0)$. (In fact, for $k_1, k_2 \in K_0$ and $b_1 \in B \cap K_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(b_1 k_1, k_2) &= \int_B \chi(b) \delta_B^{1/2}(b) f(k_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} b k_2) db \\ &= \int_B \chi(b_1 (b_1^{-1} b)) \delta_B^{1/2}(b_1 (b_1^{-1} b)) f(k_1^{-1} (b_1^{-1} b) k_2) d(b_1^{-1} b) \\ &= \chi(b_1) \psi(k_1, k_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\text{tr} \pi(f) = \text{tr} I(\psi) = \int_{K_0} \psi(k, k) dk$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \pi(f) &= \int_{K_0} \int_B \chi(b) \delta_B^{1/2}(b) f(k^{-1} b k) db dk \\ &= \int_{K_0} \int_{T \times N} \chi(t) \delta_B^{1/2}(t) f(k^{-1} t n k) dt d n dk \\ &= \int_T \chi(t) |D(t)|^{1/2} O_t(f) dt \quad (\text{c.f. (1.1.18)}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1.21)$$

In other hand, using Weyl integration formula (c.f Theorem 1.1.61) and the definition of Θ_π , we have then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(g) \Theta_\pi(g) dg &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_{G-\text{reg}}} |D(t)| \Theta_\pi(t) O_t(f) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_{G-\text{reg}}} |D(t)|^{1/2} (\chi(t) + \chi^w(t)) O_t(f) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_T |D(t)|^{1/2} \chi(t) O_t(f) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_T |D(w_0^{-1} t w_0)|^{1/2} \chi(w_0^{-1} t w_0) O_{w_0^{-1} t w_0}(f) d(w_0^{-1} t w_0) \right] \\ &= \int_T \chi(t) |D(t)|^{1/2} O_t(f) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1.22)$$

Combining (1.1.20) and (1.1.21), we obtain then

$$\mathrm{tr}\pi(f) = \int_G f(g)\Theta_\pi(g)dg$$

with Θ_π is defined as in the Proposition. □

Bibliography

- [1] E. M. Baruch, *On Bessel distribution for GL_2 over p -adic field*, Journal of number theory 67, 190-202 (1997).
- [2] E. M. Baruch, Z. Mao, *Bessel identities in the Waldspurger Correspondence over p -adic field*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 125, No.2 (2003), 225-288.
- [3] E. M. Baruch, Z. Mao, *Bessel identities in the Waldspurger Correspondence over the real numbers*, Israel journal of Mathematics 145 (2005), 1-81.
- [4] E. M. Baruch, K. Snitz, *A note on Bessel functions for supercuspidal representations of $GL(2)$ over a p -adic field*, Algebra Colloquium 18 (spec 1) (2011) 733-738.
- [5] I. N. Bernstein, A. V. Zelevinsky, *Representation theory of $GL(n, F)$ where F is a non-Archimedean local field*, Russian Math. Survey, 31:3 (1976), 1-68.
- [6] C. J. Bushnell, G. Henniart, *The local Langlands conjecture for $GL(2)$* , Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 335, Springer, 2006.
- [7] H. Jacquet, *Kloosterman integrals for $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$* , Pure and applied mathematics quarterly, volume 1 (2005), 257-289.
- [8] I. M. Gelfand, D. Kazhdan, *Representations of the group $GL(n, K)$ where K is a local field*, Lie Groups and Their Representations, Halsted, Newyork, (1975), 95-118.
- [9] R. Godement, *Notes on Jacquet-Langlands Theory*, The Institute for Advanced Study (1970).
- [10] H. Jacquet, *Germes for Kloosterman integrals, a review*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 664, (2016), 173-185.

- [11] H. Jacquet, R. P. Langlands, *Automorphic forms on $GL(2)$* , LNM 114, Springer, 1970.
- [12] H. Jacquet, Y. Ye, *Distinguished representations and quadratics base change for $GL(3)$* , Proc. AMS, vol 348, no. 3, (1996), 913-939.
- [13] A. Knightly, C. Li, *Traces of Hecke operator*, Math. Sur. and Mono., vol 133, AMS (2006).
- [14] R. P. Langlands, *Base change for $GL(2)$* , Annals of Mathematics Study 96 (1980).
- [15] B. C. Ngo, *Harmonic analysis and representation theory of p -adic reductive groups*, updated on 19th May 2016.
- [16] A. J. Silberger, *PGL_2 over the p -adics: its representations, spherical functions, and Fourier analysis*, LNM 166, Springer, 1970.
- [17] D. Soudry, *The L and γ factors for generic representations of $GSp(4, k) \times GL(2, k)$ over a local non-archimedean field k* , Duke Math. Journal 51, no. 2, (1984), 355-394.