HILBERT COEFFICIENTS OF GOOD *I*-FILTRATIONS OF MODULES

LE XUAN DUNG

Faculty of Natural Sciences, Hong Duc University No 565 Quang Trung, Dong Ve, Thanh Hoa, Vietnam E-mail: lexuandung@hdu.edu.vn

ABSTRACT. Let M be a finitely generated module of dimention d over a Noetherian local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let be a pair of good I-filtrations \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' of M. We show that the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(\mathbb{F})$ are bounded below and above in terms of $i, e_0(\mathbb{F}'), ..., e_i(\mathbb{F}')$, and reduction numbers of \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' , for all $i \geq 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a commutative Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and M be a finitely generated A-module of dimension d. Let I be an ideal of A; an I-filtration \mathbb{F} of M is a collection of submodules F_n such that

$$M = F_0 \supseteq F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_n \supseteq \cdots$$

with the property that $IF_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$ for all $n \ge 0$. In the present work we consider only good *I*-filtrations of M: this means that $IF_n = F_{n+1}$ for all sufficiently large n.

The Hilbert-Samuel function $H_{\mathbb{F}}(n) = \ell(M/F_{n+1})$ agrees with the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial $P_{\mathbb{F}}(n)$ for $n \gg 0$ and we may write

$$P_{\mathbb{F}}(n) = e_0(\mathbb{F})\binom{n+d}{d} - e_1(\mathbb{F})\binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \dots + (-1)^d e_d(\mathbb{F}).$$

The numbers $e_0(\mathbb{F}), e_1(\mathbb{F}), ..., e_d(\mathbb{F})$ are called the Hilbert coefficients of \mathbb{F} .

The notation of Hilbert function is central in communication algebra and is becoming increasingly importan in algebraic geometry and in computational algebra. Let be a good *I*-filtration \mathbb{F} of *M*, the Hilbert-Samuel function and the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial of \mathbb{F} give a lot of information on *M*. Therefore, it is of interest to examine properties of the Hilbert coefficients of \mathbb{F} , see ([5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20]). For further applications, we need to consider another filtration related to *I* of *M*. Given a pair of good *I*-filtrations \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' of *M*, we want to compare \mathbb{F} with \mathbb{F}' . Atiyah-Macdnald ([1, Propsition 11.4]) and Brun-Hezog ([2, Proposition 4.6.5]) showed that $e_0(\mathbb{F}) = e_0(\mathbb{F}')$. In some special cases, Rossi-Vall in [15] gave alower bounds and upper bounds on $e_1(\mathbb{F})$ in terms of $e_0(\mathbb{F}')$, $e_1(\mathbb{F}')$, and other invarians of *M*. How about the other coefficients? The main goal of this paper is to show that $|e_i(\mathbb{F})|$ are bounded by a function depeding only i, $e_0(\mathbb{F}')$, ..., $e_i(\mathbb{F}')$, and reduction numbers of \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' , for all $i \geq 1$ (see Theorem 3.3). These bounds

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13D40, 13A30

Key words and phrases: good filtration, associated graded module, Hilbert coefficients, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity.

are far from being sharp, but they have some interest because very little is known about relationships between $e_0(\mathbb{F}), ..., e_d(\mathbb{F})$ and $e_0(\mathbb{F}'), ..., e_d(\mathbb{F}')$.

Our paper is outlined as follows. In the next section, we collect notations and terminology used in the paper and start with a few preliminary results on bounding the length of local homology modules (see Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6). In Section 3, we give new bounds on the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F}))$ of \mathbb{F} (see Theorem 3.2) and show that the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(\mathbb{F})$ are bounded below and above in terms of $i, e_0(\mathbb{F}'), ..., e_i(\mathbb{F}')$, and reduction numbers of \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' , for all $i \geq 1$ (see Theorem 3.3).

2. HILBERT COEFFICIENTS AND LOCAL COHOMOMOLOGY MODULES

In this section, we recall notations and terminology used in the paper, and a number of auxiliary results. Generally, we will follow standard texts in this research area (cf. [3, 4, 15]).

Let $R = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} R_n$ be a Noetherian standard graded ring over a local Artinian ring (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0) such that R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0 is an infinite field. Let E be a finitely generated graded R-module of dimension d. We denote the Hilbert function $\ell_{R_0}(E_t)$ and the Hilbert polynomial of E by $h_E(t)$ and $p_E(t)$, respectively. Writing $p_E(t)$ in the form:

$$p_E(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i e_i(E) \binom{t+d-1-i}{d-1-i},$$

we call the numbers $e_i(E)$ Hilbert coefficients of E.

Let $H_{R^+}^i(E)$, for $i \ge 0$, denote the *i*-th local cohomology module of E with respect to R^+ . The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of E is defined by

$$\operatorname{reg}(E) := \max\{i + j | H_{R^+}^i(E)_j \neq 0, 0 \le i \le d\}$$

and the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of E at and above level 1 is defined by

$$\operatorname{reg}^{1}(E) := \max\{i + j | H_{R^{+}}^{i}(E)_{j} \neq 0, 0 < i \leq d\}.$$

From [19, Theorem 2], Dung-Hoa in [6] derived an explicit bound for reg¹(E) in terms of $e_i(E)$, $0 \le i \le d-1$ and the maximal generating degree of E.

$$\Delta'(E) = \max\{\Delta(E), 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. ([6, Lemma 1.2]) Let E be a finitely generated graded R-module of dimension $d \ge 1$. Put

$$\xi_{d-1}(E) = \max\{e_0(E), |e_1(E)|, ..., |e_{d-1}(E)|\}.$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{reg}^{1}(E) \leq (\xi_{d-1}(E) + \Delta'(E) + 1)^{d!} - 2.$$

Our method in proving the main result is to pass to the associated grade modules, so we shall recall this notation and some more definitions.

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring with an infinite residue field $K := A/\mathfrak{m}$ and M a finitely generated A-module. (Although the assumption K being infinite is not essential, because we can tensor A with K(t).) Given a proper ideal I. A chain of submodules

$$\mathbb{F}: M = F_0 \supseteq F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_n \supseteq \cdots$$

is called an *I*-filtration of M if $IF_i \subseteq F_{i+1}$ for all i, and a good *I*-filtration if $IF_i = F_{i+1}$ for all sufficiently large i. A module M with a filtration is called a filtered module (see [3, III 2.1]). If N is a submodule of M, then the sequence $\{F_n + N/N\}$ is a good *I*-filtration of M/N and will be denoted by \mathbb{F}/N .

Throughout the paper we always assume that I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal and \mathbb{F} is a good I-filtration. The *associated graded module* to the filtration \mathbb{F} is defined by

$$G(\mathbb{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} F_n / F_{n+1}.$$

We also say that $G(\mathbb{F})$ is the associated ring of the filtered module M. This is a finitely generated graded module over the standard graded ring $G := G(I, A) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$ (see [3, Proposition III 3.3]). In particular, when \mathbb{F} is the *I*-adic filtration $\{I^nM\}, G(\mathbb{F})$ is just the usual associated graded module G(I, M).

We call $H_{\mathbb{F}}(n) = \ell(M/F_{n+1})$ the Hilbert-Samuel function of M w.r.t \mathbb{F} . This function agrees with a polynomial - called the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial and denoted by $P_{\mathbb{F}}(n)$ - for $n \gg 0$. If we write

$$P_{\mathbb{F}}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^{i} e_{i}(\mathbb{F}) \binom{t+d-i}{d-i},$$

then the integers $e_i(\mathbb{F})$ are called *Hilbert coefficients* of \mathbb{F} (see [15, Section 1]). When $\mathbb{F} = \{I^n M\}, H_{\mathbb{F}}(n)$ and $P_{\mathbb{F}}(n)$ are usually denoted by $H_{I,M}(n)$ and $P_{I,M}(n)$, respectively, and $e_i(\mathbb{F}) = e_i(I, M)$. Note that $e_i(\mathbb{F}) = e_i(G(\mathbb{F}))$ for $0 \le i \le d-1$. Then

Lemma 2.2. ([1, Proposition 11.4] and [2, Proposition 4.6.5]) Let \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' be good *I*-filtrations of M. Then we have

$$e_0(G(\mathbb{F})) = e_0(\mathbb{F}) = e_0(\mathbb{F}').$$

We call

 $r(\mathbb{F}) = \min\{r \ge 0 \mid F_{n+1} = IF_n \text{ for all } n \ge r\}$

the reduction number of \mathbb{F} (w.r.t. I). When $\mathbb{F} = \{I^n M\}, r(\mathbb{F}) = 0$.

Denote the filtration $\mathbb{F}/H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \overline{\mathbb{F}}$. Let

$$h^0(M) = \ell(H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)).$$

The relationship between $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F}))$ and $\operatorname{reg}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}))$ is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. ([5, Lemma 1.9]) $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})); r(\mathbb{F})\} + h^0(M).$

From now on, we will often use the following notation:

$$\xi_s(\mathbb{F}) = \max\{e_0(\mathbb{F}), |e_1(\mathbb{F})|, ..., |e_s(\mathbb{F})|\},\$$

where $0 \leq s \leq d$. We see that

$$\xi_0(\mathbb{F}) \le \xi_1(\mathbb{F}) \le \dots \le \xi_d(\mathbb{F}) = \xi(\mathbb{F}).$$
(1)

Using the [15, Proposition 1.2 and Proposition 2.3] we get

Lemma 2.4. Let $x_1, ..., x_d$ be an \mathbb{F} -superficial sequence for I and $\overline{M} = M/H_m^0(M)$. Set $M_i = M/(x_1, ..., x_i)M$ and $\mathbb{F}_i = \mathbb{F}/(x_1, ..., x_i)M$, where $F_0 = M$, $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{F}$, $0 \le i \le d-1$. Then we have

i) $\xi_j(\overline{\mathbb{F}}) = \xi_j(\mathbb{F})$ for all $j \leq d-1$,

ii) $\xi_j(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M}) = \xi_j(\mathbb{F})$ for all $j \leq d-1$, iii) $\xi_j(\mathbb{F}_i) = \xi_j(\mathbb{F})$ for all $j \leq d-i-1$.

Proof. i) By [15, Proposition 2.3], $e_i(\mathbb{F}) = e_i(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$, for all $0 \le i \le d-1$. Hence $\xi_j(\overline{\mathbb{F}}) = \xi_j(\mathbb{F})$ for all $j \le d-1$. ii) We have depth(\overline{M}) > 0, by [15, Proposition 1.2],

we have deptn
$$(M) > 0$$
, by [15, Proposition 1.2],

$$e_i(\mathbb{F}/x_1M) = e_i(\mathbb{F}), \text{ for all } 0 \le i \le d-1.$$

Therefor

$$\xi_j(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M}) = \xi_j(\overline{\mathbb{F}}), \text{ for all } 0 \le j \le d-1.$$

By i), we get $\xi_j(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M}) = \xi_j(\mathbb{F})$ for all $j \leq d-1$. iii) By [15, Proposition 1.2], dim $(M_{i-1}) = d - i + 1$ and

$$e_k(\mathbb{F}_i) = e_k(\mathbb{F}_{i-1}/x_iM_{i-1}) = e_k(\mathbb{F}_{i-1}), \text{ for all } 0 \le k \le d-i-1.$$

Hence $e_k(\mathbb{F}_i) = e_k(\mathbb{F})$ for all $0 \le k \le d-i-1, 0 \le i \le d-1$. Therefor $\xi_j(\mathbb{F}_i) = \xi_j(\mathbb{F})$ for all $j \le d-i-1$.

We can improve the bounds in [6, Lemma 1.10 and Lemma 1.11]. In the following results, we can replace $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F}))$ by the Hilbert coefficients of \mathbb{F} .

Lemma 2.5. Let \mathbb{F} a good *I*-filtration of M and $x_1, x_2, ..., x_d$ be an \mathbb{F} -superficial sequence for I. Set $M_i = M/(x_1, ..., x_i)M$ and $\mathbb{F}_i = \mathbb{F}/(x_1, ..., x_i)M$ where $F_0 = M$ and $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{F}$. Then we have

$$h^{0}(M_{i}) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{i} \xi_{d-i+k}(\mathbb{F})(\xi_{d-i-1+k}(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{(d-i+k).(d-i+k)!}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Proof. i) By [5, Lemma 1.8] and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\operatorname{reg}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}_i})) = \operatorname{reg}^1(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}_i})) \le (\xi_{d-i-1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_i}) + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}_i}) + 1)^{(d-i)!} - 2.$$

From Lemma 2.4 i) and iii) we get $\xi_{d-i-1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_i}) = \xi_{d-i-1}(\mathbb{F}_i) = \xi_{d-i-1}(\mathbb{F})$ and $r(\overline{\mathbb{F}_i}) \leq r(\mathbb{F})$, therefore

$$\operatorname{reg}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}_i})) \le (\xi_{d-i-1}(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{(d-i)!} - 2 =: m_i.$$

For i = 0, by Lemma [6, Lemma 1.6], we have

$$h^{0}(F_{0}) = h^{0}(M) \leq P_{\mathbb{F}}(m_{0}) \leq \xi_{d}(\mathbb{F}) \sum_{j=0}^{d} \binom{d+m_{0}-j}{d-j}$$
$$= \xi_{d}(\mathbb{F})\binom{m_{0}+d+1}{d} \leq \xi_{d}(\mathbb{F})(m_{0}+2)^{d} = \xi_{d}(\mathbb{F})(\xi_{d-1}(\mathbb{F})+r(\mathbb{F})+1)^{d.d!}.$$

For $0 < i \leq d - 1$, by [15, Proposition 1.2], we have $e_j(\mathbb{F}_i) = e_j(\mathbb{F}_{i-1})$ for all $0 \leq j \leq d - i - 1$. Similarly, as in the proof of [6, Lemma 1.10] and Lemma 2.4 iii) we have

$$|e_{d-i}(\mathbb{F}_i)| \le \xi_{d-i}(\mathbb{F}_{i-1}) + h^0(M_{i-1}) \le \xi_{d-i}(\mathbb{F}) + h^0(M_{i-1}).$$

It implies that

$$h^{0}(M_{i}) \leq \xi_{d-i}(\mathbb{F}) \binom{m_{i}+d-i+1}{d-i} - \xi_{d-i}(\mathbb{F}) + |e_{d-i}(\mathbb{F}_{i})| \\ \leq \xi_{d-i}(\mathbb{F})(m_{i}+2)^{d-i} + h^{0}(M_{i-1}) \\ \leq \xi_{d-i}(\mathbb{F})(\xi_{d-i-1}(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{(d-i)(d-i)!} + \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \xi_{d-i+1+k}(\mathbb{F})(\xi_{d-i+k}(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{(d-i+1+k).(d-i+1+k)!}$$
 (by induction hypothesis)
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{i} \xi_{d-i+k}(\mathbb{F})(\xi_{d-i+k-1}(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{(d-i+k).(d-i+k)!}.$$

Lemma 2.6. Set $B = \ell(M/(x_1, x_2, ..., x_d)M)$, where $x_1, x_2, ..., x_d$ be an \mathbb{F} -superficial sequence for I and put $\xi_{-1} = 0$. We have

$$B \leq \sum_{k=0}^{a} \xi_k(\mathbb{F})(\xi_{k-1}(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{k.k!}.$$

Proof. Take the proof of the [6, Lemma 1.11]. We have

$$B \le e_0(\mathbb{F}) + h^0(M_{d-1}).$$
 (2)

By Lemma 2.5, $h^0(M_{d-1}) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \xi_{1+k}(\mathbb{F})(\xi_k(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{(1+k)\cdot(1+k)!}$. From this estimation we immediately get

$$B \leq e_0(\mathbb{F}) + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \xi_{1+k}(\mathbb{F})(\xi_k(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{(1+k)(1+k)!}$$

= $\xi_0(\mathbb{F}) + \sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k(\mathbb{F})(\xi_{k-1}(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{k.k!}$
= $\sum_{k=0}^d \xi_k(\mathbb{F})(\xi_{k-1}(\mathbb{F}) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{k.k!}.$

3. Main results

Throughout this section, \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' will be a pair of good *I*-filtrations of a finitely generated module *M* over a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) , where *I* is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. The aim of this section is to show that the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(\mathbb{F})$ are bounded below and above in terms of $e_0(\mathbb{F}'), ..., e_i(\mathbb{F}'), i, r(\mathbb{F})$, and $r(\mathbb{F}')$, for all $i \geq 1$.

In order to prove the main result of this paper, we need bound on the Castelnouvo-Mumford regularity $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F}))$ of \mathbb{F} in terms of d, $e_0(\mathbb{F}'), ..., e_d(\mathbb{F}'), r(\mathbb{F})$, and $r(\mathbb{F}')$.

Lemma 3.1. ([5, Proof of Theorem 1.5]) Let dim $M = d \ge 2$, x be an \mathbb{F} -superficial sequence for I. We have

$$\operatorname{reg}^{1}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})/x^{*}G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})) = \operatorname{reg}^{1}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x\overline{M})).$$

Theorem 3.2. Let \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' be are good *I*-filtrations of *M* with dim $(M) = d \ge 1$

$$\mathbb{F}: M = F_0 \supseteq F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_n \supseteq \cdots$$
$$\mathbb{F}': M = F'_0 \supseteq F'_1 \supseteq F'_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F'_n \supseteq \cdots$$

Then

i) $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) \leq (\xi(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}') + 1)(\xi(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1) - 2 \text{ if } d = 1,$ ii) $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) \leq (\xi(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}') + 1)^6(\xi(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1) - 3 \text{ if } d = 2,$

iii)
$$\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) \le (\xi(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}') + 1)^{(d-1)(d+1)!-d} (\xi(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{(d-1)!} - d \text{ if } d \ge 3.$$

Proof. Let $\xi := \xi(\mathbb{F}')$, $r := r(\mathbb{F})$ and $r' := r(\mathbb{F}')$. We distinguish two cases If d = 1, then \overline{M} is a Cohen-Macaulay module. By [5, Lemma 1.8], [10, Lemma 2.2], Lemma 2.2, $r(\overline{\mathbb{F}}) \leq r$ and (1)

$$\operatorname{reg}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})) \leq e_0(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})) + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}}) - 1 \leq e_0(\mathbb{F}') + r - 1 \leq \xi + r - 1.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.3 and applying Lemma 2.5 to \mathbb{F}' , we then obtain

$$\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})); r\} + h^0(M) \\ \leq \xi + r - 1 + \xi(\xi + r' + 1) \\ \leq \xi + r - 1 + \xi(\xi + r') + (\xi + r') \\ = (\xi + r) + (\xi + 1)(\xi + r') - 1 \\ \leq (\xi + r + 1) + (\xi + r + 1)(\xi + r') - 2 \\ \leq (\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) - 2.$$

If $d \geq 2$, let $x_1, x_2, ..., x_d$ be an \mathbb{F} -superficial sequence and \mathbb{F}' -superficial sequence for I. Put $\overline{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F}/H^0_m(M)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}'} = \mathbb{F}'/H^0_m(M)$. We have $\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}$ be are good I-filtrations of $\overline{M}/x_1\overline{M}$. Let $m \geq \max\{\operatorname{reg}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M})), r\}$, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\operatorname{reg}^{1}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})/x_{1}^{*}G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})) = \operatorname{reg}^{1}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_{1}\overline{M})) \leq m.$$

Hence, by [10, Theorem 2.7],

$$\operatorname{reg}^{1}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})) \leq m + P_{G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})}(m).$$

Since [5, Lemma 1.6] and [5, Lemma 1.7(i)]

$$P_{G(\overline{\mathbb{F}})}(m) \leq H_{I,\overline{M}/x_1\overline{M}}(m) \\ \leq \binom{m+d-1}{d-1} \ell\left(\left(\overline{M}/x_1\overline{M}\right)/(x_2,...,x_n)\left(\overline{M}/x_1\overline{M}\right)\right) \leq B\binom{m+d-1}{d-1}.$$

Therefor, by Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) \le m + h^0(M) + B\binom{m+d-1}{d-1}.$$
(3)

If d = 2. Let $m = (\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) - 2$. Since (i) of the theorem, $r(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{\mathbb{F}'}) \leq r'$, $r(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{\mathbb{F}}) \leq r$ and by Lemma 2.4 ii), we get

$$\operatorname{reg}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M})) \leq (\xi_1(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}) + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}) + 1)(\xi_1(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}) + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M}) + 1) - 2.$$

$$= (\xi_1(\mathbb{F'}) + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}) + 1)(\xi_1(\mathbb{F'}) + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M}) + 1) - 2.$$

$$\leq (\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) - 2 = m.$$

Hence, $\max\{\operatorname{reg}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M})), r\} \leq m$. From (1), (3), and applying Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.6 to \mathbb{F}' , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) &\leq m + h_0(M) + B(m+1) \\ &\leq (\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) - 1 + \xi(\xi + r' + 1)^4 + \\ &+ [\xi + \xi(\xi + r' + 1) + \xi(\xi + r' + 1)^4](\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) \\ &\leq (\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) + \xi(\xi + r' + 1)^3(\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) + \\ &+ [\xi + \xi(\xi + r' + 1)^2 + \xi(\xi + r' + 1)^4](\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) - 3 \\ &\leq [1 + \xi + \xi(\xi + r' + 1)^2 + \xi(\xi + r' + 1)^3 + \xi(\xi + r' + 1)^4](\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) - 3 \\ &\leq (\xi + r' + 1)^5(\xi + r' + 1)(\xi + r + 1) - 3 \\ &= (\xi + r' + 1)^6(\xi + r + 1) - 3. \end{aligned}$$

If $d \ge 3$. The case m = 0 is trivial. Then for all m > 0.

By the induction hypothessis, $r(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M}) \leq r$, $r(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}) \leq r'$ and by Lemma 2.4 ii), we have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{reg}(G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x\overline{M})) &\leq (\xi_{d-1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}) + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}) + 1)^{(d-2)d!-d}(\xi_{d-1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}) + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M}) + 1)^{(d-2)!} - d + 1 \\ &= (\xi_{d-1}(\mathbb{F}') + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}'}/x_1\overline{M}) + 1)^{(d-2)d!-d}(\xi_{d-1}(\mathbb{F}') + r(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/x_1\overline{M}) + 1)^{(d-2)!} - d + 1 \\ &\leq (\xi + r' + 1)^{(d-2)d!-d}(\xi + r + 1)^{(d-2)!} - d + 1. \end{split}$$

We can take

$$m = (\xi + r' + 1)^{(d-2)d! - d} (\xi + r + 1)^{(d-2)!} - d + 1.$$

From (3) and applying Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.6 to \mathbb{F}' , we get

$$\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) \leq m + \xi_d(\mathbb{F}')(\xi_{d-1}(\mathbb{F}') + r' + 1)^{d.d!} + \sum_{k=0}^d \xi_k(\mathbb{F}')(\xi_{k-1}(\mathbb{F}') + r' + 1)^{k.k!} \binom{m+d-1}{d-1} \\ < \sum_{k=0}^d \xi_k(\mathbb{F}')(\xi_{k-1}(\mathbb{F}') + r' + 1)^{k.k!}(m+1)^{d-1} - 1 \\ \leq (\xi_d(\mathbb{F}') + r' + 1)^{d.d!+1}(m+1)^{d-1} - 1 \\ \leq (\xi_d(\mathbb{F}') + r' + 1)^{d.d!+1} \left[(\xi + r' + 1)^{(d-2)d!-d}(\xi + r + 1)^{(d-2)!} - d \right]^{d-1} - 1 \\ \leq (\xi + r' + 1)^{(d-1)(d+1)!-d}(\xi + r + 1)^{(d-1)!} - d.$$

Now we are going to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.3. Let \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' be good *I*-filtrations of *M* with dim $(M) = d \ge 1$

$$\mathbb{F}: M = F_0 \supseteq F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_n \supseteq \cdots$$
$$\mathbb{F}': M = F'_0 \supseteq F'_1 \supseteq F'_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F'_n \supseteq \cdots$$

Then

i)
$$|e_1(\mathbb{F})| \leq \xi_1(\mathbb{F}')(\xi_1(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}') + 1)^2(\xi_1(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1);$$

ii) $|e_2(\mathbb{F})| \leq \xi_2(\mathbb{F}')(\xi_2(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}') + 1)^{17}(\xi_2(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^2;$
iii) $|e_i(\mathbb{F})| \leq \xi_i(\mathbb{F}')(\xi_i(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}') + 1)^{(i^2-1)i!-i+1}(\xi_i(\mathbb{F}') + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{i!} \text{ if } i \geq 3.$

Proof. i) By [5, (8)] we have

$$\ell(M/F_{m+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^{i} e_{i}(\mathbb{F}) \binom{m+d-i}{d-i}$$
(4)

for any $m \geq \operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F}))$. For short we write $\xi_i := \xi_i(\mathbb{F}'), r := r(\mathbb{F})$, and $r' := r(\mathbb{F}')$.

Assume that d = 1. Putting $m := (\xi_1 + r' + 1)(\xi_1 + r + 1) - 1$, by Theorem 3.2 i) and (4), we have

$$e_1(\mathbb{F}) = (m+1)e_0(\mathbb{F}) - \ell(M/F_{m+1})$$
 (5)

Since $F_n = I^{n-r}F_r$ for $n \ge r$ and $M_r \ne 0$

$$\ell(M/F_{m+1}) = \ell(M/F_{(m+1-r)-r}) \\ \ge \ell(F_{r(\mathbb{F})}/IF_r) + \dots + \ell(I^{m-r}F_r/I^{m-r+1}F_r) \ge m-r+1.$$

By (5) and Lemma 2.2, this implies

$$e_1 \leq (\xi_1 + r' + 1)(\xi_1 + r + 1)\xi_0 - [(\xi_1 + r' + 1)(\xi_1 + r + 1) - r + 1] \\ \leq \xi_1(\xi_1 + r' + 1)^2(\xi_1 + r + 1).$$

By [5, Lemma 1.7 i)], Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6

$$\begin{aligned} -e_1(\mathbb{F}) &\leq B(m+1) - (m+1)e_0(\mathbb{F}) = (B-\xi_0)(m+1) \\ &\leq \xi_1(\xi_1+r')(\xi_1+r'+1)(\xi_1+r+1) = \xi_1(\xi_1+r'+1)^2(\xi_1+r+1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|e_1(\mathbb{F})| \le \xi_1(\xi_1 + r' + 1)^2(\xi_1 + r + 1).$$

Assume that $d \geq 2$. Let $x_1, ..., x_d$ be \mathbb{F} -superficial sequence and \mathbb{F}' -superficial sequence for M and I. Put $\overline{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F}/H_m^0(M)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}'} = \mathbb{F}'/H_m^0(M)$. We have $\mathbb{F}_i = \overline{\mathbb{F}}/(x_1, ..., x_i)\overline{M}$, $\mathbb{F}'_i = \overline{\mathbb{F}'}/(x_1, ..., x_i)\overline{M}$ be are good *I*-filtrations of $M_i = \overline{M}/(x_1, ..., x_i)\overline{M}$, and dim $M_i = i$. By [15, Proposition 1.2 and Prosition 2.3], we get

$$e_i(\mathbb{F}) = e_i(\mathbb{F}_{d-i}) \text{ for all } i \le d-1.$$
(6)

By Theorem 3.2, $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) \leq m$, (4), [5, Lemma 1.7 ii)] and [2, Corollary 4.7.11 a)], we have

$$|e_{d}(\mathbb{F})| = \left| \ell(M/F_{m+1}) - e_{0}(\mathbb{F}) \binom{m+2}{2} + \dots + e_{d-1}(\mathbb{F})(m+1) \right|$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ B\binom{m+d}{d}, e_{0}(\mathbb{F}) \binom{m+d}{d} \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} |e_{i}(\mathbb{F})| \binom{m+d-i}{d-i}$$

$$\leq B(m+d)^{d} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} |e_{i}(\mathbb{F})| \binom{m+d-i}{d-i}$$
(7)

If d = 2, by (6), $e_1(\mathbb{F}) = e_1(\mathbb{F}_1)$. Using the induction hypothessis, $r(\mathbb{F}_1) \leq r$, $r(\mathbb{F}'_1) \leq r'$ and by Lemma 2.4 ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e_1(\mathbb{F})| &\leq \xi_1(\mathbb{F}'_1)(\xi(\mathbb{F}'_1) + r(\mathbb{F}'_1) + 1)^2(\xi(\mathbb{F}'_1) + r(\mathbb{F}_1) + 1) \\ &= \xi_1(\xi_1 + r' + 1)^2(\xi_1 + r + 1). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6, Theorem 3.2 ii) and putting $m = (\xi + r' + 1)^6(\xi + r + 1) - 2$ into (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e_{2}(\mathbb{F})| &\leq B(m+2)^{2} + |e_{1}(\mathbb{F})|(m+1) \\ &\leq B\left[(\xi+r'+1)^{6}(\xi+r+1)\right]^{2} + \xi(\xi+r'+1)^{2}(\xi+r+1)(\xi+r'+1)^{6}(\xi+r+1) \\ &\leq [\xi+\xi(\xi+r'+1)^{2} + \xi(\xi+r'+1)^{4}]\left[(\xi+r'+1)^{6}(\xi+r+1)\right]^{2} + \\ &+ \xi(\xi+r'+1)^{2}(\xi+r+1)(\xi+r'+1)^{6}(\xi+r+1) \\ &\leq \xi[1+(\xi+r'+1)^{2} + (\xi+r'+1)^{4} + 1]\left[(\xi+r'+1)^{6}(\xi+r+1)\right]^{2} \\ &\leq (\xi+r'+1)^{5}(\xi+r'+1)^{12}(\xi+r+1)^{2} \\ &\leq (\xi+r'+1)^{17}(\xi+r+1)^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

iv) Assume that $d \ge 3$. Putting Using the induction hypothessis, $r(\mathbb{F}_i) \le r$, $r(\mathbb{F}'_i) \le r'$, for all $1 \le i \le d-1$ and by Lemma 2.4 ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e_{1}(\mathbb{F})| &= |e_{1}(\mathbb{F}_{d-1})| \leq (\xi_{1}(\mathbb{F}_{d-1}') + r(\mathbb{F}_{d-1}') + 1)^{2} (\xi_{1}(\mathbb{F}_{d-1}') + r(\mathbb{F}_{d-1}) + 1) \\ &\leq (\xi_{1} + r' + 1)^{2} (\xi_{1} + r + 1). \end{aligned}$$
(8)
$$|e_{2}(\mathbb{F})| &= |e_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{d-2})| \leq \xi_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{d-2}') (\xi_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{d-2}') + r(\mathbb{F}_{d-2}') + 1)^{17} (\xi_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{d-2}') + r(\mathbb{F}_{d-2}) + 1)^{2} \\ &\leq \xi_{2} (\xi_{2} + r' + 1)^{17} (\xi_{2} + r + 1)^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(9)

$$|e_{i}(\mathbb{F})| = |e_{i}(\mathbb{F}_{d-i})| \leq (\xi_{i}(\mathbb{F}_{d-i}') + r(\mathbb{F}_{d-i}') + 1)^{(i^{2}-1)i!-i+1}(\xi_{i}(\mathbb{F}_{d-i}') + r(\mathbb{F}_{d-i}) + 1)^{i!}$$

$$\leq (\xi_{i} + r' + 1)^{(i^{2}-1)i!-i+1}(\xi_{i} + r + 1)^{i!} \text{ if } 3 \leq i \leq d-1.$$
(10)

To prove the inequality for $e_d(\mathbb{F})$, we set

$$m = (\xi + r' + 1)^{(d-2)(d+1)! - d} (\xi + r + 1)^{(d-1)!} - d.$$

By (7), Theorem 3.2, $\operatorname{reg}(G(\mathbb{F})) \leq m$ and (4), we have

$$|e_{d}(\mathbb{F})| \leq B(m+d)^{d} + |e_{1}(\mathbb{F})|(m+d-1)^{d-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} |e_{i}(\mathbb{F})|(m+d-i)^{d-i}$$

$$\leq Bm^{d} + |e_{1}(\mathbb{F})|m^{d-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} |e_{i}(\mathbb{F})|m^{d-i}$$

$$= \left(B + \frac{|e_{1}(\mathbb{F})|}{m} + \frac{|e_{2}(\mathbb{F})|}{m^{2}} + \sum_{i=3}^{d-1} \frac{|e_{i}(\mathbb{F})|}{m^{i}}\right)m^{d}$$
(11)

By (8)-(10), we get

$$\frac{e_1(\mathbb{F})|}{m} \leq \frac{\xi_1(\mathbb{F}')(\xi_1(\mathbb{F}') + r' + 1)^2(\xi_1(\mathbb{F}') + r + 1)}{(\xi + r' + 1)^{(d-2)(d+1)! - d}(\xi + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{(d-1)!}} \\ \leq \frac{\xi(\xi + r' + 1)^{2}(\xi + r + 1)}{(\xi + r' + 1)^{(d-2)(d+1)! - d}(\xi + r + 1)^{(d-1)!}} \leq \frac{\xi}{2}.$$
(12)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|e_{2}(\mathbb{F})|}{m^{2}} &\leq \frac{\xi_{2}(\mathbb{F}')(\xi_{2}(\mathbb{F}')+r'+1)^{17}(\xi_{2}(\mathbb{F}')+r+1)^{2}}{\left[(\xi+r'+1)^{(d-2)(d+1)!-d}(\xi+r+1)^{(d-1)!}\right]^{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\xi(\xi+r'+1)^{17}(\xi+r+1)^{2}}{\left[(\xi+r'+1)^{(d-2)(d+1)!-d}(\xi+r+1)^{(d-1)!}\right]^{2}} < \frac{\xi}{2^{2}}. \end{aligned}$$
(13)
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|e_{i}(\mathbb{F})|}{m^{i}} &\leq \frac{\xi_{i}(\mathbb{F}')(\xi_{i}+r'+1)^{(i^{2}-1)i!-i+1}(\xi_{i}(\mathbb{F}')+r+1)^{i!}}{\left[(\xi+r'+1)^{(d-2)(d+1)!-d}(\xi+r+1)^{(d-1)!}\right]^{i}} \\ &\leq \frac{\xi(\xi+r'+1)^{(i^{2}-1)i!-i+1}(\xi+r+1)^{i!}}{\left[(\xi+r'+1)^{(d-2)(d+1)!-d}(\xi+r+1)^{(d-1)!}\right]^{i}} \leq \frac{\xi}{2^{i}} \end{aligned}$$

if $3 \leq i \leq d-1.$ (14)

From (11)-(14) and Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |e_d(\mathbb{F})| &\leq \left[B + \xi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \right) \right] m^d < (B + \xi) m^d \\ &\leq \xi \left[1 + (\xi + r' + 1) + (\xi + r' + 1)^{2 \cdot 2!} + \dots + (\xi + r' + 1)^{d \cdot d!} + 1 \right] \times \\ &\times \left[(\xi + r' + 1)^{(d-2)(d+1)! - d} (\xi + r + 1)^{(d-1)!} \right]^d \\ &\leq \xi (\xi + r' + 1)^{d \cdot d! + 1} (\xi + r' + 1)^{d (d-2)(d+1)! - d^2} (\xi + r + 1)^{d!} \\ &\leq (\xi + r' + 1)^{d (d-2)(d+1)! - d^2 + d \cdot d! + 1} (\xi + r + 1)^{d!} \\ &\leq \xi (\xi + r' + 1)^{(d^2 - 1)d! - d + 1} (\xi + r + 1)^{d!}. \end{aligned}$$

we immediately obtain the following consequence

Corollary 3.4. Let \mathbb{F} be a good *I*-filtration of *M* with dim(*M*) = $d \ge 1$. Then i) $|e_1(\mathbb{F})| \le \xi_1(I, M)(\xi_1(I, M) + 1)^2(\xi_1(I, M) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1);$ ii) $|e_2(\mathbb{F})| \le \xi_2(I, M)(\xi_2(I, M) + 1)^{17}(\xi_2(I, M) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^2;$ iii) $|e_i(\mathbb{F})| \le \xi_i(I, M)(\xi_i(I, M) + 1)^{(i^2-1)i!-i+1}(\xi_i(I, M) + r(\mathbb{F}) + 1)^{i!}$ if $i \ge 3$.

Proof. The reduction number of the *I*-adic filtration $\{I^n M\}$ is 0. Therefore, applying Theorem 3.3 to $\mathbb{F}' = \{I^n M\}$, we then obtain.

Let $x_1, ..., x_d$ be an \mathbb{F} -superficial sequence for I and $Q := (x_1, ..., x_d)$. It is not difficult to prove that also the \mathbb{F} is a good Q-filtration of M. Rossi-Valla in [15] gave

the following fitration

$$\mathbb{E}: M = F_0 \supseteq F_1 \supseteq QF_1 \supseteq Q^2 F_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Q^n F_1 \supseteq \cdots$$

This filtration is a good Q-filtration of M. As in consequence of the Theorem 3.3 we have a relationship between \mathbb{E} and $\{Q^n M\}$ as follows:

Corollary 3.5. Let $x_1, ..., x_d$ be an \mathbb{F} -superficial sequence for I and $Q := (x_1, ..., x_d)$. Then

- i) $|e_1(\mathbb{E})| \leq \xi_1(Q, M)(\xi_1(Q, M) + 1)^2(\xi_1(Q, M) + 2);$

ii) $|e_2(\mathbb{E})| \leq \xi_2(Q, M)(\xi_2(Q, M) + 1)^{17}(\xi_2(Q, M) + 2)^2;$ iii) $|e_i(\mathbb{E})| \leq \xi_i(Q, M)(\xi_i(Q, M) + 1)^{(i^2 - 1)i! - i + 1}(\xi_i(Q, M) + 2)^{i!}$ if $i \geq 3$.

Proof. The reduction number of the good Q-filtration \mathbb{E} is 1 and the reduction number of Q-adic filtration $\{Q^n M\}$ is 0. Therefore, applying Theorem 3.3 to $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{F}' = \{Q^n M\}$, we then obtain.

Remark 3.6. Let p be an integer such that $IM \subset \mathfrak{m}^p M$. Rossi-Valla in [15, Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 2.11] gave a sharp upper bounds for $e_1(\mathbb{F})$ in terms of $e_0(Q, M), e_1(Q, M), \text{ and } p \text{ and a sharp lower bounds for } e_1(\mathbb{E}) \text{ in terms of } e_0(Q, M),$ $e_1(Q, M)$ and other invariants of M, respectively. The bounds of Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 are far from being sharp, but they show that the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(\mathbb{F})$ and $e_i(\mathbb{E})$ are bounded below and above in terms of $e_0(Q, M), ..., e_i(Q, M), i$ and $r(\mathbb{F})$ (only for $e_i(\mathbb{F})$), for all $i \geq 1$.

Acknowledgment: The paper was completed during the stay of the author at the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics.

References

- [1] M. F. Ativah and I. G. Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra (Addison-Wesley, 1969).
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings (Cambridge University, 1993).
- [3] N. Bourbaki, Algebèbre commutative (Hermann, Paris, 1961 1965).
- [4] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 136 (Cambridge University, 2012).
- [5] L. X. Dung and L. T. Hoa, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of associated graded modules and fiber cones of filtered modules, Comm. Algebra 40 (2012), 404 - 422.
- [6] L. X. Dung and L. T. Hoa, Dependence of Hilbert coefficients, Manuscripta math. 149 (2016), 235 - 249 . Corrigendum, ArXiv 1706.08669.
- [7] S. Goto and K. Ozeki, Uniform bounds for Hilbert coefficients of parameters, in "Commutative algebra and its connections to geometry", pp. 97118, Contemp. Math., 555, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [8] K. Hanumanthu and C. Huneke, Bounding the first Hilbert coefficient, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 109-117
- [9] D. Kirby and H. A. Mehran, A note on the coefficients of the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial for a Cohen-Macaulay module, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 25 (1982), 449 - 457.
- [10] C. H. Linh, Upper bound for Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of associated graded modules, Comm. Algebra. 33 (2005), 1817 - 1831.
- [11] C. H. Linh, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and degree of nilpotency, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 142 (2007), 429 - 437.
- [12] M. Narita, A note on the coefficients of Hilbert characteristic functions in semi-regular local rings, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 59 (1963), 269 - 275.

- [13] N. G. Northcott, A note on the coefficients of the abstract Hilbert function, J. London Math. Soc. (1), 35 (1960), 209 - 214.
- [14] C. P. L. Rhodes, The Hilbert-Samuel polynomial in a filtered module, J. London Math. Soc. (1) 3 (1971), 73 - 85.
- [15] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla, *Hilbert functions of filtered modules* Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, 9. (Springer, Heidelberg, 2010)
- [16] M. E. Rossi, N. V. Trung and G. Valla, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and extended degree, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 1773 - 1786.
- [17] V. Srinivas and V. Trivedi, A finiteness theorem for the Hilbert functions of complete intersection local rings, *Math. Z.* 225 (1997), 543 - 558.
- [18] V. Srinivas and V. Trivedi, On the Hilbert function of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), 733 - 751.
- [19] V. Trivedi, Hilbert functions, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and uniform Artin-Rees numbers, Manuscripta Math. 94 (1997), 485 - 499.
- [20] V. Trivedi, Finiteness of Hilbert functions for generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules. Comm. Algebra 29 (2001), 805 - 813.