

AN IDENTITY INVOLVING SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS AND THE GEOMETRY OF LAGRANGIAN GRASSMANNIANS

DANG TUAN HIEP AND NGUYEN CHANH TU

ABSTRACT. We first prove an identity involving symmetric polynomials. This identity leads us into exploring the geometry of Lagrangian Grassmannians. As an insight applications, we obtain a formula for the integral over the Lagrangian Grassmannian of a characteristic class of the tautological sub-bundle. Moreover, a relation to that over the ordinary Grassmannian and its application to the degree formula for the Lagrangian Grassmannian are given. Finally, we present further applications to the computation of Schubert structure constants and three-point, degree 1, genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariants of the Lagrangian Grassmannian. Some examples together with explicit computations are presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout we always assume that all polynomials are over the field of rational numbers or any field of characteristic zero. For convenience, we shall write $[n]$ for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be n values such that $\lambda_i^2 \neq \lambda_j^2$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for all i . For each $I \subseteq [n]$ and $i \in [n]$, we denote by

$$\lambda_{i,I} = \begin{cases} \lambda_i & \text{if } i \in I \\ -\lambda_i & \text{if } i \notin I \end{cases} \text{ and } \lambda_I = (\lambda_{1,I}, \dots, \lambda_{n,I}).$$

Recall that a polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in n variables x_1, \dots, x_n is said to be *symmetric* if it is invariant under permutations of x_1, \dots, x_n . The starting point of this paper is an identity involving symmetric polynomials. This identity leads us into exploring the geometry of Lagrangian Grassmannians.

Theorem 1. *Let $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a symmetric polynomial of degree not greater than $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ in n variables x_1, \dots, x_n . Then the sum*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} \frac{P(\lambda_I)}{\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_{i,I} + \lambda_{j,I}) \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,I}} = \frac{2^n c(n)}{n!},$$

where $c(n)$ is the coefficient of $x_1^{2n-1} \dots x_n^{2n-1}$ in the polynomial

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i \neq j} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i < j} (x_i + x_j).$$

Date: May 4, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14M15, 14N35; Secondary 05E05, 55N91.

Key words and phrases. Equivariant cohomology; Gromov-Witten invariant; Lagrangian Grassmannian; interpolation; Schubert structure constant; symmetric polynomial; quantum cohomology.

Remark 1. Note that the identity in Theorem 1 can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_{I \subseteq [n]} \frac{(-1)^{|I|} P(\lambda_I)}{\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_{i,I} + \lambda_{j,I})} = \frac{2^n c(n)}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i,$$

where $|I|$ means the number of elements in I . If the degree of $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is less than $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, then the sum (1) is equal to zero.

The identity in Theorem 1 provides an effective way of dealing with integrals over Lagrangian Grassmannians. The idea is as follows. The localization formula in equivariant cohomology allows us to express integrals in terms of some data attached to the fixed points of a torus action. In particular, for the Grassmannians, we obtain interesting expressions involving rational polynomials. In the previous work [17], the author has discovered the case of classical Grassmannians. Continuously, this paper is related to the integral formula for Lagrangian Grassmannians. In order to state the result, we first recall the definition of a Lagrangian Grassmannian.

Definition 1. Let V be a complex vector space of dimension $2n$ endowed with a symplectic (i.e., non-degenerate, skew-symmetric, bilinear) form. We denote by $LG(n)$ the *Lagrangian Grassmannian* parametrizing Lagrangian (i.e., maximal isotropic) subspaces of V .

Note that $LG(n)$ is a smooth subvariety of the ordinary Grassmannian $G(n, 2n)$ of V . Its dimension is

$$\dim(LG(n)) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

The cohomology ring of $LG(n)$, which agrees with the ring of Schur \tilde{Q} -polynomials, was discovered by Pragacz [23], Pragacz–Ratajski [24]. For combinatorial aspects of this theory, we refer to the work of Boe–Hiller [5] and Stembridge [26]. Recently, the study of Lagrangian Grassmannians has been focused on exploring the theory in equivariant and quantum setting. For the equivariant setting, we refer to the work of Ikeda [18] and Ikeda–Naruse [19]. For the quantum setting, we refer to the work of Buch–Kresch–Tamvakis [9] and Kresch–Tamvakis [21]. For more further results in this direction, we refer to the recent works of Buch–Kresch–Tamvakis [10, 11] and Ikeda–Mihalcea–Naruse [20].

We are mainly interested in the integration over the Lagrangian Grassmannian. More precisely, let us consider the following integral:

$$\int_{LG(n)} \Phi(\mathcal{S}),$$

where $\Phi(\mathcal{S})$ is a characteristic class of the tautological sub-bundle \mathcal{S} on $LG(n)$. Using the localization formula in equivariant cohomology, Zielenkiewicz [28] presented a way of expressing the integral as an iterated residue at infinity of a holomorphic function. As an insightful application, the identity in Theorem 1 provides an effective way of handling such an expression.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\Phi(\mathcal{S})$ is represented by a symmetric polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of degree not greater than $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ in n variables x_1, \dots, x_n which are the Chern roots of the

tautological sub-bundle \mathcal{S} on $LG(n)$. Then the integral

$$(2) \quad \int_{LG(n)} \Phi(\mathcal{S}) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \frac{c(n)}{n!},$$

where $c(n)$ is the coefficient of $x_1^{2n-1} \cdots x_n^{2n-1}$ in the polynomial

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i \neq j} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i < j} (x_i + x_j).$$

After the first version of the paper was completed, Tamvakis informed us that in general Darondeau-Pragacz [13] obtain an integral formula for the symplectic Grassmann bundle $F^\omega(n)(E) \rightarrow X$ of a rank $2n$ symplectic vector bundle E . In particular, if X is a point and E is trivial, then the integral formula of Darondeau-Pragacz says that the integral $\int_{LG(n)} \Phi(\mathcal{S})$ is equal to the coefficient of the monomial $x_1^{2n-1} x_2^{2n-2} \cdots x_n^n$ in the polynomial

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i < j} (x_i + x_j).$$

It seems that the statement of Theorem 2 is similar to the special case of Darondeau-Pragacz. However, here we consider the coefficient of the monomial $x_1^{2n-1} \cdots x_n^{2n-1}$ in the product of the polynomial

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i < j} (x_i + x_j)$$

by the discriminant

$$\Delta = \prod_{i \neq j} (x_i - x_j)$$

instead of that of the monomial $x_1^{2n-1} x_2^{2n-2} \cdots x_n^n$ in the product of the same polynomial by the Vandermonde determinant

$$a_\delta = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j).$$

Note that the sign $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ appears in the right-hand side of the formula (2) is due to the variables x_1, \dots, x_n are assumed to be the Chern roots of the tautological sub-bundle \mathcal{S} rather than the dual of \mathcal{S} .

Furthermore, our approach is completely different from that of Darondeau-Pragacz and probably close to that of Bérczi-Szenes [3], Tu [27] and Zielenkiewicz [28]. We apply the localization formula and the identity in Theorem 1 using instead of the residue at infinity. The identity is inspired by the previous work of the author [17] and the interpolation formula for symmetric polynomials due to Chen-Louck [12]. There are other approaches to integral formulas in general:

- Using Grothendieck residues, we refer to the work of Akyildiz-Carrell [1].
- Using symmetrizing operators, we refer to the work of Brion [7].

Combining the integral formula in Theorem 2 with that in [17], we are able to relate two integral formulas directly. This leads us into exploring further applications to the geometry of Lagrangian Grassmannians. More concretely, we obtain a degree formula for $LG(n)$ which is simply the degree formula of a Schubert variety with respect to the partition $(n-1, \dots, 1, 0)$

in the ordinary Grassmannian $G(n, 2n)$ (see Proposition 2). Combining the Gaimbelli formula for $LG(n)$ due to Pragacz [23] with the relation, we show that the Schubert structure constants, which occur in the cup product expansion in the cohomology ring of $LG(n)$, can be expressed as intersection numbers on the ordinary Grassmannian $G(n, 2n)$ (see Theorem 4). Similarly, by [21, Proposition 4], we show that the three-point, degree 1, genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants on $LG(n)$ can be expressed as intersection numbers on the classical Grassmannian $G(n+1, 2n+2)$ (see Theorem 5).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: The identity is proved in Section 2. Section 3 is to give a review of equivariant cohomology and prove the integral formula. In Section 4, we present the relation between the integral over the Lagrangian Grassmannian and that over the ordinary Grassmannian. The degree formula for $LG(n)$ is given in Section 5. In the last section, we present further applications related to the Schubert structure constants and three-point, degree 1, genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants. Some examples together with the computation illustrated by SINGULAR are also presented in the last section.

2. PROOF OF THE IDENTITY

The key ingredient of the proof is two following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let $Q(x)$ be a monic polynomial of degree $d+1$ with distinct roots. Then the expression*

$$\sum_{Q(\alpha)=0} \frac{\alpha^r}{Q'(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq r < d \\ 1 & \text{if } r = d \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Let $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$ be $d+1$ distinct roots of $Q(x)$. We then have

$$\sum_{Q(\alpha)=0} \frac{\alpha^r}{Q'(\alpha)} = \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{\gamma_i^r}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i - \gamma_j)}.$$

By the Lagrange interpolation formula for x^r at $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$, we get

$$x^r = \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{\gamma_i^r \prod_{i \neq j} (x - \gamma_j)}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i - \gamma_j)}.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^d \frac{\gamma_i^r}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i - \gamma_j)} = \text{the coefficient of } x^d = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq r < d \\ 1 & \text{if } r = d \end{cases}.$$

□

Lemma 2. *Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a polynomial in n variables of degree not greater than $d_1 + \dots + d_n$ and $Q_1(x), \dots, Q_n(x)$ be monic polynomials of degrees $d_1 + 1, \dots, d_n + 1$ with distinct*

roots. Then the expression

$$(3) \quad \sum_{Q_1(\alpha_1)=\dots=Q_n(\alpha_n)=0} \frac{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}{Q'_1(\alpha_1) \cdots Q'_n(\alpha_n)}$$

is independent of all the Q_i and is equal to the coefficient of $x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_n^{d_n}$ in $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Proof. By linearity, it is enough to consider monomials $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}$, $r_1 + \dots + r_n \leq d_1 + \dots + d_n$. The expression (3) factors as

$$\left(\sum_{Q_1(\alpha_1)=0} \frac{\alpha_1^{r_1}}{Q'_1(\alpha_1)} \right) \cdots \left(\sum_{Q_n(\alpha_n)=0} \frac{\alpha_n^{r_n}}{Q'_n(\alpha_n)} \right).$$

By Lemma 1, if $r_i = d_i$ for all i , then the product will be equal to 1, else the product will be equal to 0. The lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. In order to prove Theorem 1, we apply Lemma 2 to

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i \neq j} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i < j} (x_i + x_j).$$

and

$$Q_1(x) = \cdots = Q_n(x) = Q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i)(x + \lambda_i).$$

Note that $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a symmetric polynomial of degree not greater than $n(2n - 1)$ and $Q(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree $2n$ with distinct roots $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, -\lambda_1, \dots, -\lambda_n$. In this case, the expression (3) can be reduced to be

$$(4) \quad n! \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} \frac{F(\lambda_I)}{Q'(\lambda_{1,I}) \cdots Q'(\lambda_{n,I})}.$$

For each $I \subseteq [n]$, we have

$$F(\lambda_I) = P(\lambda_I) \prod_{i \neq j} (\lambda_{i,I} - \lambda_{j,I}) \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_{i,I} + \lambda_{j,I})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q'(\lambda_{1,I}) \cdots Q'(\lambda_{n,I}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(2\lambda_{i,I} \prod_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \right) \\ &= 2^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,I} \right) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} (\lambda_{i,I} - \lambda_{j,I}) \right) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} (\lambda_{i,I} + \lambda_{j,I}) \right) \\ &= 2^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,I} \right) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} (\lambda_{i,I} - \lambda_{j,I}) \right) \left(\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_{i,I} + \lambda_{j,I}) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the expression (4) can be reduced to be

$$\frac{n!}{2^n} \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} \frac{P(\lambda_I)}{\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_{i,I} + \lambda_{j,I}) \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,I}}.$$

The identity follows. \square

Remark 2. The argument of the proof in this section is more compact and applicable than that of [17, Section 2]. The original idea seems to be similar. For another proof of [17, Theorem 1], we apply Lemma 2 to

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = P(x_1, \dots, x_k) \prod_{i \neq j} (x_i - x_j)$$

and

$$Q_1(x) = \dots = Q_k(x) = Q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i).$$

3. LOCALIZATION IN EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and important results in the theory of equivariant cohomology. For more details on this theory, we refer to [8]. Throughout we consider all cohomologies with coefficients in the complex field \mathbb{C} .

Let $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ be an algebraic torus of dimension n , classified by the principal T -bundle $ET \rightarrow BT$, whose total space ET is contractible. Let X be a compact space endowed with a T -action. Put $X_T = X \times_T ET$, which is itself a bundle over BT with fiber X . Recall that the T -equivariant cohomology of X is defined to be $H_T^*(X) = H^*(X_T)$, where $H^*(X_T)$ is the ordinary cohomology of X_T .

A T -equivariant vector bundle is a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X together with a lifting of the action on X to an action on \mathcal{E} which is linear on fibers. Note that \mathcal{E}_T is a vector bundle over X_T . The T -equivariant characteristic class $c^T(\mathcal{E}) \in H_T^*(X)$ is defined to be the characteristic class $c(\mathcal{E}_T)$.

Let $\chi(T)$ be the character group of the torus T . For each $\rho \in \chi(T)$, let \mathbb{C}_ρ denote the one-dimensional representation of T determined by ρ . Then $L_\rho = (\mathbb{C}_\rho)_T$ is a line bundle over BT , and the assignment $\rho \mapsto -c_1(L_\rho)$ defines an group isomorphism $f : \chi(T) \simeq H^2(BT)$, which induces a ring isomorphism $\text{Sym}(\chi(T)) \simeq H^*(BT)$. We call $f(\rho)$ the weight of ρ . In particular, we denote by λ_i the weight of ρ_i defined by $\rho_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$. We thus obtain an isomorphism

$$H_T^*(\text{point}) = H^*(BT) \cong \mathbb{C}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n].$$

Let X^T be the fixed point locus of the torus action. An important result in equivariant cohomology, which is called the localization theorem, says that the inclusion $i : X^T \hookrightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism

$$i^* : H_T^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]} \mathcal{R}_T \simeq H_T^*(X^T) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]} \mathcal{R}_T,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_T \cong \mathbb{C}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]$ is the fraction field of $\mathbb{C}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]$. Historically, the localization theorem was first studied by Borel [6] and then further investigated by Quillen [25]. Independently, Atiyah–Bott [2] and Berline–Vergne [4] gave an explicit formula for the inverse isomorphism, provided that X is a compact manifold and X^T is finite. More precisely, we have the following formula.

Theorem 3 (Atiyah–Bott [2], Berline–Vergne [4]). *Suppose that X is a compact manifold endowed with a torus action and the fixed point locus X^T is finite. For $\alpha \in H_T^*(X)$, we have*

$$(5) \quad \int_X \alpha = \sum_{p \in X^T} \frac{\alpha|_p}{e_p},$$

where e_p is the T -equivariant Euler class of the tangent bundle at the fixed point p , and $\alpha|_p$ is the restriction of α to the point p .

For many applications, the Atiyah–Bott–Berline–Vergne formula can be formulated in more down-to-earth terms. We are mainly interested in the computation of the integrals over $LG(n)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider the natural action of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ on the complex vector space $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ endowed with a standard symplectic form. This induces a torus action on the Lagrangian Grassmannian $LG(n)$ with isolated fixed points. The fixed points of the torus action can be indexed by the subsets $I \subseteq [n]$:

$$p_I = \text{Span}\{e_i, e'_j : i \in I, j \notin I\},$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_n, e'_1, \dots, e'_n$ are the coordinates on \mathbb{C}^{2n} . For each p_I , the weights of the torus action on the fiber $\mathcal{S}|_{p_I}$ are $\lambda_{i,I}, \dots, \lambda_{n,I}$. By the symplectic form, the tangent bundle on $LG(n)$ can be identified with the second symmetric power $\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{S}^\vee$ of the dual of \mathcal{S} . Thus the weights of the torus action on the tangent bundle at p_I are

$$\{-\lambda_{i,I} - \lambda_{j,I} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.$$

This implies that the T -equivariant Euler class of the tangent bundle at p_I is

$$e_{p_I} = \prod_{i < j} (-\lambda_{i,I} - \lambda_{j,I}) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} 2^n \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_{i,I} + \lambda_{j,I}) \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,I}.$$

By the assumption, the T -equivariant characteristic class at p_I is

$$\Phi^T(\mathcal{S}|_{p_I}) = P(\lambda_I).$$

By the Atiyah–Bott–Berline–Vergne formula (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{LG(n)} \Phi(\mathcal{S}) &= \sum_{p_I} \frac{\Phi^T(\mathcal{S}|_{p_I})}{e_{p_I}} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{2^n} \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} \frac{P(\lambda_I)}{\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_{i,I} + \lambda_{j,I}) \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,I}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Theorem 1, Theorem 2 is proved as desired. \square

4. RELATION TO THE ORDINARY GRASSMANNIAN

Let $G(n, 2n)$ be the Grassmannian parametrizing n -dimensional subspaces of a $2n$ -dimensional complex vector space. We denote by σ_{δ_n} the Schubert class on $G(n, 2n)$ with respect to the partition

$$\delta_n = (n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1).$$

It is easy to see that σ_{δ_n} is represented by the symmetric polynomial

$$(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i < j} (x_i + x_j).$$

Note that in this case x_1, \dots, x_n are the Chern roots of the tautological sub-bundle \mathcal{S} on $G(n, 2n)$. By abuse of notation, we denote by $\Psi(\mathcal{S})$ a characteristic class of the tautological sub-bundle \mathcal{S} on $G(n, 2n)$. Let us consider the following integral

$$\int_{G(n, 2n)} \Psi(\mathcal{S}) \sigma_{\delta_n}.$$

By [17, Theorem 3], if $\Psi(\mathcal{S})$ is represented by a symmetric polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of degree not greater than $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, then the integral

$$\int_{G(n, 2n)} \Psi(\mathcal{S}) \sigma_{\delta_n} = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \frac{c(n)}{n!},$$

where $c(n)$ is the coefficient of $x_1^{2n-1} \dots x_n^{2n-1}$ in the polynomial

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i \neq j} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i < j} (x_i + x_j).$$

Combining this with Theorem 2, we have the following relation.

Proposition 1. *Suppose that both $\Phi(\mathcal{S})$ and $\Psi(\mathcal{S})$ are represented by the same symmetric polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of degree not greater than $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Then we have*

$$\int_{LG(n)} \Phi(\mathcal{S}) = \int_{G(n, 2n)} \Psi(\mathcal{S}) \sigma_{\delta_n}.$$

The relation allows us to reduce the computation of the integral over $LG(n)$ into the computation of that over $G(n, 2n)$. By abuse of notation, we denote by the $\sigma_i = c_i(\mathcal{S}^\vee)$ the i -th Chern classes of the dual of the tautological sub-bundles \mathcal{S} on both $LG(n)$ and $G(n, 2n)$. It is well-known that the integral cohomology rings of $LG(n)$ and $G(n, 2n)$ are presented as the quotient of $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$ by the relations coming from the corresponding Whitney sum formulas (see for example [23]). Note that these rings are graded with the degree of σ_i is equal to i . We have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $P(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ be a characteristic class on $LG(n)$. Then we have*

$$\int_{LG(n)} P(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \int_{G(n, 2n)} P(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \sigma_{\delta_n},$$

where the latter class $P(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ is on $G(n, 2n)$.

Remark 3. For more details on the computation of the integral over the ordinary Grassmannian $G(n, 2n)$, we refer to [15, Chapter 2 and Chapter 5]. An implementation of the computation was made in SINGULAR [16].

Example 1. The integral

$$\int_{LG(3)} \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 = \int_{G(3,6)} \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_{2,1} = 4.$$

The computation is illustrated by SINGULAR as follows:

```
> LIB "schubert.lib";
> variety G36 = Grassmannian(3,6);
> def r = G36.baseRing; setring r;
> poly S1 = SchubertClass(list(1));
> poly S2 = SchubertClass(list(2));
> poly S21 = SchubertClass(list(2,1));
> integral(G36,S1^2*S2^2*S21);
4
```

5. DEGREE FORMULA FOR THE LAGRANGIAN GRASSMANNIAN

In this section, we apply Theorem 1 to explore a degree formula for $LG(n)$. Recall that the degree of a subvariety of a projective space is defined to be the number of its intersection points with a generic linear subspace of complementary dimension. The degree of $LG(n)$, considered as a subvariety of a projective space thanks to the Plücker embedding, is given by the following formula:

$$\deg(LG(n)) = \int_{LG(n)} \sigma_1^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}.$$

By Corollary 1, we have

$$\int_{LG(n)} \sigma_1^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} = \int_{G(n,2n)} \sigma_1^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \sigma_{\delta_n}.$$

Thus, by [14, Example 14.7.11], we obtain a degree formula for $LG(n)$.

Proposition 2. *The degree of a Lagrangian Grassmannian is given by*

$$\deg(LG(n)) = \frac{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}!}{\prod_{i=1}^n (2i-1)!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (2j-2i).$$

Example 2. By the degree formula, the degree of $LG(3)$ is 16. It can be computed directly as follows:

$$\deg(LG(3)) = \int_{G(3,6)} \sigma_1^6 \sigma_{2,1} = 16.$$

The computation is illustrated by SINGULAR as follows:

```
> integral(G36,S1^6*S21);
16
```

6. GEOMETRY OF THE LAGRANGIAN GRASSMANNIAN

In this section, we present further applications related to the geometry of $LG(n)$. We first recall the definition of Schubert classes on $LG(n)$. For each positive integer n , we denote by \mathcal{D}_n the set of strict partitions $\alpha = (\alpha_1 > \alpha_2, \dots > \alpha_l > 0)$ with $\alpha_1 \leq n$. The number $l = l(\alpha)$ is the length of α . Fix an isotropic flag of subspaces F_i of V :

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_n \subset V,$$

where $\dim(F_i) = i$ for all i and F_n is Lagrangian. For each strict partition $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$, we define

$$X_\alpha = \{\Sigma \in LG(n) \mid \dim(\Sigma \cap F_{n+1-\alpha_i}) \geq i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq l(\alpha)\}$$

to be a *Schubert variety*. This is a subvariety of codimension $|\alpha| = \sum \alpha_i$ in $LG(n)$. The Poincaré class $\sigma_\alpha = [X_\alpha] \in H^{2|\alpha|}(LG(n), \mathbb{Z})$, does not depend on the choice of the flag, is called a *Schubert class*. The classes $\sigma_i = c_i(\mathcal{S}^\vee)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, are called *special Schubert classes*. According to Pragacz [23], the Giambelli formula for $LG(n)$ is obtained in two stages as follows:

- For $i > j > 0$, we have

$$\sigma_{i,j} = \sigma_i \sigma_j + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{n-i,j\}} (-1)^k \sigma_{i+k} \sigma_{j-k}.$$

For convenience, we set $\sigma_0 = 1$.

- For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$ of length at least 3, we have

$$(6) \quad \sigma_\alpha = \text{Pfaffian}[\sigma_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq r},$$

where r is the least even integer which is greater than or equal to $l(\alpha)$. If $l(\alpha)$ is odd then we set $\alpha_r = 0$ and $\sigma_{\alpha_i, 0} = \sigma_{\alpha_i}$.

Remark 4. The Pfaffian formula (6) is equivalent to the Laplace-type expansion for Pfaffians

$$\sigma_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (-1)^{k-1} \sigma_{\alpha_k, \alpha_r} \sigma_{\alpha \setminus \{\alpha_k, \alpha_r\}}.$$

Note that the set $\{\sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n\}$ forms a linear basis for the cohomology ring $H^*(LG(n), \mathbb{Z})$ (see for example [23]). We denote by $e_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma$ the *Schubert structure constant* which occurs in the cup product expansion

$$(7) \quad \sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta = \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|+|\beta|} e_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma \sigma_\gamma$$

in $H^*(LG(n), \mathbb{Z})$. For each strict partition α , we denote by α^\vee the partition whose parts complement the parts of α in the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Note that

$$\int_{LG(n)} \sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta = \alpha^\vee \\ 0 & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha^\vee \end{cases}.$$

We denote by $QH^*(LG(n), \mathbb{Z})$ the *small quantum cohomology ring* of $LG(n)$. This is a deformation of $H^*(LG(n), \mathbb{Z})$ which first appeared in the work of string theorists. The ring

$QH^*(LG(n), \mathbb{Z})$ is an algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$, where q is a formal variable of degree $n + 1$. By taking $q = 0$, we recover the classical cohomology ring $H^*(LG(n), \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 2. In $QH^*(LG(n), \mathbb{Z})$, the *quantum product* is given by the following formula:

$$\sigma_\alpha \cdot \sigma_\beta = \sum \langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta, \sigma_{\gamma^\vee} \rangle_d \sigma_\gamma q^d,$$

where the sum runs over $d \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $|\gamma| + d(n + 1) = |\alpha| + |\beta|$. The *quantum structure constant* $\langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta, \sigma_{\gamma^\vee} \rangle_d$ is equal to the *three-point, genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariant*, which counts the number of rational curves of degree d meeting three Schubert varieties X_α, X_β and X_{γ^\vee} in general position.

Kresch–Tamvakis [21, Theorem 1] gave a presentation for $QH^*(LG(n), \mathbb{Z})$ and showed that the Gromov–Witten invariant $\langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta, \sigma_{\gamma^\vee} \rangle_d$ can be determined by the ring of \tilde{Q} -polynomials. Using the Giambelli formula for $LG(n)$ and Corollary 1, we give another way to compute the Schubert structure constant $e_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma$ and the Gromov–Witten invariant $\langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta, \sigma_{\gamma^\vee} \rangle_1$. More precisely, we show that both the Schubert structure constant $e_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma$ and the Gromov–Witten invariant $\langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta, \sigma_{\gamma^\vee} \rangle_1$ can be expressed as integrals over the classical Grassmannians.

Definition 3. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$, we define the class $\tilde{Q}_\alpha \in H^*(G(n, 2n), \mathbb{Z})$ as follows:

- $\tilde{Q}_i = \sigma_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- For $i > j > 0$, we define

$$\tilde{Q}_{i,j} = \tilde{Q}_i \tilde{Q}_j + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{n-i, j\}} (-1)^k \tilde{Q}_{i+k} \tilde{Q}_{j-k}.$$

For convenience, we set $\tilde{Q}_0 = 1$.

- For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$ of length at least 3, we define

$$\tilde{Q}_\alpha = \text{Pfaffian}[\tilde{Q}_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq r},$$

where r is the least even integer which is greater than or equal to $l(\alpha)$. If $l(\alpha)$ is odd then we set $\alpha_r = 0$ and $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha_i, 0} = \tilde{Q}_{\alpha_i}$.

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{D}_n$ such that $|\gamma| = |\alpha| + |\beta|$. The Schubert structure constant $e_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma$ can be expressed as an intersection number on the ordinary Grassmannian $G(n, 2n)$, that is

$$e_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma = \langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta, \sigma_{\gamma^\vee} \rangle_0 = \int_{G(n, 2n)} \tilde{Q}_\alpha \tilde{Q}_\beta \tilde{Q}_{\gamma^\vee} \sigma_{\delta_n}.$$

Proof. By the definition and notation as above, the Schubert structure constant $e_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma$ can be expressed as a triple intersection number

$$e_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma = \langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta, \sigma_{\gamma^\vee} \rangle_0 = \int_{LG(n)} \sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta \sigma_{\gamma^\vee}.$$

Combining this with the Giambelli formula for $LG(n)$ and Corollary 1, the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 5. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{D}_n$ such that $|\gamma| + n + 1 = |\alpha| + |\beta|$. Then the Gromov–Witten invariant*

$$\langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta, \sigma_{\gamma^\vee} \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{G(n+1, 2n+2)} \tilde{Q}_\alpha \tilde{Q}_\beta \tilde{Q}_{\gamma^\vee} \sigma_{\delta_{n+1}},$$

where $\tilde{Q}_\alpha, \tilde{Q}_\beta$ and \tilde{Q}_{γ^\vee} are determined as the classes on $G(n+1, 2n+2)$.

Proof. By [21, Proposition 4], the Gromov–Witten invariant

$$\langle \sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta, \sigma_{\gamma^\vee} \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{LG(n+1)} \sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta \sigma_{\gamma^\vee},$$

where $\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta$ and σ_{γ^\vee} denote the Schubert classes on $LG(n+1)$. Combining this with the Giambelli formula and Corollary 1 for $LG(n+1)$, the theorem follows. \square

Example 3. In $QH^*(LG(3), \mathbb{Z})$, we have the quantum product

$$\sigma_{2,1} \cdot \sigma_2 = e_{(2,1),(2)}^{(3,2)} \sigma_{3,2} + \langle \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_2, \sigma_{3,2} \rangle_1 \sigma_1 q.$$

The Schubert structure constant

$$e_{(2,1),(2)}^{(3,2)} = \langle \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_2, \sigma_1 \rangle_0 = \int_{G(3,6)} \tilde{Q}_{2,1} \tilde{Q}_2 \tilde{Q}_1 \sigma_{2,1},$$

which is computed by SINGULAR as follows:

```
> LIB "schubert.lib";
> variety G = Grassmannian(3,6);
> def r = G.baseRing; setring r;
> poly Q1 = QtildeClass(list(1));
> poly Q2 = QtildeClass(list(2));
> poly Q21 = QtildeClass(list(2,1));
> poly S21 = SchubertClass(list(2,1));
> integral(G, Q21*Q2*Q1*S21);
2
```

It follows that $e_{(2,1),(2)}^{(3,2)} = 2$. The Gromov–Witten invariant

$$\langle \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_2, \sigma_{3,2} \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{G(4,8)} \tilde{Q}_{2,1} \tilde{Q}_2 \tilde{Q}_{3,2} \sigma_{3,2,1},$$

which is computed by SINGULAR as follows:

```
> variety G = Grassmannian(4,8);
> def r = G.baseRing; setring r;
> poly Q21 = QtildeClass(list(2,1));
> poly Q2 = QtildeClass(list(2));
> poly Q32 = QtildeClass(list(3,2));
> poly S321 = SchubertClass(list(3,2,1));
> integral(G, Q21*Q2*Q32*S321);
2
```

This follows that $\langle \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_2, \sigma_{3,2} \rangle_1 = 1$. Thus the quantum product

$$\sigma_{2,1} \cdot \sigma_2 = 2\sigma_{3,2} + \sigma_1 q.$$

This agrees with the quantum Pieri rule given by Buch-Kresch-Tamvakis [9, Theorem 3].

Example 4. In $QH^*(LG(4), \mathbb{Z})$, we have the quantum product

$$\sigma_{3,2} \cdot \sigma_{2,1} = e_{(3,2),(2,1)}^{(4,3,1)} \sigma_{4,3,1} + \langle \sigma_{3,2}, \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_{4,2,1} \rangle_1 \sigma_3 q + \langle \sigma_{3,2}, \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_{4,3} \rangle_1 \sigma_{2,1} q.$$

The Schubert structure constant $e_{(3,2),(2,1)}^{(4,3,1)}$ is computed as follows:

$$e_{(3,2),(2,1)}^{(4,3,1)} = \langle \sigma_{3,2}, \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_2 \rangle_0 = \int_{G(4,8)} \tilde{Q}_{3,2} \tilde{Q}_{2,1} \tilde{Q}_2 \sigma_{3,2,1}.$$

The integral is computed by SINGULAR as follows:

```
> variety G = Grassmannian(4,8);
> def r = G.baseRing; setring r;
> poly Q2 = QtildeClass(list(2));
> poly Q21 = QtildeClass(list(2,1));
> poly Q32 = QtildeClass(list(3,2));
> poly S321 = SchubertClass(list(3,2,1));
> integral(G, Q32*Q21*Q2*S321);
2
```

This means that $e_{(3,2),(2,1)}^{(4,3,1)} = 2$.

The Gromov–Witten invariants $\langle \sigma_{3,2}, \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_{4,2,1} \rangle_1$ and $\langle \sigma_{3,2}, \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_{4,3} \rangle_1$ are computed as follows:

$$\langle \sigma_{3,2}, \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_{4,2,1} \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{G(5,10)} \tilde{Q}_{3,2} \tilde{Q}_{2,1} \tilde{Q}_{4,2,1} \sigma_{4,3,2,1}$$

and

$$\langle \sigma_{3,2}, \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_{4,3} \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{G(5,10)} \tilde{Q}_{3,2} \tilde{Q}_{2,1} \tilde{Q}_{4,3} \sigma_{4,3,2,1}.$$

These integrals are computed by SINGULAR as follows:

```
> variety G = Grassmannian(5,10);
> def r = G.baseRing; setring r;
> poly Q32 = QtildeClass(list(3,2));
> poly Q21 = QtildeClass(list(2,1));
> poly Q43 = QtildeClass(list(4,3));
> poly Q421 = QtildeClass(list(4,2,1));
> poly S4321 = SchubertClass(list(4,3,2,1));
> integral(G, Q32*Q21*Q421*S4321);
4
> integral(G, Q32*Q21*Q43*S4321);
2
```

This means that $\langle \sigma_{3,2}, \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_{4,2,1} \rangle_1 = 2$ and $\langle \sigma_{3,2}, \sigma_{2,1}, \sigma_{4,3} \rangle_1 = 1$. Thus, in $QH^*(LG(4), \mathbb{Z})$, we have the quantum product

$$\sigma_{3,2} \cdot \sigma_{2,1} = 2\sigma_{4,3,1} + 2\sigma_3q + \sigma_{2,1}q.$$

Acknowledgements. This paper was written while the first author visited Vietnam Institute for advanced study in Mathematics (VIASM), he would like to thank VIASM for the very kind support and hospitality. This research was partially supported by grants no. B2018.DNA.10 and B2019.DLA.03.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Akyldz and J. B. Carrell, An algebraic formula for the Gysin homomorphism from G/B to G/P , *Illinois J. Math.* **31** (1987), 312–320.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and R. H. Bott, The moment map and equivariant cohomology, *Topology* **23** (1984), 1–28.
- [3] G. Bérczi and A. Szenes, Thom polynomials of Morin singularities, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **175** (2012), 567–629.
- [4] N. Berline and M. Vergne, Classes caractéristiques équivariantes. Formule de localisation en cohomologie équivariante. (French) [Equivariant characteristic classes. Localization formula in equivariant cohomology] *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math.* **295** (1982), no. 9, 539–541.
- [5] B. Boe and H. Hiller, Pieri formula for SO_{2n+1}/U_n and Sp_n/U_n , *Adv. in Math.* **62** (1986), 49–67.
- [6] A. Borel, *Seminar on transformation groups*, Ann. of Math. Stud., **46**, Princeton University Press, 1960.
- [7] M. Brion, The push-forward and Todd class of flag bundles, In: *Parameter Spaces* (Pragacz, ed.), Banach Center Publications **36**, 1996, 45–50.
- [8] M. Brion, Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory, In: *Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry* (Montreal, PQ, 1997), **514**. NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., pp. 1–37. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht (1998) (Notes by Alvaro Rittatore).
- [9] A. S. Buch, A. Kresch and H. Tamvakis, Gromov-Witten invariants on Grassmannians, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), 901–915.
- [10] A. S. Buch, A. Kresch and H. Tamvakis, Quantum Pieri rules for isotropic Grassmannians, *Invent. Math.* **178** (2009), 345–405.
- [11] A. S. Buch, A. Kresch and H. Tamvakis, A Giambelli formula for even orthogonal Grassmannians, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **708** (2015), 17–48.
- [12] W. Y. C. Chen and J. D. Louck, Interpolation for symmetric functions, *Adv. in Math.* **117** (1996), 147–156.
- [13] L. Darondeau and P. Pragacz, Universal Gysin formula for flag bundles, *Internat. J. Math.* **28** (2017), 1750077.
- [14] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [15] D. T. Hiep, *Intersection theory with applications to the computation of Gromov–Witten invariants*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Kaiserslautern, 2014.
- [16] D. T. Hiep, `schubert.lib`. A SINGULAR 4-1-0 library for computing intersection theory and enumerative geometry. Available at <https://github.com/hiepdang/Singular/blob/master/schubert.lib>.
- [17] D. T. Hiep, Identities involving (doubly) symmetric polynomials and integrals over Grassmannians, *Fundam. Math.* **246** (2019), 181–191.
- [18] T. Ikeda, Schubert classes in the equivariant cohomology of the Lagrangian Grassmannian, *Adv. in Math.* **215** (2007), 1–23.
- [19] T. Ikeda and H. Naruse, Excited Young diagrams and equivariant Schubert calculus, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), 5193–5221.
- [20] T. Ikeda, L. Mihalcea and H. Naruse, Factorial P - and Q -Schur functions represent equivariant quantum Schubert classes, *Osaka J. Math.* **53** (2016), no. 3, 591–619.
- [21] A. Kresch and H. Tamvakis, Quantum cohomology of the Lagrangian Grassmannian, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **12** (2003), 777–810.

- [22] A. Lascoux and P. Pragacz, Operator Calculus for \tilde{Q} -Polynomials and Schubert Polynomials, *Adv. in Math.* **140** (1998), 1–43.
- [23] P. Pragacz, Algebro-geometric applications of Schur S - and Q -polynomials, *Topics in invariant theory* (Paris, 1989/1990), 130–191, Lecture Notes in Math., **1478**, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [24] P. Pragacz and J. Ratajski, Formulas for Lagrangian and orthogonal degeneracy loci, \tilde{Q} -polynomial approach; *Compositio Math.* **107** (1997), 11–87.
- [25] D. Quillen, The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring: I, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **94** (1971), 549–572.
- [26] J. R. Stembridge, Shifted tableaux and the projective representations of symmetric groups, *Adv. in Math.* **74** (1989), 87–134.
- [27] L. W. Tu, Computing the Gysin map using fixed points, Algebraic geometry and number theory, 135–160, Progr. Math., 321, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [28] M. Zielenkiewicz, Integration over homogeneous spaces for classical Lie groups using iterated residues at infinity, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **12** (2014), 574–583.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DA LAT UNIVERSITY, NO. 1, PHU DONG THIEN
 VUONG RD., WARD 8, DA LAT, VIETNAM
E-mail address: `hiepdt@dlu.edu.vn`

FACULTY OF ADVANCED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (FAST), DA NANG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE
 AND TECHNOLOGY, 54 NGUYEN LUONG BANG, DA NANG, VIETNAM
E-mail address: `nctu@dut.udn.vn`