

# Non-abelian Hodge theory and higher Teichmüller spaces

Oscar García-Prada  
ICMAT-CSIC, Madrid

VIASM, Hanoi, 31 August 2022

# Surface group representations

- $S$  smooth closed orientable surface of genus  $g \geq 2$
- $\pi_1(S)$  fundamental group of  $S$
- Decomposition of  $S$  as a  $4g$ -gone with  $2g$  **identifications** leads to the presentation

$$\pi_1(S) = \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g \mid [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \cdots [\alpha_g, \beta_g] = 1 \rangle$$

where

$$[\alpha, \beta] := \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$$

# Surface group representations

- $S$  smooth closed orientable surface of genus  $g \geq 2$
- $\pi_1(S)$  fundamental group of  $S$
- Decomposition of  $S$  as a  $4g$ -gone with  $2g$  **identifications** leads to the presentation

$$\pi_1(S) = \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g \mid [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \cdots [\alpha_g, \beta_g] = 1 \rangle$$

where

$$[\alpha, \beta] := \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$$

- $G$  semisimple (more generally, reductive) Lie group (real or complex)

# Surface group representations

- $S$  smooth closed orientable surface of genus  $g \geq 2$
- $\pi_1(S)$  fundamental group of  $S$
- Decomposition of  $S$  as a  $4g$ -gone with  $2g$  **identifications** leads to the presentation

$$\pi_1(S) = \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g \mid [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \cdots [\alpha_g, \beta_g] = 1 \rangle$$

where

$$[\alpha, \beta] := \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$$

- $G$  semisimple (more generally, reductive) Lie group (real or complex)
- **A representation of  $\pi_1(S)$  in  $G$**  is a homomorphism  $\rho: \pi_1(S) \rightarrow G$

# Surface group representations

- The set  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)$  can be identified with

$$\{(A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in G^{2g} : [A_1, B_1] \cdots [A_g, B_g] = 1\}$$

This is an analytic subvariety of  $G^{2g}$  (algebraic if  $G$  is algebraic)

# Surface group representations

- The set  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)$  can be identified with

$$\{(A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in G^{2g} : [A_1, B_1] \cdots [A_g, B_g] = 1\}$$

This is an analytic subvariety of  $G^{2g}$  (algebraic if  $G$  is algebraic)

- Interested in the set of **equivalence classes of reps.**: The  **$G$ -character variety** of  $\pi_1(S)$

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)/G$$

where

$$(g \cdot \rho)(\gamma) = g\rho(\gamma)g^{-1}, \quad g \in G$$

- $[A, B] = 1 \implies \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G) = G^{2g}$

- $[A, B] = 1 \implies \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G) = G^{2g}$
- $G$  acts trivially on  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G) = G^{2g}$$



- $[A, B] = 1 \implies \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G) = G^{2g}$
- $G$  acts trivially on  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G) = G^{2g}$$

- $G = \text{U}(1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^* : |z| = 1\}$  (**compact abelian**)

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \text{U}(1)) = \text{U}(1)^{2g} \quad 2g - \text{dimensional torus}$$

- $[A, B] = 1 \implies \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G) = G^{2g}$

- $G$  acts trivially on  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G) = G^{2g}$$

- $G = \text{U}(1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^* : |z| = 1\}$  (**compact abelian**)

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \text{U}(1)) = \text{U}(1)^{2g} \quad 2g - \text{dimensional torus}$$

- This is related to **complex algebraic geometry**  
For this, we need a **complex structure** on  $S$ : For every  $x \in S$

$$J : T_x S \rightarrow T_x S \quad \text{so that} \quad J^2 = -\text{Id}$$

On a surface this is equivalent to having a **conformal structure** ( $J$  is a rotation by  $\pi/2$ )

- $X = (S, J)$  **Riemann surface**

- $X = (S, J)$  **Riemann surface**
- **Jacobian of  $X$ :**

$\text{Jac}(X) := \{\text{holomorphic line bundles on } X \text{ topologically trivial}\}$

- $X = (S, J)$  **Riemann surface**
- **Jacobian of  $X$ :**

$\text{Jac}(X) := \{\text{holomorphic line bundles on } X \text{ topologically trivial}\}$

- $L \rightarrow X$  **holomorphic line bundle**

On an open set  $U \subset X$ ,  $L|_U = U \times \mathbb{C}$ . For  $U, V \subset X$  open, the transition functions  $U \cap V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  are holomorphic

- $X = (S, J)$  **Riemann surface**
- **Jacobian of  $X$ :**

$\text{Jac}(X) := \{\text{holomorphic line bundles on } X \text{ topologically trivial}\}$

- $L \rightarrow X$  **holomorphic line bundle**

On an open set  $U \subset X$ ,  $L|_U = U \times \mathbb{C}$ . For  $U, V \subset X$  open, the transition functions  $U \cap V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  are holomorphic

- $\text{Jac}(X)$  is a **principally polarized abelian variety**  
**Torelli's theorem:** One can recover  $X$  from  $\text{Jac}(X)$

- $X = (S, J)$  **Riemann surface**
- **Jacobian of  $X$ :**

$\text{Jac}(X) := \{\text{holomorphic line bundles on } X \text{ topologically trivial}\}$

- $L \rightarrow X$  **holomorphic line bundle**

On an open set  $U \subset X$ ,  $L|_U = U \times \mathbb{C}$ . For  $U, V \subset X$  open, the transition functions  $U \cap V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  are holomorphic

- $\text{Jac}(X)$  is a **principally polarized abelian variety**  
**Torelli's theorem:** One can recover  $X$  from  $\text{Jac}(X)$

## Abel–Jacobi Theorem (19th century)

There is a diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathbb{U}(1)) \cong \text{Jac}(X).$$

# Abel–Jacobi theory

Some indications of the proof:



# Abel–Jacobi theory

Some indications of the proof:

- Let  $L$  be the trivial  $C^\infty$  line bundle on  $X$

$$\{\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)\} \longleftrightarrow \{\mathrm{U}(1)\text{-flat connections } d_A \text{ on } L\}$$

Some indications of the proof:

- Let  $L$  be the trivial  $C^\infty$  line bundle on  $X$

$$\{\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)\} \longleftrightarrow \{\mathrm{U}(1)\text{-flat connections } d_A \text{ on } L\}$$

- $d_A = d + A$ ,  $A \in \Omega^1(X)$  (1-form on  $X$ )

Take

$$\bar{\partial}_A := \bar{\partial} + \alpha \quad \text{with } \alpha = A^{0,1} \in \Omega^{0,1}(X)$$

$\bar{\partial}_A$  defines a holomorphic structure on  $L$  and hence an element in  $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$

Some indications of the proof:

- Let  $L$  be the trivial  $C^\infty$  line bundle on  $X$

$$\{\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)\} \longleftrightarrow \{\mathrm{U}(1) - \text{flat connections } d_A \text{ on } L\}$$

- $d_A = d + A$ ,  $A \in \Omega^1(X)$  (1-form on  $X$ )

Take

$$\bar{\partial}_A := \bar{\partial} + \alpha \quad \text{with } \alpha = A^{0,1} \in \Omega^{0,1}(X)$$

$\bar{\partial}_A$  defines a holomorphic structure on  $L$  and hence an element in  $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$

- To prove the converse, start with  $\bar{\partial}_L = \bar{\partial} + \alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Omega^{0,1}(X)$ . To recover  $A$ :

Take  $A = \alpha + \alpha^{*h}$  where  $h = e^u$  is a metric on  $L$

$$d_A \text{ flat} \iff dA = 0 \iff \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{Laplace equation}$$

# $G = \mathbb{C}^*$ (abelian complex group)

- The character variety in this case is

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathbb{C}^*) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{2g} \quad \text{complex torus}$$

## Abel–Jacobi–Hodge Theorem (1930s)

Let  $J$  be a complex structure on  $S$  and let  $X = (S, J)$  be the corresponding Riemann surface. Then there is a diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong T^* \text{Jac}(X)$$

- $T^* \text{Jac}(X)$  is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of  $\text{Jac}(X)$ , whose fibre at  $L \in \text{Jac}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $H^0(X, K)$ , where  $K$  is the **canonical line bundle** of  $X$ .

# $G = \mathbb{C}^*$ (abelian complex group)

- The character variety in this case is

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathbb{C}^*) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{2g} \quad \text{complex torus}$$

## Abel–Jacobi–Hodge Theorem (1930s)

Let  $J$  be a complex structure on  $S$  and let  $X = (S, J)$  be the corresponding Riemann surface. Then there is a diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong T^* \text{Jac}(X)$$

- $T^* \text{Jac}(X)$  is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of  $\text{Jac}(X)$ , whose fibre at  $L \in \text{Jac}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $H^0(X, K)$ , where  $K$  is the **canonical line bundle** of  $X$ .
- The proof is along the same lines as the  $U(1)$  case, using Hodge theory on the existence of **harmonic** forms

# $G = \mathbb{C}^*$ (abelian complex group)

- The character variety in this case is

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathbb{C}^*) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{2g} \quad \text{complex torus}$$

## Abel–Jacobi–Hodge Theorem (1930s)

Let  $J$  be a complex structure on  $S$  and let  $X = (S, J)$  be the corresponding Riemann surface. Then there is a diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong T^* \text{Jac}(X)$$

- $T^* \text{Jac}(X)$  is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of  $\text{Jac}(X)$ , whose fibre at  $L \in \text{Jac}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $H^0(X, K)$ , where  $K$  is the **canonical line bundle** of  $X$ .
- The proof is along the same lines as the  $U(1)$  case, using Hodge theory on the existence of **harmonic** forms
- $\mathcal{R}(S, \mathbb{C}^*)$  has a complex structure coming from  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , while  $T^* \text{Jac}(X)$  has a complex structure coming from  $X$ , and **this are not isomorphic: hyperkähler-structure**

# $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ (non abelian compact group)

- Need rank 2 holomorphic vector bundles  $E \rightarrow X$ :  
 $E|_U = U \times \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $U \subset X$  open and holomorphic transition functions  $U \cap V \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$
- $\Lambda^2 E \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  trivial holomorphic line bundle  $\deg E = 0$   
(degree = first Chern class)

# $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ (non abelian compact group)

- Need rank 2 holomorphic vector bundles  $E \rightarrow X$ :  
 $E|_U = U \times \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $U \subset X$  open and holomorphic transition functions  $U \cap V \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$
- $\Lambda^2 E \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  trivial holomorphic line bundle  $\deg E = 0$   
(degree = first Chern class)
- Not every such  $E$  arises from a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$ . We need **stability** (in the sense of **Mumford**) for  $E \rightarrow X$ :
  - $E$  is **stable** if  $\deg L < 0$  for every line subbundle  $L \subset E$
  - $E$  is **polystable** if it is stable or  $E = L \oplus L^{-1}$  with  $\deg L = 0$ .
- Let  $M(X, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$  be the **moduli space** of isomorphism classes of polystable  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -vector bundles on  $X$ . This is a **projective complex algebraic variety**.



# Narasimhan–Seshadri Theorem

## Narasimhan–Seshadri Theorem (1965)

There is a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong M(X, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$$

- Narasimhan–Seshadri proved the theorem actually for  $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ ,  $\mathrm{U}(n)$  and  $\mathrm{PU}(n)$

# Narasimhan–Seshadri Theorem

## Narasimhan–Seshadri Theorem (1965)

There is a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong M(X, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$$

- Narasimhan–Seshadri proved the theorem actually for  $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ ,  $\mathrm{U}(n)$  and  $\mathrm{PU}(n)$
- Donaldson (1983) gave another proof using gauge theory building upon work of Atiyah–Bott

## Narasimhan–Seshadri Theorem (1965)

There is a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong M(X, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$$

- Narasimhan–Seshadri proved the theorem actually for  $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ ,  $\mathrm{U}(n)$  and  $\mathrm{PU}(n)$
- Donaldson (1983) gave another proof using gauge theory building upon work of Atiyah–Bott
- The theorem was generalized to any connected compact Lie group by Ramanathan (1975) who proved

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G) \cong M(X, G^{\mathbb{C}}),$$

where  $G^{\mathbb{C}}$  is the complexification of  $G$ , and  $M(X, G^{\mathbb{C}})$  is the moduli space of polystable **principal  $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundles** over  $X$ .

# $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ (complex non abelian group)

- Need **Higgs bundles** (Hitchin 1987): Pairs  $(E, \varphi)$   
 $E$  is a holomorphic  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -vector bundle over  $X$   
 $\varphi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ , with  $\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi) = 0$  (**Higgs field**)

# $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ (complex non abelian group)

- Need **Higgs bundles** (Hitchin 1987): Pairs  $(E, \varphi)$   
 $E$  is a holomorphic  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -vector bundle over  $X$   
 $\varphi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ , with  $\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi) = 0$  (**Higgs field**)
- -  $(E, \varphi)$  is **stable** if  $\deg L < 0$  for every line subbundle  $L \subset E$  such that  $\varphi(L) \subset L \otimes K$   
-  $E$  is **polystable** if it is stable or  
 $(E, \varphi) = (L, \psi) \oplus (L^{-1}, \psi)$  with  $\deg L = 0$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{M}(X, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$  be the **moduli space** of isomorphism classes of polystable  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles on  $X$ . This is a **quasi-projective complex algebraic variety**.

# $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ (complex non abelian group)

- Need **Higgs bundles** (Hitchin 1987): Pairs  $(E, \varphi)$   
 $E$  is a holomorphic  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -vector bundle over  $X$   
 $\varphi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ , with  $\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi) = 0$  (**Higgs field**)
- -  $(E, \varphi)$  is **stable** if  $\deg L < 0$  for every line subbundle  $L \subset E$  such that  $\varphi(L) \subset L \otimes K$   
-  $E$  is **polystable** if it is stable or  
 $(E, \varphi) = (L, \psi) \oplus (L^{-1}, \psi)$  with  $\deg L = 0$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{M}(X, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$  be the **moduli space** of isomorphism classes of polystable  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles on  $X$ . This is a **quasi-projective complex algebraic variety**.
- **New phenomenon:** Now, not every representation  $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is “good”. We need **reductiveness**.

# $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ (complex non abelian group)

- Need **Higgs bundles** (Hitchin 1987): Pairs  $(E, \varphi)$   
 $E$  is a holomorphic  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -vector bundle over  $X$   
 $\varphi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ , with  $\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi) = 0$  (**Higgs field**)
- -  $(E, \varphi)$  is **stable** if  $\deg L < 0$  for every line subbundle  $L \subset E$  such that  $\varphi(L) \subset L \otimes K$   
-  $E$  is **polystable** if it is stable or  
 $(E, \varphi) = (L, \psi) \oplus (L^{-1}, \psi)$  with  $\deg L = 0$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{M}(X, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))$  be the **moduli space** of isomorphism classes of polystable  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles on  $X$ . This is a **quasi-projective complex algebraic variety**.
- **New phenomenon:** Now, not every representation  $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is “good”. We need **reductiveness**.
- $\rho$  is **reductive** if it is **irreducible** or a direct sum of irreducible representations (in this case, of two 1-dimensional representations)

# Non-abelian Hodge correspondence

- **Correct definition** of the  $G$ -character variety:

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)^{\text{reductive}} / G = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G) // G$$



# Non-abelian Hodge correspondence

- **Correct definition** of the  $G$ -character variety:

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)^{\text{reductive}} / G = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G) // G$$

Theorem (Hitchin 1987, Donaldson 1987)

There is a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathcal{M}(X, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})).$$

- The proof is a combination of two existence theorems for two systems of **non-linear PDEs**

# Non-abelian Hodge correspondence

- **Correct definition** of the  $G$ -character variety:

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)^{\text{reductive}} / G = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G) // G$$

Theorem (Hitchin 1987, Donaldson 1987)

There is a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathcal{M}(X, \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})).$$

- The proof is a combination of two existence theorems for two systems of **non-linear PDEs**

Theorem (Simpson 1988, Corlette 1988)

Let  $G$  be a semisimple complex Lie group, and let  $\mathcal{M}(X, G)$  be the moduli space of  $G$ -Higgs bundles. Then there is a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G) \cong \mathcal{M}(X, G).$$

# $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (non-abelian, non-compact, non-complex, real Lie group)

- $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  has a topological invariant (**Euler number**)  $d(\rho) \in \pi_1(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})) \cong \pi_1(\mathrm{SO}(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$

# $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (non-abelian, non-compact, non-complex, real Lie group)

- $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  has a topological invariant (**Euler number**)  $d(\rho) \in \pi_1(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})) \cong \pi_1(\mathrm{SO}(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$
- Define

$$\mathcal{R}_d := \{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(S, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})) \quad : \quad \text{with Euler number } d\}$$

# $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (non-abelian, non-compact, non-complex, real Lie group)

- $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  has a topological invariant (**Euler number**)  $d(\rho) \in \pi_1(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})) \cong \pi_1(\mathrm{SO}(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$
- Define

$$\mathcal{R}_d := \{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(S, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})) \quad : \quad \text{with Euler number } d\}$$

## Theorem (Milnor, 1958)

$\mathcal{R}_d$  is empty unless

$$|d| \leq g - 1$$

# $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (non-abelian, non-compact, non-complex, real Lie group)

- $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  has a topological invariant (**Euler number**)  $d(\rho) \in \pi_1(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})) \cong \pi_1(\mathrm{SO}(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$
- Define

$$\mathcal{R}_d := \{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(S, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})) : \text{with Euler number } d\}$$

## Theorem (Milnor, 1958)

$\mathcal{R}_d$  is empty unless

$$|d| \leq g - 1$$

## Theorem (Goldman, 1988; Hitchin, 1987)

- $\mathcal{R}_d$  is connected if  $|d| < g - 1$
- $\mathcal{R}_d$  has  $2^{2g}$  connected components if  $|d| = g - 1$

- **Goldman 1980:** Any of the  $2^{2g}$  connected components of  $\mathcal{R}_d$  for  $|d| = g - 1$ , consist of **Fuchsian representations** (discrete and faithful) and, can be identified with  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ , the **Teichmüller space**, parametrizing complex structures.

- **Goldman 1980:** Any of the  $2^{2g}$  connected components of  $\mathcal{R}_d$  for  $|d| = g - 1$ , consist of **Fuchsian representations** (discrete and faithful) and, can be identified with  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ , the **Teichmüller space**, parametrizing complex structures.
- $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -Higgs bundles (Hitchin 1987)

$$(E = L \oplus L^{-1}, \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$



- **Goldman 1980:** Any of the  $2^{2g}$  connected components of  $\mathcal{R}_d$  for  $|d| = g - 1$ , consist of **Fuchsian representations** (discrete and faithful) and, can be identified with  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ , the **Teichmüller space**, parametrizing complex structures.
- $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -Higgs bundles (Hitchin 1987)

$$(E = L \oplus L^{-1}, \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

- **Hitchin map:**

$$h : \mathcal{M}(X, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^0(X, K^2) \\ (E, \varphi) \mapsto \det(\varphi)$$

- **Goldman 1980:** Any of the  $2^{2g}$  connected components of  $\mathcal{R}_d$  for  $|d| = g - 1$ , consist of **Fuchsian representations** (discrete and faithful) and, can be identified with  $\mathcal{T}(S)$ , the **Teichmüller space**, parametrizing complex structures.
- $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -Higgs bundles (Hitchin 1987)

$$(E = L \oplus L^{-1}, \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

- **Hitchin map:**

$$h : \mathcal{M}(X, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^0(X, K^2) \\ (E, \varphi) \mapsto \det(\varphi)$$

- **Hitchin section:** Let  $L = K^{1/2}$  (there are  $2^{2g}$  choices). Take  $E = L \oplus L^{-1}$ . Let  $q \in H^0(X, K^2)$ . Taking

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ gives the } 2^{2g} \text{ components identified to } \mathcal{T}(S)$$

# Non-abelian Hodge correspondence for real forms

- Let  $G$  be a semisimple complex Lie group. A real subgroup  $G_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G$  is a **real form** of  $G$  if  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = G^{\sigma}$ , the fixed point subgroup of an **antiholomorphic involution** of  $G$ .

**Example:**  $SU(2)$  and  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  are real forms of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

# Non-abelian Hodge correspondence for real forms

- Let  $G$  be a semisimple complex Lie group. A real subgroup  $G_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G$  is a **real form** of  $G$  if  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = G^{\sigma}$ , the fixed point subgroup of an **antiholomorphic involution** of  $G$ .  
**Example:**  $SU(2)$  and  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  are real forms of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$
- Let  $G_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G$  be a real form. One can define  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles over  $X$ . These are pairs  $(E, \varphi)$ :
  - $E$  is a principal  $H$ -bundle over  $X$ , where  $H$  is the complexification of a maximal compact subgroup  $H_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$
  - $\varphi$  is a section of  $E(\mathfrak{m}) \otimes K$ , where  $E(\mathfrak{m})$  is the vector bundle associated to the isotropy representation of  $H$  ( $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m}$ )

## Non-abelian Hodge correspondence for a real form $G_{\mathbb{R}}$

Let  $\mathcal{M}(X, G_{\mathbb{R}})$  be the moduli space of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles. Then there is a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}(S, G_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{M}(X, G_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

# Non-abelian Hodge correspondence for real forms

- Again, the proof is a combination of two existence theorems for non-linear PDEs (G–Gothen–Mundet and Corlette)

# Non-abelian Hodge correspondence for real forms

- Again, the proof is a combination of two existence theorems for non-linear PDEs (G–Gothen–Mundet and Corlette)
- **topological invariant** of  $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$  given by  $c(\rho) \in \pi_1(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \pi_1(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Define the subvariety

$$\mathcal{R}_c(S, G^{\mathbb{R}}) := \{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(S, G^{\mathbb{R}}) : c(\rho) = c\}$$

# Non-abelian Hodge correspondence for real forms

- Again, the proof is a combination of two existence theorems for non-linear PDEs (G–Göthen–Mundet and Corlette)
- **topological invariant** of  $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$  given by  $c(\rho) \in \pi_1(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \pi_1(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Define the subvariety

$$\mathcal{R}_c(S, G^{\mathbb{R}}) := \{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(S, G^{\mathbb{R}}) : c(\rho) = c\}$$

- **Topological invariant** of  $(E, \varphi)$  is given by  $c(E, \varphi) \in \pi_1(H) \cong \pi_1(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Define the subvariety

$$\mathcal{M}_c(X, G^{\mathbb{R}}) := \{(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(X, G^{\mathbb{R}}) : c(E, \varphi) = c\}$$

# Non-abelian Hodge correspondence for real forms

- Again, the proof is a combination of two existence theorems for non-linear PDEs (G–Göthen–Mundet and Corlette)
- **topological invariant** of  $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$  given by  $c(\rho) \in \pi_1(G^{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \pi_1(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Define the subvariety

$$\mathcal{R}_c(S, G^{\mathbb{R}}) := \{\rho \in \mathcal{R}(S, G^{\mathbb{R}}) : c(\rho) = c\}$$

- **Topological invariant** of  $(E, \varphi)$  is given by  $c(E, \varphi) \in \pi_1(H) \cong \pi_1(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Define the subvariety

$$\mathcal{M}_c(X, G^{\mathbb{R}}) := \{(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(X, G^{\mathbb{R}}) : c(E, \varphi) = c\}$$

- Of course, the non-abelian Hodge correspondence restricts to give a homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}_c(S, G^{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{M}_c(X, G^{\mathbb{R}})$$



# Existence of higher Teichmüller spaces?

# Existence of higher Teichmüller spaces?

- **Question:** Are there other simple (higher rank) Lie groups with similar features to those of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ ? More precisely, simple groups for which the character variety has connected components consisting entirely of **discrete and faithful** representations? These components are referred as **higher (rank) Teichmüller spaces**.

# Existence of higher Teichmüller spaces?

- **Question:** Are there other simple (higher rank) Lie groups with similar features to those of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ ? More precisely, simple groups for which the character variety has connected components consisting entirely of **discrete and faithful** representations? These components are referred as **higher (rank) Teichmüller spaces**.
- It is well-known that this cannot happen for compact or complex groups
- Two classes of (non-compact) real forms were first identified:
  - 1 **Split** real forms
  - 2 Non-compact **Hermitian** real forms of tube type

# Existence of higher Teichmüller spaces?

- **Question:** Are there other simple (higher rank) Lie groups with similar features to those of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ ? More precisely, simple groups for which the character variety has connected components consisting entirely of **discrete and faithful** representations? These components are referred as **higher (rank) Teichmüller spaces**.
- It is well-known that this cannot happen for compact or complex groups
- Two classes of (non-compact) real forms were first identified:
  - 1 **Split** real forms
  - 2 Non-compact **Hermitian real forms of tube type**
- We approach the problem from the point of view of Higgs bundles

# $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ split real form of $G$

- Every semisimple complex Lie group has a split real form
- Split real forms for the classical groups:  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p + 1)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$

# $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ split real form of $G$

- Every semisimple complex Lie group has a split real form
- Split real forms for the classical groups:  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p + 1)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$
- **Hitchin map:**  $h : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow B(G) = \bigoplus H^0(X, K^{m_i})$   
For example, for  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $h$  is obtained by evaluating the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial at the Higgs field.

# $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ split real form of $G$

- Every semisimple complex Lie group has a split real form
- Split real forms for the classical groups:  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p + 1)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$
- **Hitchin map:**  $h : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow B(G) = \bigoplus H^0(X, K^{m_i})$   
For example, for  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $h$  is obtained by evaluating the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial at the Higgs field.
- This leads to the **Hitchin fibration** that has played a major role in Ngô's proof of the **Fundamental Lemma**.

- Every semisimple complex Lie group has a split real form
- Split real forms for the classical groups:  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p + 1)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$
- **Hitchin map:**  $h : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow B(G) = \bigoplus H^0(X, K^{m_i})$   
For example, for  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $h$  is obtained by evaluating the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial at the Higgs field.
- This leads to the **Hitchin fibration** that has played a major role in Ngô's proof of the **Fundamental Lemma**.
- Hitchin (1992) constructed a **section** of this map, and proved that the image is a connected component of  $\mathcal{M}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{R}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$ : **Hitchin component**.



- Every semisimple complex Lie group has a split real form
- Split real forms for the classical groups:  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p)$ ,  $\mathrm{SO}(p, p + 1)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$
- **Hitchin map**:  $h : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow B(G) = \bigoplus H^0(X, K^{m_i})$   
For example, for  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $h$  is obtained by evaluating the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial at the Higgs field.
- This leads to the **Hitchin fibration** that has played a major role in Ngô's proof of the **Fundamental Lemma**.
- Hitchin (1992) constructed a **section** of this map, and proved that the image is a connected component of  $\mathcal{M}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{R}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$ : **Hitchin component**.
- Labourie (2006) introduced the theory of **Anosov representations**, and using this proved that the Hitchin component consists entirely of discrete and faithful representations. So, it is a **higher Teichmüller space**

# $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ Hermitian real form of $G$

- The symmetric space  $G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a Kähler manifold  
**Example:**  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SO(2)$  **real hyperbolic plane**

# $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ Hermitian real form of $G$

- The symmetric space  $G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a Kähler manifold  
**Example:**  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SO(2)$  **real hyperbolic plane**
- Real forms of Hermitian type:  $SU(p, q)$ ,  $SO(2, n)$ ,  $SO^*(2n)$ ,  $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . A real form of  $E_6$  and  $E_7$

# $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ Hermitian real form of $G$

- The symmetric space  $G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a Kähler manifold  
**Example:**  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SO(2)$  **real hyperbolic plane**
- Real forms of Hermitian type:  $SU(p, q)$ ,  $SO(2, n)$ ,  $SO^*(2n)$ ,  $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . A real form of  $E_6$  and  $E_7$
- The torsion free part of  $\pi_1(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  and hence the natural invariant associated to a representation and Higgs bundle in this case is an integer  $d$  : the **Toledo invariant**
- **Milnor–Wood inequality:**

$$|d| \leq \text{rank}(G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}})(g - 1)$$

Proved for the classical groups by Domic–Toledo, Turaev, Bradlow–G–Gothen and in general by Burger–Iozzi–Wienhard and Biquard–G–Rubio.

- Consider **maximal Toledo invariant**:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\max}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) := \mathcal{M}_d(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ for } |d| = \text{rank}(G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}})(g - 1)$$

- Consider **maximal Toledo invariant**:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\max}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) := \mathcal{M}_d(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ for } |d| = \text{rank}(G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}})(g - 1)$$

- **Tube type condition**

$$\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(2) \cong \text{Poincaré disc} \cong \text{upper half plane}$$

- Consider **maximal Toledo invariant**:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\max}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) := \mathcal{M}_d(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ for } |d| = \text{rank}(G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}})(g-1)$$

- **Tube type condition**

$$\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(2) \cong \text{Poincaré disc} \cong \text{upper half plane}$$

- For some Hermitian  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  there is an “upper half plane” realization:

$$G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \text{Tube over } G'_{\mathbb{R}}/H'_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ (a non-compact symmetric space)}$$

- **Example:**  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})/\text{U}(n)$  is **Siegel upper half plane**. Here  $G'_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $H'_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{O}(n)$

- Consider **maximal Toledo invariant**:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\max}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) := \mathcal{M}_d(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ for } |d| = \text{rank}(G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}})(g-1)$$

- **Tube type condition**

$$\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(2) \cong \text{Poincaré disc} \cong \text{upper half plane}$$

- For some Hermitian  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  there is an “upper half plane” realization:

$$G_{\mathbb{R}}/H_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \text{Tube over } G'_{\mathbb{R}}/H'_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ (a non-compact symmetric space)}$$

- **Example:**  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})/\text{U}(n)$  is **Siegel upper half plane**. Here  $G'_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $H'_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{O}(n)$
- Tube type:  $\text{SU}(p, p)$ ,  $\text{SO}(2, n)$ ,  $\text{SO}^*(4m)$ ,  $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ , real form of  $E_7$



## Theorem (Cayley correspondence)

Let  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  be of tube type and  $\mathcal{M}_{K^2}(G'_{\mathbb{R}})$  be the moduli space of  $K^2$ -twisted  $G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles. There is an isomorphism of complex algebraic varieties

$$\mathcal{M}_{\max}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{M}_{K^2}(G'_{\mathbb{R}})$$

- Proved by the classical groups by Bradlow–G–Gothen and G–Gothen–Mundet and in general by Biquard–G–Rubio (2017)

## Theorem (Cayley correspondence)

Let  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  be of tube type and  $\mathcal{M}_{K^2}(G'_{\mathbb{R}})$  be the moduli space of  $K^2$ -twisted  $G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles. There is an isomorphism of complex algebraic varieties

$$\mathcal{M}_{\max}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{M}_{K^2}(G'_{\mathbb{R}})$$

- Proved by the classical groups by Bradlow–G–Gothen and G–Gothen–Mundet and in general by Biquard–G–Rubio (2017)
- This correspondence exhibits **hidden topological invariants**

## Theorem (Cayley correspondence)

Let  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  be of tube type and  $\mathcal{M}_{K^2}(G'_{\mathbb{R}})$  be the moduli space of  $K^2$ -twisted  $G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ -Higgs bundles. There is an isomorphism of complex algebraic varieties

$$\mathcal{M}_{\max}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{M}_{K^2}(G'_{\mathbb{R}})$$

- Proved by the classical groups by Bradlow–G–Gothen and G–Gothen–Mundet and in general by Biquard–G–Rubio (2017)
- This correspondence exhibits **hidden topological invariants**
- Burger–Iozzi–Labourie–Wienhard proved that if  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  is of tube type  $\mathcal{R}_{\max}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  consists entirely of discrete and faithful representations: **higher Teichmüller spaces**

# Higher Teichmüller spaces for other groups?

- Some evidence for the family  $SO(p, q)$  came from the PhD Thesis of Marta Aparicio (2009)

# Higher Teichmüller spaces for other groups?

- Some evidence for the family  $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$  came from the PhD Thesis of Marta Aparicio (2009)
- Indeed, in Aparicio–Bradlow–Collier–G–Gothen–Oliveira, Invent. Math. (2019) a **generalized Cayley correspondence** was given for  $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$  exhibiting the existence of special components for  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ .

# Higher Teichmüller spaces for other groups?

- Some evidence for the family  $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$  came from the PhD Thesis of Marta Aparicio (2009)
- Indeed, in Aparicio–Bradlow–Collier–G–Gothen–Oliveira, Invent. Math. (2019) a **generalized Cayley correspondence** was given for  $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$  exhibiting the existence of special components for  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ .
- More recently (2021), a **full classification** of real simple groups  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  for which there are higher Teichmüller spaces has been given. Combination of two developments:
  - ① The notion of **positivity** for certain real groups introduced by Guichard–Wienhard (2016), generalizing Lusztig’s total positivity for split real forms. And the study of **positive representations** (which, in particular are discrete and faithful) by Guichard–Labourie–Wienhard (2021).

# Higher Teichmüller spaces for other groups?

- Some evidence for the family  $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$  came from the PhD Thesis of Marta Aparicio (2009)
- Indeed, in Aparicio–Bradlow–Collier–G–Gothen–Oliveira, Invent. Math. (2019) a **generalized Cayley correspondence** was given for  $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$  exhibiting the existence of special components for  $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ .
- More recently (2021), a **full classification** of real simple groups  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  for which there are higher Teichmüller spaces has been given. Combination of two developments:
  - ① The notion of **positivity** for certain real groups introduced by Guichard–Wienhard (2016), generalizing Lusztig’s total positivity for split real forms. And the study of **positive representations** (which, in particular are discrete and faithful) by Guichard–Labourie–Wienhard (2021).
  - ② Notion of **magical  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triples** and the **general Cayley correspondence** given by Bradlow–Collier–G–Gothen–Oliveira (2021).

# General Cayley correspondence

The list of groups  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  admitting a positive structure and magical  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triples are the following:

- 1 Split real forms
- 2 Hermitian real forms of tube type
- 3  $SO(p, q)$
- 4 **Quaternionic real forms of  $E_6, E_7, E_8$  and  $F_4$**



# General Cayley correspondence

The list of groups  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  admitting a positive structure and magical  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triples are the following:

- 1 Split real forms
- 2 Hermitian real forms of tube type
- 3  $SO(p, q)$
- 4 **Quaternionic real forms** of  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$  and  $F_4$

## General Cayley correspondence (BCGGO, 2021)

Let  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  be as in the list. The magical  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple defines integers  $m_i$  and a group  $G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then there is a subvariety  $\mathcal{C}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{M}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  defined as the image of a map

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^{m_c}}(G'_{\mathbb{R}}) \times \bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq c} H^0(K^{m_i}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$$

which is an isomorphism onto its image, open and closed in  $\mathcal{M}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  is a union of connected components.

# Cayley components = Higher Teichmüller components

- The connected components of  $\mathcal{C}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  are called **Cayley components**

# Cayley components = Higher Teichmüller components

- The connected components of  $\mathcal{C}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  are called **Cayley components**

## Theorem (Guichard–Labourie–Wienhard, 2021)

- Positive representations are **Anosov** (hence discrete and faithful)
- The set of positive representations is **open** in  $\mathcal{R}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  (this was known)
- The set of positive representations is **closed** in  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{irreducible}}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{R}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$

# Cayley components = Higher Teichmüller components

- The connected components of  $\mathcal{C}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  are called **Cayley components**

## Theorem (Guichard–Labourie–Wienhard, 2021)

- Positive representations are **Anosov** (hence discrete and faithful)
  - The set of positive representations is **open** in  $\mathcal{R}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  (this was known)
  - The set of positive representations is **closed** in  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{irreducible}}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{R}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$
- In [BCGGO,2021] we prove that  $\mathcal{C}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  contains positive representations and that  $\mathcal{C}(G_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{R}^{\text{irreducible}}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$ . By the openness and closedness conditions of positive reps,  $\mathcal{C}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  consists entirely of positive representations. Hence
- **Cayley comp. = Higher Teichmüller comp.**