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#### Abstract

In this paper we investigate manifolds for which the curvature operator of the second kind (following the terminology of Nishikawa (1986)) satisfies certain positivity conditions. Our main result settles Nishikawa's conjecture that a manifold with positive curvature operator of the second kind is diffeomorphic to a sphere, by showing that such manifolds satisfy Brendle's PIC1 condition. In dimension four we show that curvature of the second kind has a canonical normal form, and use this to classify Einstein four-manifolds for which the curvature (operator) of the second kind is five-non-negative. We also calculate the normal form for some explicit examples in order to show that this assumption is sharp.


## 1. Introduction

Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional (real) inner product space, and let $\mathrm{R}: \otimes^{4} V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an algebraic curvature tensor. If $\mathrm{T}^{2}(V)$ denotes the space of bilinear forms on $V$, then we have the splitting

$$
\mathrm{T}^{2}(V)=S^{2}(V) \oplus \Lambda^{2}(V)
$$

where $S^{2}$ is the space of symmetric two-tensors and $\Lambda^{2}$ is the space of two-forms. By the symmetries of R , there are (up to sign) two ways that R can induce a linear map $\mathrm{R}: \mathrm{T}^{2}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}^{2}(V)$. The classical example is $R: \Lambda^{2}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}(V)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(e^{i} \wedge e^{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, \ell} R_{i j k \ell} e^{k} \wedge e^{\ell} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $V^{*}$. When R is the curvature tensor of a Riemannian metric, then the map (1.1) is called the curvature operator.

The second map is $\hat{\mathrm{R}}: S^{2}(V) \rightarrow S^{2}(V)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(e^{i} \odot e^{j}\right)=\sum_{k, \ell} R_{i k \ell j} e^{k} \odot e^{\ell} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\odot$ is the symmetric product (see Section 2 for definitions and conventions).
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Note that $S^{2}(V)$ is not irreducible under the action of the orthogonal group on $V$. If we let $S_{0}^{2}(V)$ denote the space of trace-free symmetric two-tensors, then $S^{2}(V)$ splits as

$$
S^{2}(V)=S_{0}^{2}(V) \oplus \mathrm{Id}
$$

The map $\hat{\mathrm{R}}$ induces a bilinear form $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}: S_{0}^{2}(T M) \times S_{0}^{2}(T M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by restriction to $S_{0}^{2}(V)$. When R is the curvature tensor of a Riemannian metric, S . Nishikawa called $\hat{\mathrm{R}}$ the curvature operator of the second kind, to distinguish it from the map R in (1.1), which he called the curvature operator of the first kind (see [20] and also [3]).

The curvature operator of the second kind naturally arises as the term in the Lichnerowicz Laplacian involving the curvature tensor (see, for example, [19]). As such, its sign plays a crucial role in rigidity questions for Einstein metrics. We say that $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}>0$ (resp., $\widehat{\mathrm{R}} \geq 0$ ) if the eigenvalues of $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ as a bilinear form on $S_{0}^{2}(V)$ are positive (resp., non-negative). It is easy to see that if $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}>0$ (resp., $\geq 0$ ), then the sectional curvature is positive (resp., non-negative).

Nishikawa proposed the following conjecture ([20]).
Conjecture 1.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a closed, simply connected Riemannian manifold. If $\widehat{\mathrm{R}} \geq 0$ then $M$ is diffeomorphic to a Riemannian locally symmetric space. If the inequality is strict, then $M$ is diffeomorphic to a round sphere.

This can be viewed as a differentiable sphere conjecture for positive curvature of the second kind. In dimension three, it is easy to check that $\widehat{R} \geq 0$ implies $R c \geq \frac{S}{6}$, where Rc is the Ricci tensor and S is the scalar curvature. In particular, the case of the conjecture for three-manifolds with positive curvature operator of the second kind follows from the work of Hamilton [14]. In all dimensions, if $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}>0$ then $M$ is a real homology sphere [21]. Also, if one imposes additional conditions on the metric (for example, harmonic curvature), then the conjecture is true (see [15]).

Our first result is that the positive case of Nishikawa's conjecture is true - in fact, the assumption can be weakened.

Theorem 1.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a closed Riemannian manifold such that $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is two-positive (i.e., the sum of the smallest two eigenvalues of $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is positive). Then $M$ is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.

To explain the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2, it will be helpful to recall a definition due to S . Brendle [5].

Definition 1.3. The manifold $(M, g)$ satisfies the PIC1 condition if, for any orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2424}-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1234}>0 \quad \text { for all } \lambda \in[0,1] . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the quantity in (1.3) is non-negative for any orthonormal frame, then we say that $(M, g)$ satisfies the NIC1 condition.

PIC 1 is equivalent to the condition that the product manifold ( $M \times \mathbb{R}, g+d s^{2}$ ) has positive isotropic curvature (PIC); see [5, Proposition 4]. Brendle showed that if $(M, g)$ satisfies the PIC1 condition, then the Ricci flow with initial metric $g$ exists for all time and converges to a constant curvature metric as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see [5, Theorem 2]).

In earlier work of Brendle-Schoen [7], they proved a differentiable sphere theorem for quarter-pinched metrics. We also remark that C. Böhm and B. Wilking [2] had earlier shown that if the curvature operator is two-positive, then the Ricci flow converges to a constant curvature metric. It is not difficult to see that two-positivity of R implies PIC1. All of these results can be viewed as (differentiable) sphere theorems for curvature of the first kind.

To prove Theorem 1.2, we show:
Theorem 1.4. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ for which $\hat{\mathrm{R}}$ is two-positive (resp., two-non-negative). Then ( $M, g$ ) satisfies PIC1 (resp., NIC1).

Theorem 1.2 therefore follows from Theorem 1.4 and [4, Theorem 2]. We will also show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ for which $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is four-positive (resp., four-non-negative). Then ( $M, g$ ) satisfies PIC (resp., non-negative isotropic curvature).

Combining Theorem 1.5 with the work of Micallef-Moore [17], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. Let $(M, g)$ be a simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ for which $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is four-positive. Then $(M, g)$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n}$.

Subsequently, Brendle showed that Einstein manifolds of dimension $n \geq 4$ with PIC have constant sectional curvature, and if $(M, g)$ has non-negative isotropic curvature, then it is locally symmetric [5] (the four-dimensional case was earlier proved by Micallef-Wang [18]). Therefore, a further consequence of Theorem 1.5 is the following.

Theorem 1.7. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Einstein manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$. If $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is four-positive, then $(M, g)$ has constant sectional curvature. If $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is four-non-negative, then $(M, g)$ is locally symmetric.

After a preprint of this article was circulated, X. Li was able to show that PIC1 follows if one only assumes that $\hat{R}$ is three-positive (see [16, Theorem 1.6]). In the same paper, Li settled the non-negative case of Nishikawa's conjecture.

### 1.1. Dimension four

For our next results we study curvature of the second kind in dimension four. If $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is a closed, oriented four-manifold, recall that Singer-Thorpe [22] showed that the curvature operator has a canonical block decomposition of the form

$$
\mathrm{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{W}^{+}+\frac{1}{12} S I & \mathrm{~B}  \tag{1.4}\\
\mathrm{~B}^{t} & \mathrm{~W}^{-}+\frac{1}{12} S I
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\mathrm{W}^{ \pm}: \Lambda_{ \pm}^{2} \rightarrow \Lambda_{ \pm}^{2}$ denotes the (anti-)self-dual Weyl tensor, and B: $\Lambda_{+}^{2} \rightarrow \Lambda_{-}^{2}$ is determined by the trace-free Ricci tensor, and $S$ is the scalar curvature. In particular, B vanishes if and only if $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is Einstein; see Section 2 for more details.

Analogous to this decomposition for R , we prove the following block decomposition for the matrix associated to the bilinear form $\hat{R}$.

Theorem 1.8. Let $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ be a closed, oriented four-manifold. Then there is an orthonormal basis of $S_{0}^{2}\left(T M^{4}\right)$ with respect to which the matrix of $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is given by

$$
\hat{R}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{2}  \tag{1.5}\\
-\mathcal{O}_{1} & D_{2} & \mathcal{O}_{3} \\
-\mathcal{O}_{2} & -\mathcal{O}_{3} & \mathscr{D}_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the $\mathscr{D}_{i}$ 's are diagonal matrices given by

$$
\mathscr{D}_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
-\left(\lambda_{i}+\mu_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{12} S &  \tag{1.6}\\
& -\left(\lambda_{i}+\mu_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{12} S & \\
& & -\left(\lambda_{i}+\mu_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{12} S
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\}$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{W}^{+}$, and $\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right\}$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{W}^{-}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{3}$ are skew-symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrices which vanish if and only if $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is Einstein.

The precise form of $\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{3}$ is given in Proposition 4.4 in Section 4. If $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is Einstein then the matrix for $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is diagonal, and the eigenvalues of $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ are determined by the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{W}^{ \pm}$and the scalar curvature. Using the block decomposition for $\widehat{R}$ and the work of the first and third authors [9], we can weaken the assumption of Theorem 1.7 to show the following.

Theorem 1.9. Let $(M, g)$ be a simply connected Einstein four-manifold such that $\hat{\mathrm{R}}$ is five-non-negative. Then $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is isometric, up to rescaling, to either the round sphere or complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric.

In Section 5.1, we compute the matrix explicitly for certain model cases. For $\left(\mathbb{C P}^{2}, g_{F S}\right)$, where $g_{F S}$ is the Fubini-Study metric, it is easy to see that $\hat{\mathrm{R}}$ is fivepositive but not four-positive, the latter being clear from Theorem 1.7. (We would
like to thank the referee for pointing out to us that the matrix for $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ was calculated for $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$ in all dimensions by Bourguignon-Karcher in Section 5 of [3].) For ( $S^{2} \times S^{2}, g_{p}$ ), where $g_{p}$ is the product metric, then $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is not five-non-negative, but is six-non-negative. Therefore, the assumption of Theorem 1.9 is sharp.

It would be interesting to know whether the aforementioned property characterizes ( $S^{2} \times S^{2}, g_{p}$ ) among Einstein four-manifolds; i.e., is it the unique Einstein fourmanifold for which $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is six-non-negative but not five-non-negative?

There are a number of results which classify Einstein four-manifolds under various assumptions on the curvature operator (of the first kind); see, for example, [5, 8, $10,11,13,23$ ] and references therein.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we summarize the necessary background material and establish our notation and conventions. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorems 1.2, 1.4, and 1.5. In Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.8, and in Section 5 we prove the classification result of Theorem 1.9.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Notation and conventions

We adopt the following notation and conventions:

- $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ is a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$.
- R, Rc, S, and W denote the Riemannian, Ricci, scalar, and Weyl curvatures, respectively. $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Rc}-\frac{1}{n} S g$ denotes the traceless Ricci tensor, and $K$ is the sectional curvature.
- Given $p \in M$, if $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$, then $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{n}\right\}$ denotes the dual basis of $T_{p}^{*} M$. At times we may assume that these bases are locally defined via parallel transport.
- The tensor product of two one-forms is defined via

$$
\left(e^{i} \otimes e^{j}\right)\left(e_{k}, e_{\ell}\right)=\delta_{i k} \delta_{j \ell}
$$

The symmetric product of $e^{i}$ and $e^{j}$ is given by

$$
e^{i} \odot e^{j}=e^{i} \otimes e^{j}+e^{j} \otimes e^{i}
$$

The wedge product is given by

$$
e^{i} \wedge e^{j}=e^{i} \otimes e^{j}-e^{j} \otimes e^{i}
$$

- Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space. Then $S^{2}(V)$ and $\Lambda^{2}(V)$ denote the space of symmetric and skew-symmetric two-tensors (i.e., bilinear forms) on $V$ (twotensors and two-forms, respectively). Then the space $T^{2}(V)$ of bilinear forms on $V$ can be decomposed as

$$
T^{2}(V)=S^{2}(V) \oplus \Lambda^{2}(V)
$$

Also, we let $S_{0}^{2}(V)$ denote trace-free symmetric two-tensors.

- The inner product in $S^{2}(V)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}\left(u^{T} v\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inner product in $\Lambda^{2}(V)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(u^{T} v\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this convention,

$$
\left\|e^{i} \wedge e^{j}\right\|=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|e^{i} \odot e^{j}\right\|=\sqrt{2} \quad \text { for } i \neq j
$$

In particular, $\left\{e_{i j}=e^{i} \wedge e^{j}\right\}_{i \neq j}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\Lambda^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=\left\langle\alpha, e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right\rangle \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $A, B \in S^{2}$, the Kulkarni-Nomizu product $A \circ B \in S^{2}\left(\Lambda^{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
(A \circ B)_{i j k l}=A_{i k} B_{j l}+A_{j l} B_{i k}-A_{i l} B_{j k}-A_{j k} B_{i l} .
$$

- Let $\mathcal{R}(V)$ be the space of algebraic curvature tensors; i.e., $(4,0)$ tensors satisfying the same symmetry properties as the Riemannian curvature tensor, along with the first Bianchi identity. Namely, if $T \in \mathscr{R}(V)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right) & =-T\left(e_{j}, e_{i}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right)=-T\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{l}, e_{k}\right)=T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}, e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \\
0 & =T\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right)+T\left(e_{i}, e_{k}, e_{l}, e_{j}\right)+T\left(e_{i}, e_{l}, e_{j}, e_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Any $T \in \mathscr{R}(V)$ can be identified with an element of $\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda^{2}\right)$ : If $\omega \in \Lambda^{2}$, then

$$
T(\omega)\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right):=\sum_{k<l} T\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right) \omega\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)
$$

As a consequence,

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{i j k l} & :=T\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{l}\right) \\
& =T\left(e^{i} \wedge e^{j}, e^{k} \wedge e^{l}\right):=\left\langle T\left(e^{i} \wedge e^{j}\right), e^{k} \wedge e^{l}\right\rangle \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

- Any $T \in \mathcal{R}(V)$ can also be identified with an element of $\operatorname{End}\left(S^{2}\right)$ : If $A \in S^{2}$, then

$$
(\widehat{T} A)\left(e_{i}, e_{k}\right)=\sum_{j, l} T\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{l}, e_{k}\right) A\left(e_{j}, e_{l}\right)
$$

However, $\widehat{T} A$ is not in general an endomorphism of $S_{0}^{2}$. If we restrict $\widehat{T} A$ to $S_{0}^{2}$ and consider the associated bilinear form, we call the resulting operator the curvature operator of the second kind.

Of course the case of interest to us is when $T=\mathrm{R}$, the Riemannian curvature tensor of $(M, g)$. We say that the (Riemannian) curvature operator of the second kind $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is $k$-positive (non-negative) if the sum of any $k$ eigenvalues of the bilinear form $\hat{\mathrm{R}}: S_{0}^{2} \times S_{0}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is positive (non-negative).

### 2.2. Curvature decomposition

Recall that the Riemannian curvature tensor can be decomposed into the Weyl, the Ricci, and the scalar parts. In terms of the Kulkarni-Nomizu product defined above, we can express this decomposition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{W}+\frac{1}{n-2} \mathrm{E} \circ g+\frac{\mathrm{S}}{2 n(n-1)} g \circ g . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In dimension four, this decomposition gives rise to a decomposition of the curvature operator; see [22]. If $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is oriented, then the Hodge star operator $*: \Lambda^{2} \rightarrow$ $\Lambda^{2}$, where $\Lambda^{2}$ is the bundle of two-forms, induces a splitting

$$
\Lambda^{2}=\Lambda_{+}^{2} \oplus \Lambda_{-}^{2}
$$

where $\Lambda_{ \pm}^{2}$ are the $\pm 1$-eigenspaces of $*$. With respect to this splitting, the components of the splitting in (2.5) have the property that

$$
\mathrm{W}: \Lambda_{ \pm}^{2} \rightarrow \Lambda_{ \pm}^{2}, \quad \mathrm{E} \circ g: \Lambda_{ \pm}^{2} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mp}^{2}
$$

Consequently, the curvature operator $R: \Lambda^{2} \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}$ has the following block decomposition:

$$
\mathrm{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\mathrm{s}}{12} \mathrm{Id}+\mathrm{W}^{+} & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E} \circ g  \tag{2.6}\\
\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E} \circ g & \frac{\mathrm{~S}}{12} \mathrm{Id}+\mathrm{W}^{-}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\mathrm{W}^{ \pm}$are the restriction of W to $\Lambda_{ \pm}^{2} M$.
We will also need a related normal form for R due to M . Berger [1]:
Proposition 2.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a four-manifold. At each point $p \in M$, there exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$ of $T_{p} M$, such that relative to the corresponding basis
$\left\{e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right\}_{1 \leq i<j \leq 4}$ of $\wedge^{2} T_{p} M, \mathrm{~W}$ takes the form

$$
\mathrm{W}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{2.7}\\
B & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A=\operatorname{Diag}\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}, B=\operatorname{Diag}\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right\}$. Moreover, we have the following:
(1) $a_{1}=\mathrm{W}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\mathrm{W}\left(e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)=\min _{|a|=|b|=1, a \perp b} \mathrm{~W}(a, b, a, b)$,
(2) $a_{3}=\mathrm{W}\left(e_{1}, e_{4}, e_{1}, e_{4}\right)=\mathrm{W}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)=\max _{|a|=|b|=1, a \perp b} \mathrm{~W}(a, b, a, b)$,
(3) $a_{2}=\mathrm{W}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{1}, e_{3}\right)=\mathrm{W}\left(e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{2}, e_{4}\right)$,
(4) $b_{1}=\mathrm{W}_{1234}, b_{2}=\mathrm{W}_{1342}, b_{3}=\mathrm{W}_{1423}$,
(5) $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}=0$,
(6) $\left|b_{2}-b_{1}\right| \leq a_{2}-a_{1},\left|b_{3}-b_{1}\right| \leq a_{3}-a_{1},\left|b_{3}-b_{2}\right| \leq a_{3}-a_{2}$.

### 2.3. Isotropic curvature

Next we recall the notion of isotropic curvature and related concepts. The notion of isotropic curvature on 2-planes was introduced by M. Micallef and J. D. Moore in [17]. As mentioned in the introduction, it played a crucial role in the proof of the differentiable sphere conjecture [7] via the Ricci flow.

Definition 2.2. The manifold $(M, g)$ is said to have non-negative isotropic curvature if for any orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}-2 \mathrm{R}_{1234} \geq 0
$$

If the inequality is strict, then it is said to have positive isotropic curvature.
The following property is well known (see [17]):
Lemma 2.3. In dimension four, non-negative isotropic curvature is equivalent to

$$
-\mathrm{W}^{ \pm}+\frac{\mathrm{S}}{12} \mathrm{Id} \geq 0
$$

as a bilinear form on $\Lambda_{ \pm}^{2}$.
In the work of Brendle and Schoen, they introduced the following extensions of the notion of non-negative and positive isotropic curvature:

Definition 2.4. The manifold $(M, g)$ is said to be NIC1 if for any orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ we have

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2424}-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1234} \geq 0 \text { for all } \lambda \in[0,1]
$$

If the inequality is strict then $(M, g)$ is said to be PIC1.

Definition 2.5. The manifold $(M, g)$ is said to be NIC2 if for any orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ we have

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mu^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mu^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2424}-2 \lambda \mu \mathrm{R}_{1234} \geq 0 \text { for all } \lambda, \mu \in[0,1]
$$

If the inequality is strict then $(M, g)$ is said to be PIC2.
Brendle and Schoen observed that all these conditions are preserved under the Ricci flow [4, 6, 7]. In particular, Brendle was able to show the following:

Theorem 2.6 ([4]). Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold satisfying the PIC1 condition. Then the normalized Ricci flow exists for all times and converges to a constant curvature metric as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, the manifold is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.

## 3. Curvature of the second kind and PIC

In this section, we give the proofs to Theorems 1.2, 1.4, and 1.5.
Proof of Theorem 1.4. Fix a point $p \in M$ and let $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{p}^{*} M$. We define the following trace-free symmetric two tensors:

$$
h_{1}=e^{1} \odot e^{3}+\lambda e^{2} \odot e^{4}, \quad h_{2}=e^{2} \odot e^{3}-\lambda e^{1} \odot e^{4}
$$

It is easy to see that $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are orthogonal to each other in $S^{2}$. Since $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is twopositive, we have

$$
0<\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{1}, h_{1}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{2}, h_{2}\right) .
$$

We observe that all components of $h_{1}$ are trivial except

$$
h_{1}\left(e^{1}, e^{3}\right):=\left(h_{1}\right)_{13}=\left(h_{1}\right)_{31}=1, \quad h_{1}\left(e^{2}, e^{4}\right):=\left(h_{1}\right)_{24}=\left(h_{2}\right)_{42}=\lambda
$$

Then, we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{1}, h_{1}\right) & =\sum_{i j k l} \mathrm{R}_{i j k l}\left(h_{1}\right)_{i l}\left(h_{1}\right)_{j k} \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k, l,|l-i|=|k-j|=2} \mathrm{R}_{i j k l}\left(h_{1}\right)_{i l}\left(h_{1}\right)_{j k} \\
& =2\left(2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1243}+\mathrm{R}_{1313}+2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1423}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2424}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\left(h_{2}\right)_{23}=\left(h_{2}\right)_{32}=1, \quad\left(h_{2}\right)_{14}=\left(h_{2}\right)_{41}=-\lambda
$$

Then, we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{2}, h_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i j k l} \mathrm{R}_{i j k l}\left(h_{2}\right)_{i l}\left(h_{2}\right)_{j k}=\sum_{i, j, k, l, l+i=k+j=5} \mathrm{R}_{i j k l}\left(h_{2}\right)_{i l}\left(h_{2}\right)_{j k} \\
& =2\left(-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1234}-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1324}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining equations above yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0< & \left(2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1243}+\mathrm{R}_{1313}+2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1423}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2424}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1234}-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1324}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\lambda^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}\right)-4 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1234}-2 \lambda\left(\mathrm{R}_{1432}+\mathrm{R}_{1324}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the first Bianchi identity, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\left(\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}\right)+\lambda^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}\right)-6 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1234} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interchanging the roles of $e^{1}$ and $e^{2}$ and letting

$$
h_{3}=e^{2} \odot e^{3}+\lambda e^{1} \odot e^{4},
$$

we have

$$
\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{3}, h_{3}\right)=2\left(\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1414}+2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1324}+2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{2143}\right) .
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{4} & =e^{1} \odot e^{3}-\lambda e^{2} \odot e^{4} \\
\hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{4}, h_{4}\right) & =2\left(\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2424}-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1423}-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{2134}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding these results together, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0< & \left(2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{2143}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1324}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1414}\right) \\
& +\left(-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{2134}-2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1423}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2424}+\mathrm{R}_{1313}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\lambda^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}\right)-4 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{2134}-2 \lambda\left(\mathrm{R}_{1423}+\mathrm{R}_{1342}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the first Bianchi identity, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\left(\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}\right)+\lambda^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}\right)-6 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{2134} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (3.1) and (3.2), one concludes that

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1414}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2424}>\left|6 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1234}\right|
$$

By Definition 2.4, the PIC1 condition is equivalent to

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1414}+\lambda^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2424}+2 \lambda \mathrm{R}_{1234}>0
$$

The result then follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 1.4, the curvature is PIC1. The result follows from Theorem 2.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. As before, we fix a point $p \in M$ and let $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal of $T_{p}^{*} M$. We define the following traceless symmetric two tensors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-e^{1} \odot e^{1}-e^{2} \odot e^{2}+e^{3} \odot e^{3}+e^{4} \odot e^{4}\right), \\
& h_{2}=e^{1} \odot e^{4}-e^{2} \odot e^{3}, \\
& h_{3}=-e^{1} \odot e^{3}-e^{2} \odot e^{4}, \\
& h_{4}=-e^{1} \odot e^{4}-e^{2} \odot e^{3}, \\
& h_{5}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-e^{1} \odot e^{1}+e^{2} \odot e^{2}-e^{3} \odot e^{3}+e^{4} \odot e^{4}\right), \\
& h_{6}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-e^{1} \odot e^{1}+e^{2} \odot e^{2}+e^{3} \odot e^{3}-e^{4} \odot e^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that these tensors are of the same magnitude and are mutually orthogonal in $S^{2}$.

Since $\widehat{R}$ is four-positive, we have

$$
0<\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{1}, h_{1}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{2}, h_{2}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{4}, h_{4}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{5}, h_{5}\right)
$$

We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{1}, h_{1}\right) & =\sum_{i j k l} \mathrm{R}_{i j k l}\left(h_{1}\right)_{i l}\left(h_{1}\right)_{j k}=\sum_{i, j} \mathrm{R}_{i j j i}\left(h_{1}\right)_{i i}\left(h_{1}\right)_{j j} \\
& =2\left(-\mathrm{R}_{1212}-\mathrm{R}_{3434}+\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{2}, h_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i j k l} \mathrm{R}_{i j k l}\left(h_{2}\right)_{i l}\left(h_{2}\right)_{j k}=\sum_{i, j} \mathrm{R}_{i j(5-j)(5-i)}\left(h_{2}\right)_{i(5-i)}\left(h_{2}\right)_{j(5-j)} \\
& =2\left(\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+2 \mathrm{R}_{1243}+2 \mathrm{R}_{1342}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{4}, h_{4}\right)=2\left(-2 \mathrm{R}_{1243}-2 \mathrm{R}_{1342}+\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}\right) \\
& \hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{5}, h_{5}\right)=2\left(-\mathrm{R}_{1313}-\mathrm{R}_{2424}+\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\mathrm{R}_{1212}+\mathrm{R}_{3434}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the equations above yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we consider

$$
0<\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{1}, h_{1}\right)+\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{2}, h_{2}\right)+\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{3}, h_{3}\right)+\widehat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{6}, h_{6}\right) .
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{3}, h_{3}\right)=2\left(-2 \mathrm{R}_{1234}-2 \mathrm{R}_{1432}+\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}\right), \\
& \hat{\mathrm{R}}\left(h_{6}, h_{6}\right)=2\left(-\mathrm{R}_{1414}-\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{1212}+\mathrm{R}_{3434}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, combining the equations above yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0< & \left(\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}-\mathrm{R}_{1212}-\mathrm{R}_{3434}\right) \\
& +\left(2 \mathrm{R}_{1243}+2 \mathrm{R}_{1342}+\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}\right) \\
& +\left(\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}-2 \mathrm{R}_{1234}-2 \mathrm{R}_{1432}\right) \\
& +\left(\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{1212}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}+\mathrm{R}_{3434}-\mathrm{R}_{1414}-\mathrm{R}_{2323}\right) \\
=3 & \left(\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}\right)+\left(\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}\right)-4 \mathrm{R}_{1234}-2\left(\mathrm{R}_{1324}+\mathrm{R}_{1432}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the first Bianchi identity, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<3\left(\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}\right)+\left(\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}\right)-6 \mathrm{R}_{1234} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (3.4) and twice of (3.3) gives

$$
0<3\left(\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{1414}+\mathrm{R}_{2323}+\mathrm{R}_{2424}-2 \mathrm{R}_{1234}\right)
$$

Since the inequality holds for any orthonormal four-tuple ( $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ ), we conclude that the manifold has positive isotropic curvature.

As explained in the introduction, Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 follow from Theorem 1.5 and Micallef-Wang's work [17] and Brendle's classification of Einstein manifold with non-negative isotropic curvature [5].

## 4. Dimension four: The matrix representation of $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$

Let $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ be an oriented Riemannian four-manifold, and $p \in M^{4}$. The space of two forms $\Lambda^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right)$ splits into the space of self-dual and anti-self-dual two-forms:

$$
\Lambda^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right)=\Lambda_{+}^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right) \oplus \Lambda_{-}^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right)
$$

If $\left\{e^{1}, e^{2}, e^{3}, e^{4}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{p}^{*} X^{4}$, then the two-forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega^{1}=\left(e^{1} \wedge e^{2}+e^{3} \wedge e^{4}\right) \\
& \omega^{2}=\left(e^{1} \wedge e^{3}-e^{2} \wedge e^{4}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
& \omega^{3}=\left(e^{1} \wedge e^{4}+e^{2} \wedge e^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

constitute an orthogonal basis of $\Lambda_{+}^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right)$ with $\left|\omega^{\alpha}\right|^{2}=2$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta^{1}=\left(e^{1} \wedge e^{2}-e^{3} \wedge e^{4}\right) \\
& \eta^{2}=\left(e^{1} \wedge e^{3}+e^{2} \wedge e^{4}\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& \eta^{3}=\left(e^{1} \wedge e^{4}-e^{2} \wedge e^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

is an orthogonal basis of $\Lambda_{-}^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right)$ with $\left|\eta^{\beta}\right|^{2}=2$.
The Weyl tensor of $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ defines trace-free (symmetric) linear endomorphisms

$$
W^{ \pm}: \Lambda_{ \pm}^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda_{ \pm}^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right)
$$

hence there are bases of $\Lambda_{ \pm}^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right)$ consisting of eigenforms of $W^{ \pm}$. Indeed, using Proposition 2.1, we have

$$
\mathrm{W}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(A+B) & 0  \tag{4.3}\\
0 & (A-B)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here, $A=\operatorname{Diag}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right), B=\operatorname{Diag}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$, and

$$
a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}=0
$$

As a result, thanks to Proposition 2.1 again, eigenvalues of $\mathrm{W}^{ \pm}$are ordered,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1} \leq \lambda_{2}=a_{2}+b_{2} \leq \lambda_{3}=a_{3}+b_{3}  \tag{4.4}\\
\mu_{1}=a_{1}-b_{1} \leq \mu_{2}=a_{2}-b_{2} \leq \mu_{3}=a_{3}-b_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The following result is an excerpt from [12, Lemma 2], and is based on [22]:
Proposition 4.1. Let $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ be an oriented, four-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and $p \in M^{4}$.
(i) There is an orthonormal basis $\left\{e^{1}, e^{2}, e^{3}, e^{4}\right\}$ of $T_{p}^{*} M^{4}$ such that eigenforms $\left\{\omega^{1}, \omega^{2}, \omega^{3}\right\}$ (resp., $\left\{\eta^{1}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{3}\right\}$ ) as given in (4.1) (resp., of the form (4.2)) make an orthogonal basis of $\Lambda_{+}^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right)\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\Lambda_{-}^{2}\left(T_{p} M^{4}\right)\right)$.
(ii) If $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right\}$ are the eigenvalues of $W^{+}$and $W^{-}$, respectively, then with respect to the dual orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e^{2}, e^{3}, e_{4}\right\}$, the Weyl tensor is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{i j k \ell} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{1} \omega_{i j}^{1} \omega_{k \ell}^{1}+\lambda_{2} \omega_{i j}^{2} \omega_{k \ell}^{2}+\lambda_{3} \omega_{i j}^{3} \omega_{k \ell}^{3}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\mu_{1} \eta_{i j}^{1} \eta_{k \ell}^{1}+\mu_{2} \eta_{i j}^{2} \eta_{k \ell}^{2}+\mu_{3} \eta_{i j}^{3} \eta_{k \ell}^{3}\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}=0, \quad \mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) The bases in (4.1) and (4.2) have a quaternionic structure: For $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(\omega^{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right]_{i j}=\omega_{i k}^{\alpha} \omega_{k j}^{\alpha}=-\delta_{i j}, \quad\left[\left(\eta^{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right]_{i j}=\eta_{i k}^{\alpha} \eta_{k j}^{\alpha}=-\delta_{i j} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the components are with respect to an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M^{4}$. Also,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\omega^{1} \omega^{2}\right)_{i j}=\omega_{i k}^{1} \omega_{k j}^{2}=-\omega_{i j}^{3} \\
\left(\omega^{1} \omega^{3}\right)_{i j}=\omega_{i k}^{1} \omega_{k j}^{3}=\omega_{i j}^{2}, \quad\left(\omega^{2} \omega^{3}\right)_{i j}=\omega_{i k}^{2} \omega_{k j}^{3}=-\omega_{i j}^{1} \\
\left(\eta^{1} \eta^{2}\right)_{i j}=\eta_{i k}^{1} \eta_{k j}^{2}=\eta_{i j}^{3}  \tag{4.8}\\
\left(\eta^{1} \eta^{3}\right)_{i j}=\eta_{i k}^{1} \eta_{k j}^{3}=-\eta_{i j}^{2}, \quad\left(\eta^{2} \eta^{3}\right)_{i j}=\eta_{i k}^{2} \eta_{k j}^{3}=\eta_{i j}^{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

(iv) The bases in (4.1) and (4.2) generate an orthogonal basis of $S_{0}^{2}\left(T_{p}^{*} X^{4}\right)$, the space of symmetric trace-free $(0,2)$-tensors by taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j}^{(\alpha, \beta)}=\omega_{i k}^{\alpha} \eta_{k j}^{\beta} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\left|h^{(\alpha, \beta)}\right|=2$.
To simplify notation we label the basis in Proposition 4.1 (iv) in the following way:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
h^{(1,1)}=h^{1}, & h^{(1,2)}=h^{2}, & h^{(1,3)}=h^{3} \\
h^{(2,1)}=h^{4}, & h^{(2,2)}=h^{5}, & h^{(2,3)}=h^{6}  \tag{4.10}\\
h^{(3,1)}=h^{7}, & h^{(3,2)}=h^{8}, & h^{(3,3)}=h^{9}
\end{array}
$$

Using the quaternionic structure of the bases of eigenforms, it is easy (but tedious) to construct a 'multiplication table' for the basis element $\left\{h^{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{9}$ :

Lemma 4.2. The basis elements in (4.10) satisfy:

|  | $h^{1}$ | $h^{2}$ | $h^{3}$ | $h^{4}$ | $h^{5}$ | $h^{6}$ | $h^{7}$ | $h^{8}$ | $h^{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h^{1}$ | Id | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $-h^{9}$ | $h^{8}$ | $*$ | $h^{6}$ | $-h^{5}$ |
| $h^{2}$ | $*$ | Id | $*$ | $h^{9}$ | $*$ | $-h^{7}$ | $-h^{6}$ | $*$ | $h^{4}$ |
| $h^{3}$ | $*$ | $*$ | Id | $-h^{8}$ | $h^{7}$ | $*$ | $h^{5}$ | $-h^{4}$ | $*$ |
| $h^{4}$ | $*$ | $h^{9}$ | $-h^{8}$ | Id | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $-h^{3}$ | $h^{2}$ |
| $h^{5}$ | $-h^{9}$ | $*$ | $h^{7}$ | $*$ | Id | $*$ | $h^{3}$ | $*$ | $-h^{1}$ |
| $h^{6}$ | $h^{8}$ | $-h^{7}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | Id | $-h^{2}$ | $h^{1}$ | $*$ |
| $h^{7}$ | $*$ | $-h^{6}$ | $h^{5}$ | $*$ | $h^{3}$ | $-h^{2}$ | Id | $*$ | $*$ |
| $h^{8}$ | $h^{6}$ | $*$ | $-h^{4}$ | $-h^{3}$ | $*$ | $h^{1}$ | $*$ | Id | $*$ |
| $h^{9}$ | $-h^{5}$ | $h^{4}$ | $*$ | $h^{2}$ | $-h^{1}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | Id |

That is,

$$
\left(h^{\alpha}\right)_{i j}^{2}=h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{k j}^{\alpha}=\delta_{i j}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
h^{1} h^{5}=-h^{9}, & h^{1} h^{6}=h^{8}, & h^{1} h^{8}=h^{6}, & h^{1} h^{9}=-h^{5}, \\
h^{2} h^{4}=h^{9}, & h^{2} h^{6}=-h^{7}, & h^{2} h^{7}=-h^{6}, & h^{2} h^{9}=h^{4}, \\
h^{3} h^{4}=-h^{8}, & h^{3} h^{5}=h^{7}, & h^{3} h^{7}=h^{5}, & h^{3} h^{8}=-h^{4}, \\
h^{4} h^{8}=-h^{3}, & h^{4} h^{9}=h^{2}, & h^{5} h^{7}=h^{3}, & h^{5} h^{9}=-h^{1}, \\
& h^{6} h^{7}=-h^{2}, & h^{6} h^{8}=h^{1} . &
\end{array}
$$

Also, each $*$ represents a skew-symmetric matrix.
As explained in the introduction, the Weyl tensor can also be interpreted as a symmetric bilinear linear form on the space of trace-free symmetric two-tensors. If $s, t \in S_{0}^{2}\left(T^{*} X^{4}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{W}(s, t)=W_{i k \ell j} s_{k \ell} t_{i j} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the components are with respect to an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M^{4}$. We can compute the matrix of $\widehat{W}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{h^{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{9}$, by using the algebraic properties summarized in Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2:

Proposition 4.3. The orthogonal basis $\left\{h^{\alpha}\right\}$ defined in (4.9) and (4.10) diagonalizes the Weyl tensor, interpreted as a symmetric bilinear form as in (4.11). With respect to this basis the matrix of $W$ is given by

$$
\widehat{W}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathscr{D}_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{4.12}\\
0 & \mathscr{D}_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathscr{D}_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the $\mathscr{D}_{i}$ 's are diagonal matrices given by

$$
\mathscr{D}_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-4\left(\lambda_{i}+\mu_{1}\right) &  \tag{4.13}\\
& -4\left(\lambda_{i}+\mu_{2}\right) & \\
& & -4\left(\lambda_{i}+\mu_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. As indicated above, the proof is a consequence of the multiplicative properties of the basis elements, and the fact that $W^{ \pm}$are trace-free. For example, a straight-
forward calculation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(h^{1}, h^{1}\right)= & W_{i k \ell j} h_{k \ell}^{1} h_{i j}^{1} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{1} \omega_{i k}^{1} \omega_{\ell j}^{1}+\lambda_{2} \omega_{i k}^{2} \omega_{\ell j}^{2}+\lambda_{3} \omega_{i k}^{3} \omega_{\ell j}^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+\mu_{1} \eta_{i k}^{1} \eta_{\ell j}^{1}+\mu_{2} \eta_{i k}^{2} \eta_{\ell j}^{2}+\mu_{3} \eta_{i k}^{3} \eta_{\ell j}^{3}\right] \omega_{k p}^{1} \eta_{p \ell}^{1} \omega_{i q}^{1} \eta_{q j}^{1} \\
= & -2 \lambda_{1}+2 \lambda_{2}+2 \lambda_{3}-2 \mu_{1}+2 \mu_{2}+2 \mu_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $W^{ \pm}$are trace-free, this can be rewritten

$$
W\left(h^{1}, h^{1}\right)=-4\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}\right)
$$

The other entries are computed in a similar manner.
To express the matrix for $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{h^{\alpha}\right\}$, we use the decomposition of the curvature tensor in dimension four:

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i k \ell j}=W_{i k \ell j} & +\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{i \ell} E_{k j}-g_{i j} E_{k \ell}-g_{k \ell} E_{i j}+g_{k j} E_{i \ell}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{12} S\left(g_{i \ell} g_{k j}-g_{i j} g_{k \ell}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

If $s$ and $t$ are trace-free symmetric two-tensors, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\mathrm{R}}(s, t) & =R_{i k \ell j} s_{k \ell} t_{i j} \\
& =\widehat{W}(s, t)+\widehat{E}(s, t)+\frac{1}{12} S\langle s, t\rangle \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the inner product on symmetric two-tensors, and $\widehat{E}$ is the bilinear form given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{E}(s, t)=E_{i j} s_{i k} t_{k j}=\langle E, s t\rangle \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(s t)_{i j}=s_{i k} t_{k j}$. Consequently, to compute the matrix for $\hat{\mathrm{R}}$ it only remains to compute the matrix for $\widehat{E}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{h^{\alpha}\right\}$.

Since $\left\{h^{\alpha}\right\}$ is a basis for the space of trace-free symmetric two-tensors, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i j}=\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{\gamma} h_{i j}^{\gamma} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\alpha}=\left\langle E, h^{\alpha}\right\rangle \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.16) that the matrix entry $\widehat{E}_{\alpha \beta}=\widehat{E}\left(h^{\alpha}, h^{\beta}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{E}_{\alpha \beta}=E_{i j} h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{k j}^{\beta}=\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{\gamma} h_{i j}^{\gamma} h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{k j}^{\beta}=\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{\gamma}\left\langle h^{\gamma}, h^{\alpha} h^{\beta}\right\rangle \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the product formulas in Lemma 4.2, we can therefore express the entries of the matrix ( $\widehat{E}_{\alpha \beta}$ ) in terms of the $\varepsilon_{\gamma}$ 's:

Proposition 4.4. With respect to the basis in (4.9), the matrix of $\widehat{E}$ is given by

$$
\widehat{E}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \mathcal{O}_{1} & \mathcal{O}_{2}  \tag{4.20}\\
-\mathcal{O}_{1} & 0 & \mathcal{O}_{3} \\
-\mathcal{O}_{2} & -\mathcal{O}_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{3}$ are skew-symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrices given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{O}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\varepsilon_{9} & \varepsilon_{8} \\
\varepsilon_{9} & 0 & -\varepsilon_{7} \\
-\varepsilon_{8} & \varepsilon_{7} & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{4.21}\\
& \mathcal{O}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \varepsilon_{6} & -\varepsilon_{5} \\
-\varepsilon_{6} & 0 & \varepsilon_{4} \\
\varepsilon_{5} & -\varepsilon_{4} & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{4.22}\\
& \mathcal{O}_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\varepsilon_{3} & \varepsilon_{2} \\
\varepsilon_{3} & 0 & -\varepsilon_{1} \\
-\varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, these matrices all vanish if and only if $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is Einstein.
Proof. This is a straightforward calculation, so we only point out some readily observed features. First, since $\left(h^{\alpha}\right)^{2}=I$, all diagonal entries vanish:

$$
\widehat{E}\left(h^{\alpha}, h^{\alpha}\right)=\left\langle E,\left(h^{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\langle E, I\rangle=\operatorname{tr} E=0
$$

In fact, if $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, then by Lemma 4.2 the product $h^{\alpha} h^{\beta}$ is skewsymmetric, hence

$$
\widehat{E}\left(h^{\alpha}, h^{\beta}\right)=\left\langle E, h^{\alpha} h^{\beta}\right\rangle=0
$$

since $E$ is symmetric. This shows that the upper left $3 \times 3$ block of the matrix vanishes, and a similar argument shows that all three such blocks along the diagonal are zero.

Finally, note that all matrices vanish if and only if $\varepsilon_{1}=\cdots=\varepsilon_{9}$, which by (4.17) is equivalent to $E=0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Recall that the basis $\left\{h^{\alpha}\right\}$ is orthogonal, but not orthonormal. If we define

$$
\tilde{h}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha}
$$

then $\left\{\tilde{h}^{\alpha}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $S_{0}^{2}$. Moreover, the matrix representation with respect to $\left\{\tilde{h}^{\alpha}\right\}$ can be obtained from the representation with respect to $\left\{h^{\alpha}\right\}$ by simply dividing by four. Therefore, Theorem 1.8 follows from Proposition 4.3, Proposition 4.4, and the formula (4.15).

## 5. Einstein four-manifolds

In this section, we apply our matrix representation of the curvature of the second kind to study Einstein manifolds of positive scalar curvature in dimension four, and give the proof to Theorem 1.9.

For simplicity, let $(M, g)$ be a four-dimensional manifold with $\mathrm{Rc}=g$. Consequently, $S=4$. For such a manifold, $E \equiv 0$, so the block matrix for $\widehat{R}$ in (1.4) is diagonal. Using the notation from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.8, the eigenvalues of $\widehat{R}$ are given by

$$
\left(\frac{1}{3}-\lambda_{i}-\mu_{j}\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.9. First, with the aid of the ordering of eigenvalues of W in (4.4), we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{3}+\mu_{3} \geq \lambda_{3}+\mu_{2} \geq \lambda_{3}+\mu_{1}, & \lambda_{2}+\mu_{2} \geq \lambda_{2}+\mu_{1} \geq \lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \\
\lambda_{3}+\mu_{3} \geq \lambda_{2}+\mu_{3} \geq \lambda_{1}+\mu_{3}, & \lambda_{2}+\mu_{2} \geq \lambda_{1}+\mu_{2} \geq \lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}
\end{array}
$$

Then $\hat{R}$ is five-non-negative if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{5}{3}-3 \lambda_{3}-3 \mu_{3}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}-\mu_{2}-\mu_{1} \\
0 & \leq \frac{5}{3}-3 \lambda_{3}-2 \mu_{3}-2 \lambda_{2}-2 \mu_{2}-\mu_{1} \\
0 & \leq \frac{5}{3}-2 \lambda_{3}-3 \mu_{3}-2 \lambda_{2}-2 \mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}=\sum_{i} \mu_{i}=0$ and Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$
0 \leq \frac{5}{3}-2\left(\lambda_{3}+\mu_{3}\right)=\frac{5}{3}-4 a_{3}=\frac{5}{3}-4 \mathrm{~W}_{1414}=\frac{5}{3}-4\left(\mathrm{R}_{1414}-\frac{1}{3}\right)
$$

This implies $\mathrm{R}_{1414} \leq \frac{3}{4}$. By the ordering (4.4), the sectional curvature is bounded above by $\frac{3}{4}$. Using the classification result of [9, Corollary 1.3] we arrive at the conclusion.

When $\widehat{R}$ is six-non-negative, we have the following observation.

Proposition 5.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a simply connected Einstein four-manifold with positive scalar curvature. If $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is six-positive then its sectional curvature is bounded above by the Einstein constant. Moreover, the curvature operator (of first kind) is four-nonnegative.

Proof. Again, we use the normalization $\mathrm{Rc}=g$. Then $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is six-non-negative if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq 2-3 \lambda_{3}-3 \mu_{3}-2 \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}-2 \mu_{2}-\mu_{1}, \\
& 0 \leq 2-3 \lambda_{3}-2 \mu_{3}-3 \lambda_{2}-2 \mu_{2}-2 \mu_{1} \\
& 0 \leq 2-2 \lambda_{3}-3 \mu_{3}-2 \lambda_{2}-3 \mu_{2}-2 \lambda_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to Proposition 2.1, it is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq 2-\left(\lambda_{3}+\mu_{3}\right)+\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}=2-2 a_{3}+2 a_{1}, \\
& 0 \leq 2+3 \lambda_{1}, \\
& 0 \leq 2+3 \mu_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first inequality is equivalent to

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1414}-\mathrm{R}_{1212} \leq 1
$$

In combination with the equality

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1212}+\mathrm{R}_{1313}+\mathrm{R}_{1414}=1
$$

and the ordering

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1212} \leq \mathrm{R}_{1313} \leq \mathrm{R}_{1414}
$$

we conclude that $\mathrm{R}_{1414} \leq 1$.
For the last statement, recall that the eigenvalues of the curvature operator of the first kind are given by

$$
\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{3} \leq \lambda_{2}+\frac{1}{3} \leq \lambda_{3}+\frac{1}{3}, \quad \mu_{1}+\frac{1}{3} \leq \mu_{2}+\frac{1}{3} \leq \mu_{3}+\frac{1}{3}
$$

Thus, R is four-non-negative if and only if

$$
0 \leq \frac{4}{3}-\lambda_{3}-\mu_{3}, \quad 0 \leq \frac{4}{3}+\lambda_{1}, \quad 0 \leq \frac{4}{3}+\mu_{1}
$$

The first inequality is equivalent to

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1414} \leq 1
$$

The result then follows.

### 5.1. Examples

To illustrate our results, we use Theorem 1.8 to compute the matrix of $\widehat{R}$ for some model cases.
(1) $\left(S^{4}, g_{0}\right)$, where $g_{0}$ is the round metric. In this case $W=0$ and $S=12$ at each point, hence

$$
\widehat{\mathrm{R}}=\mathbb{I}
$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity matrix. In particular, $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ (as a bilinear form) is positive definite.
(2) $\left(\mathbb{C P}^{2}, g_{F S}\right)$, where $g_{F S}$ is the Fubini-Study metric. In this case, $W^{-} \equiv 0$ and $S=8$. Since the metric is Kähler, $W^{+}$can be diagonalized at each point as

$$
W^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{6} S & &  \tag{5.1}\\
& -\frac{1}{12} S & \\
& & -\frac{1}{12} S
\end{array}\right)
$$

see [12, Proposition 2]. Consequently, up to ordering of the eigenvalues, the matrix for $\hat{R}$ is given by

$$
\widehat{R}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 \mathbb{I} & 0 & 0  \tag{5.2}\\
0 & 4 \mathbb{I} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4 \mathbb{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that the sum of the four smallest eigenvalues is negative, but the sum of the five smallest is positive. Hence, $\hat{\mathrm{R}}$ is five-positive but not four-positive.
(3) $\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}, g_{p}\right)$, where $g_{p}$ is the product of the standard metric on each factor. In this case, $S=4$, and $g_{p}$ is Kähler with respect to both orientations; i.e., the representation (5.1) holds for both $W^{+}$and $W^{-}$. Consequently, up to ordering of the eigenvalues, the matrix for $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is given by

$$
\widehat{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
-1 & & & & & & &  \tag{5.3}\\
& 0 & & & & & & \\
& & 0 & & & & & \\
& & & 0 & & & & \\
& & & & 0 & & & \\
& & & & & 1 & & \\
& & & & & & \\
& & & & & & 1 & \\
& & & & & & & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Notice that the sum of the five smallest eigenvalues is negative; i.e., $\widehat{\mathrm{R}}$ is not five-nonnegative. However, it is six-non-negative.
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