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#### Abstract

We give a classification of real solvable Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits of corresponding simply connected Lie groups are all of codimension 2. These Lie algebras belong to a well-known class, called the class of MD-algebras.
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1. Introduction. The problem of the classification of Lie algebras (as well as Lie groups) has received much attentions since the early $20^{\text {th }}$ century. However, this is still an open problem. By Levi's decomposition and the Cartan's theorem, we know that the problem of classification of Lie algebras over any field of characteristic zero are reduced to the problem of classification of solvable ones. However, until now, there is no a complete classification of $n$ dimensional solvable Lie algebras if $n \geq 7$. And this classification problem seems to be impossible to solve, unless there is a suitable change on the definition of term "classification" or there is a completely new method to classify those Lie algebras [4].

As we know, the Lie algebra of a (simply connected) Lie group is commutative if and only if all of its coadjoint orbits are trivial (or of dimension 0). However, Lie groups which has a non-trivial coadjoint orbit are much more complicated. In 1980, while searching for the class of Lie groups whose $C^{*}$-algebra can be characterized by BDF $K$-functions, Do Ngoc Diep proposed to study a class of Lie groups whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits have the same dimension [5]. He named this class as MD-class. Any Lie group belongs to this class is called an MD-group and the Lie algebra of any MD-group is called an MD-algebra.

It can be said that Vuong Manh Son and Ho Huu Viet were the authors who faced the problem of classification MD-algebras (as well as MD-groups) firstly. In 1984, they gave not only the classification of MD-groups whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of the same dimension as the group but also some important characteristics of this class. For example, they showed that any non-commutative MD-algebra is either 1-step solvable or 2-step solvable, i.e. the second derived algebra is commutative [17]. Afterward, from 1990, Vu A. L. and Hieu V. H. (the authors of this paper) gave the classification (up to isomorphic) of some subclasses; including all MD-algebras of dimension 4 [20], all MD-algebras of dimension 5 [23, 21], all MD-algebras which have the first derived ideal of dimension 1 or codimension 1 [22].

[^0]Besides, a list of all simply connected Lie groups whose coadjoint orbits are of dimension up to 2 was given by D. Arnal et al. in 1995 [1]. In 2019, Michel Goze and Elisabeth Remm used Cartan class to give the classification of all Lie algebras that all non-trivial coadjoint orbits of corresponding Lie groups are of dimension 4 [7]. Remark that the Lie algebras classified in [1] and [7] are all MD-algebras in terms of Diep. Moreover, Goze and Remm also gave some characteristics of the class of MD-algebras whose nontrivial coadjoint orbits are of codimension 1. Recently, in an earlier article [8], we have classified all real solvable Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of codimension 1. Now, we will give the complete classification of real solvable Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of codimension 2.

The paper is organized into 6 sections, including this introduction. In Section 2, we will recall some basic preliminary concepts, notations and properties which will be used throughout the paper. In Section 3 and Section 4, we will give the classification of 1-step solvable Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of codimension 2 [Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.7]. In Section 5, we will study the case of such 2 -step solvable Lie algebras [Theorem 5.1], and complete the results in Sections 3, 4. Tables containing a list of results are provided in the last section.
2. Preliminaries. We now introduce some key definitions, notations and terminologies. For more details, we refer reader to [10].

- Throughout this paper, the underlying field is always the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers and $n$ is an integer $\geq 2$ unless otherwise stated.
- For any Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and $0<k \in \mathbb{N}$, the direct $\operatorname{sum} \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is called a trivial extension of $\mathcal{G}$.
- A Lie algebra $(\mathcal{G},[\cdot, \cdot])$ is said to be $i$-step solvable or solvable of degree $i$ if its $i$-th derived algebra $\mathcal{G}^{i}:=\left[\mathcal{G}^{i-1}, \mathcal{G}^{i-1}\right]$ is commutative and non-trivial (i.e. $\neq\{0\}$ ) where $\mathcal{G}^{0}:=\mathcal{G}$ and $0<i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- An $n \times n$ matrix whose $(i, j)$-entry is $a_{i j}$ will be written as $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$. While the $(i, j)$-entry of a matrix $A$ will be denoted by $(A)_{i j}$. The transpose of $A$ will be denoted by $A^{t}$. For an endomorphism $f$ on a vector space $V$ of dimension $n$, the matrix of $f$ with respect to a basis $\mathfrak{b}:=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $V$ will be denoted by $[f]_{\mathfrak{b}}$. For short, if $U:=\left\langle x_{k}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ is the subspace of $V$ spanned by $\left\{x_{k}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and if $g: U \rightarrow U$ is a linear endomorphism on $U$ then the notation $[g]_{\mathfrak{b}}$ will be used to denote the matrix of $g$ with respect to the basis $\left\{x_{k}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $U$.
- As usual, the dual space of $V$ will be denoted by $V^{*}$. It is well-know that if $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is a basis of $V$ then $\left\{x_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}\right\}$ is a basis of $V^{*}$, where each $x_{i}^{*}$ is defined by $x_{i}^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ (the Kronecker delta symbol) for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
- For any $x \in \mathcal{G}$, we will denote by $\operatorname{ad}_{x}$ the adjoint action of $x$ on $\mathcal{G}$, i.e. $\operatorname{ad}_{x}$ is the endomorphism on $\mathcal{G}$ defined by $\operatorname{ad}_{x}(y)=[x, y]$ for every $y \in \mathcal{G}$. By $\operatorname{ad}_{x}^{1}$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{x}^{2}$, we mean the restricted maps of $\operatorname{ad}_{x}$ on $\mathcal{G}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{2}$, respectively. Since $\mathcal{G}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{2}$ are ideals of $\mathcal{G}, \operatorname{ad}_{x}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{ad}_{x}^{2}$ will be treated as endomorphisms on $\mathcal{G}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{2}$, respectively.
- In this paper, we will use the symbol $I$ to denote the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix, and use $J$ to denote the following $2 \times 2$ matrix $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right]$. We shall denote by $\mathbf{0}$ the zero matrix of suitable size.

Definition 2.1. Let $G$ be a Lie group and let $\mathcal{G}$ be its Lie algebra. If $\operatorname{Ad}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ denotes the adjoint representation of $G$. Then the action

$$
\begin{aligned}
K: & G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\right) \\
& g \mapsto K_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

defined by

$$
K_{g}(F)(x)=F\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(g^{-1}\right)(x)\right) \text { for } F \in \mathcal{G}^{*}, x \in \mathcal{G}
$$

is called the coadjoint representation of $G$ in $\mathcal{G}^{*}$. Each orbit of the coadjoint representation of $G$ is called a coadjoint orbit, or a $K$-orbit of $G$.

For each $F \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$, the coadjoint orbit for $F$ is denoted by $\Omega_{F}$, i.e.

$$
\Omega_{F}=\left\{K_{g}(F): g \in G\right\}
$$

The dimension of each coadjoint orbit is determined via the following proposition.
Proposition 2.2. [10] Let $F$ be any element in $\mathcal{G}^{*}$. If $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{G}$ then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{F}=\operatorname{rank}\left(F\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)\right)_{n \times n}
$$

REMARK 2.3. The dimension of each $K$-orbit $\Omega_{F}$ is always even for every $F \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{F}>0$ if and only if $\left.F\right|_{\mathcal{G}^{1}} \neq 0$.

As mentioned in previous section, this paper concerns with Lie algebras whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of the same dimension.

Definition 2.4. [5, 17$]$ An $M D$-group is a finite-dimensional, simply connected and solvable Lie group whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are of the same dimension. The Lie algebra of an MD-group is called an MD-algebra. An MD-algebra $\mathcal{G}$ is called an $\operatorname{MD}_{k}(n)$-algebra if $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}=n$ and the same dimension of non-trivial coadjoint orbits is equal to $k$.

One of the most interesting characteristics on this class is about the degree of solvability which is proven by Son \& Viet [17].

Proposition 2.5. [17] If $\mathcal{G}$ is an MD-algebra then the degree of solvability is at most 2, i.e. $\mathcal{G}^{3}=\{0\}$.
Therefore, the problem of classification of MD-algebras falls naturally into two parts: (1) the classification of 1-step solvable ones, and (2) the classification of 2 -step solvable ones. However, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a 2 -step solvable MD-algebra then $\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{2}$ is a 1 -step solvable MD-algebra [8, Theorem 3.5]. Hence, we should firstly study some interesting properties of 1-step solvable MD-algebras.

Proposition 2.6. [8] Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 1-step solvable Lie algebra of dimension $n$ such that its non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of codimension $k$. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1} \geq n-k+1$ then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $\mathcal{L} \oplus_{\rho} \mathcal{G}^{1}$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is a commutative sub-algebra of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\rho$ is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\rho: & \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{G}^{1} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{G}^{1}  \tag{2.1}\\
& (x, y) & \mapsto & {[x, y] .}
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{G}$ is 1 -step solvable then $[[x, y], z]=0$ for every $x, y \in \mathcal{G}, z \in \mathcal{G}^{1}$. It follows immediately from the Jacobi identity that $\operatorname{ad}_{x}^{1} \operatorname{ad}_{y}^{1}=\operatorname{ad}_{y}^{1} \operatorname{ad}_{x}^{1}$ for every $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 2.7. If $\mathcal{G}$ is 1 -step solvable then $\left\{\operatorname{ad}_{x}^{1}: x \in \mathcal{G}\right\}$ is a family of commuting endomorphisms.

It is well-known that an arbitrary set of commuting matrices over an algebraic closed field may be simultaneously brought to triangular form by a unitary similarity [13, 14]. A similar version for the case of the real field is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of commuting real matrices of the same size. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is block simultaneously triangularizable in which the maximal size of each block is 2. In other words, there is a non-singular real matrix $T$ so that

$$
T \mathcal{S} T^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
*_{2 \times 2} & & & & * & \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & *_{2 \times 2} & & & \\
& 0 & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

where each block $*_{2 \times 2}$ is of the form $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ -b & a\end{array}\right]$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ (b is not necessary to be non-zero).
The following lemma is a straightforward but useful consequence of Propositions 2.6, 2.8 and Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 1-step solvable $M D_{n-2}(n)$-algebra such that $m:=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}$ is strictly greater than 2. Then there is a basis $\mathfrak{b}:=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ so that

- $\mathcal{G}^{1}=\left\langle x_{n-m+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ is commutative,
- $\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]=0$ for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n-m$,
- The matrices $\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}},\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}, \ldots,\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{n-m}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}$ are of the block triangular form in the sense of Proposition 2.8.
REMARK 2.10. In the above lemma, we can choose $\mathfrak{b}$ so that the space $\mathcal{L}$ in the semi-direct sum $\mathcal{L} \oplus_{\rho} \mathcal{G}^{1}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is spanned by $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-m}\right\}$. If so, for each $F \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$,

$$
\left(F\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)\right)_{n \times n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & P_{F} \\
-P_{F}^{t} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $P_{F}$ is an $(n-m) \times m$ matrix which is defined by:

$$
\left(P_{F}\right)_{i j}:=F\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{n-m+j}\right]\right)
$$

By Proposition 2.2,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{F}=2 \operatorname{rank}\left(P_{F}\right) \quad \text { for every } F \in \mathcal{G}^{*}
$$

Finally, if $\mathcal{G}$ is an $\operatorname{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebra then $\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{2}$ is an $\operatorname{MD}_{n-2}\left(n-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{2}\right)$-algebra [8, Theorem 3.5]. Hence, we should recall here the classifications of $\mathrm{MD}_{n-1}(n)$-algebras and $\mathrm{MD}_{n}(n)$-algebras which are solved by Hieu et. al. [8] and Son \& Viet [17], respectively.

Proposition 2.11. [8] Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a real $M D_{n-1}(n)$-algebra with $n \geq 5$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the followings:

1. A trivial extension of $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C})$, namely $\mathbb{R} \oplus \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C})$, where $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C}):=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\rangle$ is the complex affine algebra defined by

$$
\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]=y_{1},\left[x_{1}, y_{2}\right]=y_{2},\left[x_{2}, y_{1}\right]=-y_{2},\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]=y_{1}
$$

2. The real Heisenberg Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{2 m+1}:=\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}, z: i=1, \ldots, m\right\rangle,
$$

with $\left[x_{i}, y_{i}\right]=z$ for every $1 \leq i \leq m$.
3. The Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{s}_{5,45}:=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, z\right\rangle
$$

with

$$
\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]=y_{1},\left[x_{1}, y_{2}\right]=y_{2},\left[x_{1}, z\right]=2 z,\left[x_{2}, y_{1}\right]=y_{2},\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]=-y_{1},\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]=z .
$$

Proposition 2.12. [17] Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a real $M D_{n}(n)$-algebra. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the following forms:

1. The real affine algebra $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R}):=\langle x, y\rangle$ with $[x, y]=y$,
2. The complex affine algebra aff $(\mathbb{C})$ defined in Proposition 2.11.

REMARK 2.13. Note that the dimension of any coadjoint orbit is even [Remark 2.3], therefore if $\mathcal{G}$ is an $M D_{n-2}(n)$-algebra then $n$ must be even. The case $n=2$ is trivial. The case $n=4$ is solved completely in [20]. Namely, up to an isomorphism, in the $M D_{2}(4)$-class there are 5 decomposable algebras and 8 indecomposable ones as follows:
(1) The decomposable case:
(i) $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
(ii) $\mathfrak{s}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ where $\mathfrak{s}_{3} \in\left\{\mathfrak{n}_{3,1}, \mathfrak{s}_{3,1}, \mathfrak{s}_{3,2}, \mathfrak{s}_{3,3}\right\}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{s}_{3}$ is a non-commutative solvable Lie algebra of dimension 3 according to the notation of [18].
(2) The indecomposable case: $\mathfrak{n}_{4,1}, \mathfrak{s}_{4,1}, \mathfrak{s}_{4,2}, \mathfrak{s}_{4,3}, \mathfrak{s}_{4,4}, \mathfrak{s}_{4,5}, \mathfrak{s}_{4,6}, \mathfrak{s}_{4,7}$ according to the notation of [18].

Hence, to completely classify the $\mathrm{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-class, we only have to consider the remaining case when $n \geq 6$.
3. One-step solvable $\operatorname{MD}_{\boldsymbol{n - 2}}(\boldsymbol{n})$-algebras. According to Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.9, the classification of $\mathrm{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebras falls naturally into three problems:

- The problem of classification those 1-step solvable algebras which have the derived algebra of dimension at least 3 .
- The problem of classification of those 1-step solvable algebras which have the derived algebra of dimension at most 2.
- The problem of classification of those 2-step solvable algebras.

We will solve the first item in this section. The remaining items will be solved in the next sections.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 1 -step solvable $M D_{n-2}(n)$-algebra of dimension $n \geq 6$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1} \geq 3$. Then $n$ must be 6 and $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the following families: $\mathfrak{s}_{6,211}, \mathfrak{s}_{6,225}, \mathfrak{s}_{6,226}, \mathfrak{s}_{6,228}{ }^{1}$ listed in [18].

[^1]REMARK 3.2. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a decomposable $M D_{4}(6)$-algebra then $\mathcal{G}$ is a trivial extension of either an indecomposable $M D_{4}(5)$-algebra or an indecomposable $M D_{4}(4)$-algebra [8, Theorem 3.1]. These indecomposable MD-algebras are classified in [17, 21, 23]. Based on their classification, there are exactly one indecomposable $M D_{4}(4)$-algebra aff $(\mathbb{C})$ and exactly one indecomposable $M D_{4}(5)$-algebra $\mathfrak{s}_{5,45}$ in Proposition 2.11 Hence, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a decomposable $M D_{4}(6)$-algebra then $\mathcal{G}$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2} \oplus \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C})$ or isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{5,45}$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we will need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.3. Let $f, g$ be two commutative endomorphisms on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, i.e. $f \circ g=g \circ f$. Assume that the matrices of $f$ and $g$ with respect to $a$ basis $\mathfrak{b}$ are equal to

$$
[f]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right],[g]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & J
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ are $2 \times 2$ matrices. If either $\operatorname{det}\left(B_{1}^{2}+I\right) \neq 0$ or $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}-I\right) \neq 0$ then there is a basis $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ so that

$$
[f]_{\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right],[g]_{\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & J
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let's denote the vectors in the basis $\mathfrak{b}$ by $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right\}$.

- If $\operatorname{det}\left(B_{1}^{2}+I\right) \neq 0$, then we first claim that there are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\gamma & \alpha \\
-\delta & \beta
\end{array}\right]=B_{2}+B_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \gamma \\
\beta & \delta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Indeed, the above system is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\gamma \\
-\delta
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(B_{2}\right)_{11} \\
\left(B_{2}\right)_{21}
\end{array}\right]+B_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right] \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(B_{2}\right)_{12} \\
\left(B_{2}\right)_{22}
\end{array}\right]+B_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\delta
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

or

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\gamma \\
-\delta
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(B_{2}\right)_{11} \\
\left(B_{2}\right)_{21}
\end{array}\right]+B_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right] \\
\left(B_{1}^{2}+I\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right] & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(B_{2}\right)_{12} \\
\left(B_{2}\right)_{22}
\end{array}\right]-B_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(B_{2}\right)_{11} \\
\left(B_{2}\right)_{21}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

The existence of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ follows from the non-singularity of $B_{1}^{2}+I$.
Let $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}:=\left\{y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}, y_{3}^{\prime}, y_{4}^{\prime}\right\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ defined by:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}^{\prime}=y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}=y_{2} \\
y_{3}^{\prime}=y_{3}+\alpha y_{1}+\beta y_{2} \\
y_{4}^{\prime}=y_{4}+\gamma y_{1}+\delta y_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the matrix of $f$ and $g$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ are determined as

$$
[f]_{\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right], \quad[g]_{\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & J
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some $2 \times 2$ matrix $A_{2}^{\prime}$. Moreover,

$$
f \circ g=g \circ f \Longleftrightarrow A_{2}^{\prime} \times J=B_{1} \times A_{2}^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{r}
-\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{12} \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{22}
\end{array}\right]=B_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{11} \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{21}
\end{array}\right] \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{11} \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{21}
\end{array}\right]=B_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{12} \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{22}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{12} \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{22}
\end{array}\right]=B_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{11} \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{21}
\end{array}\right] \\
\left(B_{1}^{2}+I\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{11} \\
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{21}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

which implies, from $\operatorname{det}\left(B_{1}^{2}+I\right) \neq 0$, that $A_{2}^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$.

- By the same manner as previous item, if $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}-I\right) \neq 0$ then there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$
\left(A_{1}-I\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \gamma \\
\beta & \delta
\end{array}\right]=-A_{2}
$$

Equivalently, the matrix of $f$ with respect to the basis $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}:=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}+\alpha y_{1}+\beta y_{2}, y_{4}+\gamma y_{1}+\delta y_{2}\right\}$ is equal to $\left[\begin{array}{cc}A_{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I\end{array}\right]$. Once again, the commutation of $f$ and $g$ implies that the matrix of $g$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ is equal to $\left[\begin{array}{cc}B_{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & J\end{array}\right]$. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Now, we begin to prove Theorem 3.1. The proof falls into three parts. Firstly, we will prove that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}=6$, and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1} \leq 4$. Secondly, we will prove that there is no $\mathrm{MD}_{4}(6)$-algebra with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=3$. Thirdly, we will classify $\mathrm{MD}_{4}(6)$-algebras with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=4$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let's denote by $m$ the dimension of $\mathcal{G}^{1}(m \geq 3)$ and let $\mathfrak{b}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{G}$ which satisfies all conditions in Lemma 2.9. If so,

$$
P_{x_{n}^{*}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{n-m+1}\right]\right) & x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{n-m+2}\right]\right) & \cdots & x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{n}\right]\right) \\
x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{2}, x_{n-m+1}\right]\right) & x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{2}, x_{n-m+2}\right]\right) & \cdots & x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{2}, x_{n}\right]\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{n-m}, x_{n-m+1}\right]\right) & x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{n-m}, x_{n-m+2}\right]\right) & \cdots & x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{n-m}, x_{n}\right]\right)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Because the matrices $\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}, \ldots,\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{n-m}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}$ are of block triangular form in the sense of Proposition 2.8, the first $(m-2)$ columns of $P_{x_{n}^{*}}$ are equal to zero. Hence,

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{x_{n}^{*}}\right) \leq 2
$$

By Remark 2.10, we obtain $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{x_{n}^{*}} \leq 4$. Since each non-trivial coadjoint orbit of $\mathcal{G}$ is of dimension $n-2$, we get $n-2 \leq 4$, i.e. $n \leq 6$. By the assumption, $n \geq 6$. Therefore, $n$ must be 6 . In particular, $m=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}<\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}=6$.

Now, we will prove that $m \leq 4$. Assume the contrary that $m=5$ then all but the first row of $P_{x_{n}^{*}}$ is zero. This turns out that $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{x_{n}^{*}} \leq 2$, a contradiction to the fact that every non-trivial coadjoint orbit of an $\mathrm{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebra is of dimension $n-2$. Hence, $3 \leq m \leq 4$.

However, if $m=3$ then there is at least one block of size 1 in the triangular form of the matrices $\left\{\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{i}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}: i=1,2,3\right\}$. In the other words, we may assume that

$$
\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
*_{2 \times 2} & * \\
0 & a_{1}
\end{array}\right],\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
*_{2 \times 2} & * \\
0 & a_{2}
\end{array}\right],\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{3}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
*_{2 \times 2} & * \\
0 & a_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$. If so,

$$
P_{x_{6}^{*}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & a_{1} \\
0 & 0 & a_{2} \\
0 & 0 & a_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

which must have rank 1 , or $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{x_{6}^{*}}=2$, a contradiction. Therefore, $m=4$.
Finally, let's classify $\mathrm{MD}_{4}(6)$-algebras. By rewriting

$$
\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & A_{3}
\end{array}\right], \text { and }\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & B_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

we have four possibilities for the $2 \times 2$ matrices $A_{3}, B_{3}$ as follows:

- $A_{3}$ and $B_{3}$ are both of triangular form, i.e. $\left(A_{3}\right)_{21}=\left(B_{3}\right)_{21}=0$.
- $A_{3}=\lambda I_{2}$ and $B_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mu & \zeta \\ -\zeta & \mu\end{array}\right]$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$.
- $A_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mu & \zeta \\ -\zeta & \mu\end{array}\right]$ and $B_{3}=\lambda I_{2}$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$.
- $A_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & \eta \\ -\eta & \lambda\end{array}\right]$ and $B_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mu & \zeta \\ -\zeta & \mu\end{array}\right]$ for some $\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\eta \neq 0, \zeta \neq 0$.

Remark that the change of basis $x_{1} \rightarrow x_{1}-\frac{\eta}{\zeta} x_{2}$ and the change of basis $x_{1} \leftrightarrow x_{2}$ bring respectively the fourth item and the third item to the second item. Hence, it is sufficient to consider only the two first possibilities. However, if $A_{3}$ and $B_{3}$ are both of triangular form, then

$$
x_{6}^{*}\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)=0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq 5
$$

and hence, $\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{x_{6}^{*}}\right)=1$, or $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{x_{6}^{*}}=2$, a contradiction again.
Therefore, it suffices to consider the second item only:

$$
A_{3}=\lambda I \text { and } B_{3}=\mu I+\zeta J \quad(\zeta \neq 0)
$$

If so, by the same manner, we obviously obtain $\lambda \neq 0$. Now, by the following change of basis:

$$
\begin{cases}x_{1} & \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda} x_{1} \\ x_{2} & \rightarrow \frac{1}{\zeta}\left(x_{2}-\mu x_{1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

we may assume $\lambda=1, \mu=0$ and $\zeta=1$.
Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume from beginning that

$$
\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right],\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & J
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Similarly, we have two possibilities for the forms of $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ as follows:

- $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are both of triangular form, i.e. $\left(A_{1}\right)_{21}=\left(B_{1}\right)_{21}=0$.
- $A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & \eta \\ -\eta & \lambda\end{array}\right]$ and $B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mu & \zeta \\ -\zeta & \mu\end{array}\right]$ with $\eta^{2}+\zeta^{2} \neq 0$.

However, If $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are both of triangular form then $\operatorname{det}\left(B_{1}^{2}+I\right) \neq 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that we may assume $A_{2}=B_{2}=\mathbf{0}$. If so, it is elementary to check that

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& x_{4}^{*}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{5}\right]\right)=x_{4}^{*}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{6}\right]\right)=x_{4}^{*}\left(\left[x_{2}, x_{5}\right]\right)=x_{4}^{*}\left(\left[x_{2}, x_{6}\right]\right)=0 \\
& x_{4}^{*}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right]\right)=x_{4}^{*}\left(\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right]\right)=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Therefore,

$$
P_{x_{4}^{*}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & * & 0 & 0 \\
0 & * & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

which has rank exactly 1 . Hence, $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{x_{4}^{*}}=2$, a contradiction again.
In summary, we may assume that

$$
\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda I+\eta J & A_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right],\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mu I+\zeta J & B_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & J
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \eta^{2}+\zeta^{2} \neq 0
$$

Besides, it is elementary to check that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{det}(\lambda I+\eta J-I)=0 \Longleftrightarrow(\lambda, \eta)=(1,0) \\
\operatorname{det}\left((\mu I+\zeta J)^{2}+I\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow(\mu, \zeta)=(0, \pm 1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, in light of Lemma 3.3, we shall split the rest of the proof into two cases as followings:

1. Case 1: $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}=\boldsymbol{I}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{1}= \pm \boldsymbol{J}$. If so, by the following change of basis: $x_{4} \rightarrow-x_{4}$ if necessary, we can assume that $B_{1}=J$. In the other words,

$$
\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & A_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right],\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J & B_{2} \\
\mathbf{0} & J
\end{array}\right]
$$

By the following change of basis: $x_{5} \rightarrow x_{5}+\left(B_{2}\right)_{12} x_{3}+\left(B_{2}\right)_{22} x_{4}$, we can assume $\left(B_{2}\right)_{12}=\left(B_{2}\right)_{22}=0$. If so, the commutation of $\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{1}$ implies that

$$
\left(A_{2}\right)_{11}=\left(A_{2}\right)_{22},\left(A_{2}\right)_{12}=-\left(A_{2}\right)_{21}
$$

In the other words, we can assume that

$$
\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \nu & \theta \\
0 & 1 & -\theta & \nu \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \chi & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let's denote this Lie algebra by $L(\nu, \theta, \chi, \omega)$. Then, via the following change of basis:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{3} \rightarrow(\chi+\omega) x_{3}-(\chi-\omega) x_{4} \\
x_{4} \rightarrow(\chi-\omega) x_{3}+(\chi+\omega) x_{4} \\
x_{5} \rightarrow x_{5}-x_{6}+\chi x_{3}+\omega x_{4} \\
x_{6} \rightarrow x_{5}+x_{6}
\end{array} \quad\left(\text { if } \chi^{2}+\omega^{2} \neq 0\right)\right.
$$

we easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\nu, \theta, \chi, \omega) \cong L(\nu, \theta, 1,0) \quad\left(\text { if } \chi^{2}+\omega^{2} \neq 0\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that by basis changing: $x_{3} \rightarrow-x_{3}$ if necessary, we can assume that $\nu \geq 0$. Similarly, via the following change of basis:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{3} \rightarrow \nu x_{3}-\theta x_{4} \\
x_{4} \rightarrow \theta x_{3}+\nu x_{4},
\end{array} \quad\left(\text { if } \nu^{2}+\theta^{2} \neq 0\right)\right.
$$

we easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\nu, \theta, 0,0) \cong L(1,0,0,0) \quad\left(\text { if } \nu^{2}+\theta^{2} \neq 0\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In summary. we conclude from the equations (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$
L(\nu, \theta, \chi, \omega) \cong \begin{cases}L(0,0,0,0) & \text { if } \nu^{2}+\theta^{2}=\chi^{2}+\omega^{2}=0 \\ L(1,0,0,0) \\ L(\nu, \theta, 1,0) & \text { if } \left.\nu^{2}+\theta^{2} \neq 0, \text { with } \nu \geq 0\right) \\ \text { if } \chi^{2}+\omega^{2} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Remark that $L(1,0,0,0)$ and $L(\nu, \theta, 1,0)($ with $\nu \geq 0)$ are respectively isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}_{6,211}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{6,225}$ listed in [18]. While $L(0,0,0,0)$ belongs to the family $\mathfrak{s}_{6,226}$ listed in [18].
2. Case 2. Either $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{1}} \neq \boldsymbol{I}$ or $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathbf{1}} \neq \pm \boldsymbol{J}$. If so, we can assume that $A_{2}=B_{2}=\mathbf{0}$ [Lemma 3.3], or

$$
\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{1}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda I+\eta J & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & I
\end{array}\right],\left[\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mu I+\zeta J & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & J
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \eta^{2}+\zeta^{2} \neq 0
$$

Let's denote the corresponding Lie algebra as $L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta)$. Then for any $F=a_{1} x_{1}^{*}+\cdots+a_{6} x_{6}^{*} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$, we have

$$
P_{F}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda a_{3}-\eta a_{4} & \eta a_{3}+\lambda a_{4} & a_{5} & a_{6} \\
\mu a_{3}-\zeta a_{4} & \zeta a_{3}+\mu a_{4} & -a_{6} & a_{5}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{F}\right)=2$ for any $F \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$ with $\left.F\right|_{\mathcal{G}^{1}} \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda \zeta-\mu \eta \neq 0$. In the other words, $L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta)$ is an $\mathrm{MD}_{4}(6)$-algebra if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \zeta-\mu \eta \neq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by the following change of basis:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda \zeta-\mu \eta}\left(\zeta x_{1}-\eta x_{2}\right) \\
x_{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda \zeta-\mu \eta}\left(-\mu x_{1}+\lambda x_{2}\right) \\
x_{3} \leftrightarrow x_{5} \\
x_{4} \leftrightarrow x_{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \cong L\left(\frac{\zeta}{\lambda \zeta-\mu \eta},-\frac{\eta}{\lambda \zeta-\mu \eta},-\frac{\mu}{\lambda \zeta-\mu \eta}, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda \zeta-\mu \eta}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by the following change of basis: $x_{4} \rightarrow-x_{4}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \cong L(\lambda,-\eta, \mu,-\zeta) ; \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the following change of basis:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
x_{2} \rightarrow & -x_{2} \\
x_{4} \rightarrow & -x_{4} \\
x_{5} \rightarrow & x_{6} \\
x_{6} \rightarrow & x_{5}
\end{array},\right.
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \cong L(\lambda,-\eta,-\mu, \zeta) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If $\eta=0$ then it follows from the equation (3.4) that $\lambda \zeta \neq 0$. Hence, the equation (3.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\lambda, 0, \mu, \zeta) \cong L\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}, 0, \frac{-\mu}{\lambda \zeta}, \frac{1}{\zeta}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining the equations (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

$$
L(\lambda, 0, \mu, \zeta) \cong L\left(\lambda^{\prime}, 0, \mu^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $0<\zeta^{\prime} \leq 1, \mu^{\prime} \geq 0, \lambda^{\prime} \neq 0$; and if $\zeta^{\prime}=1$ then $\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$. This class of MD-algebras coincides with the family $\mathfrak{s}_{6,226}$ in [18], except some non MD-algebras cases. Hence, we also use the notation $\mathfrak{s}_{6,226}$ to denote this class.

- If $\eta \neq 0$ then, by the same manner, we obtain

$$
L(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \zeta) \cong L\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime}>0$ and $\mu^{\prime} \geq 0$. This class of MD-algebras coincides with the family $\mathfrak{s}_{6,228}$ in [18], except some non MD-algebras cases. Hence, we also denote this class by $\mathfrak{s}_{6,228}$. The proof is completed.
4. One-step solvable $\mathrm{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebras which have low-dimensional derived algebras. In order to obtain a complete classification of 1-step solvable $\mathrm{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebras, we need to solve the problem for $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1} \leq 2$. The classification of Lie algebras which have low-dimensional derived algebras has been studied by T. Janisse [9], C. Schöbel [16], Vu A. L. et al. [24], F. Levstein \& A. L. Tiraboschi [12], and C. Bartolone et al. [2].

Proposition 4.1 ( $[9,16,24])$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a real $n$-dimensional Lie algebra with $n \geq 5$.

- If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1} \leq 2$ then $\mathcal{G}^{1}$ is commutative.
- If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=1$ then $\mathcal{G}$ is an trivial extension of either $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathfrak{h}_{2 m+1}(n \geq 2 m+1, m \geq 1)$
- If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=2$ and $\mathcal{G}^{1}$ is not completely contained in the centre $C(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the following forms:
(i) $\mathcal{G}_{5+2 k}:=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{5+2 k}\right\rangle(n=5+2 k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ with $\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=x_{1}$ and

$$
\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]=\left[x_{4}, x_{5}\right]=\cdots=\left[x_{4+2 k}, x_{5+2 k}\right]=x_{2}
$$

(ii) $\mathcal{G}_{6+2 k, 1}:=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6+2 k}\right\rangle(n=6+2 k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ with $\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]=x_{1}$ and

$$
\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=\left[x_{5}, x_{6}\right]=\cdots=\left[x_{5+2 k}, x_{6+2 k}\right]=x_{2}
$$

(iii) $\mathcal{G}_{6+2 k, 2}:=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6+2 k}\right\rangle(n=6+2 k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ with $\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=x_{1}$ and

$$
\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]=\left[x_{5}, x_{6}\right]=\cdots=\left[x_{5+2 k}, x_{6+2 k}\right]=x_{2}
$$

(iv) $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2 m+1}(m \geq 1)$.
(v) A trivial extension of one of Lie algebras listed above in (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv).
(vi) A trivial extension of $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R})$.
(vii) A trivial extension of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$ of dimension less than 5 such that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}^{1}=2$ and $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ is not contained in the centre of $\mathcal{H}$.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}_{5+2 k}, \mathcal{G}_{6+2 k, 1}, \mathcal{G}_{6+2 k, 2}, \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2 m+1}$ and any trivial extension of aff $(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R})$ listed above are not MD-algebras for every $k$. For example, $\mathcal{G}_{5+2 k}$ has a coadjoint orbit of dimension 2 and a coadjoint orbit of dimension $4+2 k$ :

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{x_{1}^{*}}=2, \operatorname{dim} \Omega_{x_{2}^{*}}=4+2 k
$$

Corollary 4.2. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an $M D_{n-2}(n)$-algebra with $n \geq 6$.

- If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=1$ then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{2 m+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ where $m=\frac{n-2}{2}$.
- If $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=2 \\ \mathcal{G}^{1} \nsubseteq C(\mathcal{G})\end{array} \quad\right.$ then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Now, we will investigate the remaining case:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=2 \\
\mathcal{G}^{1} \subseteq C(\mathcal{G})
\end{array}\right.
$$

Firstly, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{G}^{1} \subseteq C(\mathcal{G})$ if and only if $\mathcal{G}$ is 2 -step nilpotent, i.e. $\mathcal{G}_{2}:=[[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}], \mathcal{G}]$ is trivial (a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra is also called a metabelian Lie algebra).

Because $\mathcal{G}$ is 2-step nilpotent with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=2$, there is a basis $\mathfrak{b}:=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{G}^{1}=\left\langle x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\left[x_{i}, x_{n-1}\right]=\left[x_{i}, x_{n}\right]=0$ for all $i$. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}$ determines a pair of $(n-2) \times(n-2)$ skew-symmetric matrices $(M, N)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M)_{i j}:=x_{n-1}^{*}\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right) ;(N)_{i j}:=x_{n}^{*}\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=2, M$ and $N$ are linearly independent in the sense that there is no $(0,0) \neq(\alpha, \beta)$ such that $\alpha M+\beta N=\mathbf{0}$. The matrices $(M, N)$ are called the associated matrices of $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to the basis $\mathfrak{b}$ (we
also say that $\mathcal{G}$ is associated by the matrices $(M, N)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}$ ). Conversely, Let ( $M, N$ ) be any pair of skew-symmetric matrices of size $(n-2) \times(n-2)$ which are linearly independent. Then we can define a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of dimension $n$ as follows: $\mathcal{G}$ is spanned by a basis $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, and the Lie brackets are defined via that basis as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[x_{i}, x_{n-1}\right]=\left[x_{i}, x_{n}\right]=0} & 1 \leq i \leq n \\ {\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]=(M)_{i j} x_{n-1}+(N)_{i j} x_{n}} & 1 \leq i, j \leq n-2 .\end{cases}
$$

In 1999, F. Levstein \& A. L. Tiraboschi [12] proved the corresponding between the isomorphism of two such 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras with the (strict) congruence of vector spaces spanned by their associated matrices, as stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. [12] Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ be two 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras which have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{\prime 1}=$ 2. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ are associated (with respect to some bases) with ( $M, N$ ) and ( $M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ ) respectively. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ if and only if there is a nonsingular matrix $T$ so that

$$
T \cdot\langle M, N\rangle \cdot T^{t}=\left\langle M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

In particular, if the pencils $M-\rho N$ and $M^{\prime}-\rho N^{\prime}$ are strictly congruent, i.e. there is a nonsingular matrix $T$ (which does not depend on $\rho$ ) so that $T(M-\rho N) T^{t}=M^{\prime}-\rho N^{\prime}$, then their associated Lie algebras are isomorphic. Although the converse of the later statement is not true in general, but the statement is still useful to classify Lie algebras in this paper. The classification (up to strict congruence) of pencils of complex/real matrices which are either symmetric or skew-symmetric was solved by R. C. Thompson [19] (the skew-symmetric case was classified in [15]). Because we are concerning with real skew-symmetric matrices, we will state his theorem for the case of pencils of real skew-symmetric matrices only.

Proposition 4.4. [19, Theorem 2] Let $A$ and $B$ be real skew-symmetric matrices. Then a simultaneous (real) congruence of $A$ and $B$ exists reducing $A-\rho B$ to a direct sum of types $m, \infty, \alpha$, and $\beta$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & L_{e}(\rho) \\
-L_{e}(\rho)^{t} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right], \infty:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \Delta_{f}-\rho \Lambda_{f} \\
-\Delta_{f}+\rho \Lambda_{f} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \alpha:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{0} & (a-\rho) \Delta_{g}+\Lambda_{g} \\
(a+\rho) \Delta_{g}-\Lambda_{g} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right], \beta:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \Gamma_{h}(\rho) \\
-\Gamma_{h}(\rho) & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with
and
for some $a, c, d \in \mathbb{R}: c \neq 0$.

We can now return to the problem of classification of such 2-step nilpotent MD-algebras. According to Proposition 2.2, $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{F}=\operatorname{rank}\left(F\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)\right)_{n \times n}$ for every $0 \neq F:=\lambda x_{n-1}^{*}+\mu x_{n}^{*} \in \mathcal{G}^{*}$. Hence, $\mathcal{G}$ is an $\operatorname{MD}_{k}(n)$-algebra if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(\lambda M+\mu N)=k$ for every $(0,0) \neq(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Moreover, the type $\beta$ is the unique nonsingular type among the types $m, \infty, \alpha, \beta$ in the sense that every non-zero matrix of the type $\beta$ is nonsingular. This proves the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 2-step nilpotent $M_{n-2}(n)$-algebra such that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=2$. Then there is a basis $\mathfrak{b}:=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ so that $\left[x_{i}, x_{n-1}\right]=\left[x_{i}, x_{n}\right]=0$ for every $i$ and the associated pencil of $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}$ is equal to a direct sum of matrices of the form $\beta$ defined in Proposition 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a 2-step nilpotent $M D_{n-2}(n)$-algebra which has $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=2$ then $n-2$ is divisible by 4.

Proof. It is straightforward from the fact that the type $\beta$ is of the size $(2 g) \times(2 g)$ where 2 divides $g$.

Now, we will give illustrations for $n=6$ and $n=10$.

- Let $n=6$. Then there is a basis $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{6}$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{6}^{1}=\left\langle x_{5}, x_{6}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\left(x_{5}^{*}\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)\right)_{4 \times 4}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & b & a \\
0 & 0 & a & -b \\
-b & -a & 0 & 0 \\
-a & b & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad\left(x_{6}^{*}\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)\right)_{4 \times 4}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some non-zero $b \in \mathbb{R}$. By applying the change of basis:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{5} \rightarrow b x_{5} \\
x_{6} \rightarrow a x_{5}-x_{6},
\end{array}\right.
$$

we can assume $a=0$ and $b=1$. This Lie algebra is denoted as $\mathfrak{n}_{6,3}$ in [18].

- Let $n=10$. Then there is a basis $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{10}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{G}^{1}=\left\langle x_{9}, x_{10}\right\rangle$ and the associated pencil $M-\rho N:=\left(x_{9}^{*}\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)\right)_{8 \times 8}-\rho\left(x_{10}^{*}\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)\right)_{8 \times 8}$ is either a direct sum of two $4 \times 4$ blocks of
the type $\beta$ or just an $8 \times 8$ matrix of the type $\beta$. Hence, we have either

$$
M-\rho N=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & b_{1} & a_{1}-\rho \\
0 & 0 & a_{1}-\rho & -b_{1} & & & & \\
-b_{1} & -a_{1}+\rho & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
-a_{1}+\rho & b_{1} & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
& & & & 0 & 0 & b_{2} & a_{2}-\rho \\
& & & & 0 & 0 & a_{2}-\rho & -b_{2} \\
& & & & -b_{2} & -a_{2}-\rho & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & -a_{2}-\rho & b_{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

or

$$
M-\rho N=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{1} & a_{1}-\rho \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1}-\rho & -b_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{1} & a_{1}-\rho & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1}-\rho & -b_{1} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -b_{1} & -a_{1}-\rho & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -a_{1}-\rho & b_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-b_{1} & -a_{1}-\rho & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-a_{1}-\rho & b_{1} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some non-zero $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the following forms:
(i) $\mathcal{G}_{10,1}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}\right):=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{10}\right\rangle$ with $\left[x_{i}, x_{9}\right]=\left[x_{i}, x_{10}\right]=0$ for all $i$ and

|  | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | 0 | $b_{1} x_{9}$ | $a_{1} x_{9}-x_{10}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{2}$ |  | $a_{1} x_{9}-x_{10}$ | $-b_{1} x_{9}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{3}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{4}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{5}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 | $b_{2} x_{9}$ | $a_{2} x_{9}-x_{10}$ |
| $x_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $a_{2} x_{9}-x_{10}$ | $-b_{2} x_{9}$ |
| $x_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

$$
\left(b_{1} b_{2} \neq 0\right)
$$

If so, by the change of basis:

$$
x_{i} \leftrightarrow x_{i+4}: i \in\{1,2,3,4\},
$$

we easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{10,1}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \cong \mathcal{G}_{10,1}\left(a_{2}, b_{2}, a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by the following change of basis:

$$
\begin{cases}x_{10} & \rightarrow-a_{1} x_{9}+x_{10} \\ x_{9} & \rightarrow b_{1} x_{9}\end{cases}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{10,1}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \cong \mathcal{G}_{10,1}\left(0,1, \frac{a_{2}-a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}\right) . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude from the isomorphism (4.10) that we always can assume $0<\left|b_{2}\right| \leq\left|b_{1}\right|$, and from the isomorphism (4.11) that $a_{1}=0, b_{1}=1$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{G}_{10,1}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \cong \mathcal{G}_{10,1}(0,1, \mu, \lambda) \quad(0<|\lambda| \leq 1)
$$

(ii) $\mathcal{G}_{10,2}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right):=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{10}\right\rangle$ with $\left[x_{i}, x_{9}\right]=\left[x_{i}, x_{10}\right]=0$ for all $i$ and

|  | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $b_{1} x_{9}$ | $a_{1} x_{9}-x_{10}$ |
| $x_{2}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $a_{1} x_{9}-x_{10}$ | $-b_{1} x_{9}$ |
| $x_{3}$ |  |  | 0 | $b_{1} x_{9}$ | $a_{1} x_{9}-x_{10}$ | 0 | $x_{9}$ |
| $x_{4}$ |  |  |  | $a_{1} x_{9}-x_{10}$ | $-b_{1} x_{9}$ | $x_{9}$ | 0 |
| $x_{5}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

By the change of basis: $x_{10} \rightarrow a_{1} x_{9}-x_{10}$, we easily see that

$$
\mathcal{G}_{10,2}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \cong \mathcal{G}_{10,2}(0, \lambda) \quad(\lambda \neq 0)
$$

In summary, we have proven the following theorem.
TheOrem 4.7. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an $M D_{n-2}(n)$-algebra of dimension $n \geq 6$ with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1} \leq 2$.

1. If $\mathcal{G}$ is not 2-step nilpotent, i.e. $\left[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^{1}\right] \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2} \oplus \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C})$ or isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2 m+1}$ where $2 m=n-2$.
2. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra then $n=4 k+2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and the associated pencil of $\mathcal{G}$ is a direct sum of type $\beta$.
3. If $n=6$ then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{n}_{6,1}$ defined in [18].
4. If $n=10$ then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the following families: $\mathcal{G}_{10,1}(0,1, \mu, \lambda)(0<|\lambda| \leq 1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{10,2}(0, \lambda)(\lambda \neq 0)$.
5. Two-step solvable $\operatorname{MD}_{\boldsymbol{n - 2}}(\boldsymbol{n})$-algebras. Finally, to complete the classification of $\mathrm{MD}_{n-2}(n)$ algebras, we only need to classify 2-step solvable $\operatorname{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebras. Surprising, such a Lie algebra is decomposable and has dimension exactly 6 .

THEOREM 5.1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 2-step solvable real Lie-algebra whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of codimension 2. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{5,45}$.

Proof. Recall that for every $x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}$, we have:

$$
[[x, y], z]=[x,[y, z]]-[y,[x, z]] .
$$

It follows that

$$
\operatorname{ad}_{x} \operatorname{ad}_{y}-\operatorname{ad}_{y} \operatorname{ad}_{x}=\operatorname{ad}_{[x, y]}
$$

Hence, for every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{trace}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{x}\right)=\operatorname{trace}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{x}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{trace}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{x}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Theorem 3.5 in [8], $1 \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{2} \leq 2$. Therefore, we will divide the proof into two cases:

- Case 1: $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{2}=\mathbf{2}$. If so, $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{2}$ is a 1 -step solvable Lie algebra whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of the same dimension as $\mathcal{H}\left[8\right.$, Theorem 3.5]. In the other words, $\mathcal{H}$ is an $\operatorname{MD}_{n}(n)-$ algebra. According to Proposition $2.12, \mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to either $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R})$ or $\operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G} \geq 6$, $\mathcal{H} \cong \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C})$. It implies the existence of a basis $\mathfrak{b}:=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}^{1} & =\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle, \quad \mathcal{G}^{2}=\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle \\
\mathcal{H} & =\left\langle\overline{x_{1}}, \overline{x_{2}}, \overline{y_{1}}, \overline{y_{2}}\right\rangle \cong \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left[\overline{x_{1}}, \overline{y_{1}}\right]=\overline{y_{1}},\left[\overline{x_{1}}, \overline{y_{2}}\right]=\overline{y_{2}} \text { and }\left[\overline{x_{2}}, \overline{y_{1}}\right]=\overline{y_{2}},\left[\overline{x_{2}}, \overline{y_{2}}\right]=-\overline{y_{1}} .
$$

Since $\mathcal{G}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{2}$ are both ideals of $\mathcal{G}$, the Lie brackets in $\mathcal{G}$ can be determined as follows:

|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | 0 | $\lambda_{1} z_{1}+\lambda_{2} z_{2}$ | $y_{1}+\lambda_{3} z_{1}+\lambda_{4} z_{2}$ | $y_{2}+\lambda_{5} z_{1}+\lambda_{6} z_{2}$ | $\lambda_{7} z_{1}+\lambda_{8} z_{2}$ | $\lambda_{9} z_{1}+\lambda_{10} z_{2}$ |
| $x_{2}$ |  | 0 | $y_{2}+\lambda_{11} z_{1}+\lambda_{12} z_{2}$ | $-y_{1}+\lambda_{13} z_{1}+\lambda_{14} z_{2}$ | $\lambda_{15} z_{1}+\lambda_{16} z_{2}$ | $\lambda_{17} z_{1}+\lambda_{18} z_{2}$ |
| $y_{1}$ |  |  | 0 | $\lambda_{19} z_{1}+\lambda_{20} z_{2}$ | $\lambda_{21} z_{1}+\lambda_{22} z_{2}$ | $\lambda_{23} z_{1}+\lambda_{24} z_{2}$ |
| $y_{2}$ |  |  |  | 0 | $\lambda_{25} z_{1}+\lambda_{26} z_{2}$ | $\lambda_{27} z_{1}+\lambda_{28} z_{2}$ |
| $z_{1}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |

Since $\mathcal{G}^{2}$ is commutative, we can obtain directly from the Jacobi identity that $\operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2} \operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2}=\operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2} \operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2}$. By Proposition 2.8, we can assume that $\left[\operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $\left[\operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}$ are both either of the diagonal form or of the form $a I+b J$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$
\text { either }\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ [ \operatorname { a d } _ { y _ { 1 } } ^ { 2 } ] _ { \mathfrak { b } } = [ \begin{array} { l l } 
{ a } & { 0 } \\
{ 0 } & { b }
\end{array} ] } \\
{ [ \operatorname { a d } _ { y _ { 2 } } ^ { 2 } ] _ { \mathfrak { b } } = [ \begin{array} { l l } 
{ c } & { 0 } \\
{ 0 } & { d }
\end{array} ] }
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
-b & a
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c & d \\
-d & c
\end{array}\right] .}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Moreover, it follows from the equation (5.12) that

$$
\operatorname{trace}\left(\operatorname{ad} y_{1}\right)=\operatorname{trace}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2}\right)=0
$$

It turns out that

$$
\text { either }\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ [ \operatorname { a d } _ { y _ { 1 } } ^ { 2 } ] _ { \mathfrak { b } } = [ \begin{array} { c c } 
{ a } & { 0 } \\
{ 0 } & { - a }
\end{array} ] } \\
{ [ \operatorname { a d } _ { y _ { 2 } } ^ { 2 } ] _ { \mathfrak { b } } = [ \begin{array} { c c } 
{ c } & { 0 } \\
{ 0 } & { - c }
\end{array} ] }
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b \\
-b & 0
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & d \\
-d & 0
\end{array}\right] .}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

In both cases, there is $(0,0) \neq(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ so that $\lambda \operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2}+\mu \mathrm{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2}=\mathbf{0}$. Now, by applying the Jacobi identity to $\left(x_{2}, \lambda y_{1}+\mu y_{2}, z\right)$ for any $z \in \mathcal{G}^{2}$, we easily see that

$$
\mathbf{0}=\operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{2} \operatorname{ad}_{\lambda y_{1}+\mu y_{2}}^{2}-\operatorname{ad}_{\lambda y_{1}+\mu y_{2}}^{2} \operatorname{ad}_{x_{2}}^{2}=\operatorname{ad}_{\left[x_{2}, \lambda y_{1}+\mu y_{2}\right]}^{2}=-\mu \operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2}+\lambda \operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lambda \operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2}+\mu \operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2}=-\mu \operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2}+\lambda \operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2}=\mathbf{0}
$$

This clearly forces $\operatorname{ad}_{y_{1}}^{2}=\operatorname{ad}_{y_{2}}^{2}=\mathbf{0}$, and consequently $\mathcal{G}^{2}$ is spanned by $\left\{\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right\}$, a contradiction to $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{2}=2$. Hence, this case is excluded.

- Case 2: $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{2}=1$. If so, $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}^{2}$ is a 1 -step solvable Lie-algebra whose non-zero coadjoint orbits are of codimension 1. It follows from Proposition 2.11 that $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to one of the followings: $\mathfrak{h}_{2 m+1}, \mathbb{R} \oplus \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathfrak{h}_{2 m+1}$ then $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{1}=2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}^{2}=1$. This is impossible because $\mathcal{G}^{1}$ is nilpotent. Hence, $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C})$.
Equivalently, we can fix a basis $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, z\right\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ so that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{G}^{1}=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\rangle, \quad \mathcal{G}^{2}=\langle z\rangle, \\
\mathcal{H}=\left\langle\overline{y_{3}}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\overline{x_{1}}, \overline{x_{2}}, \overline{y_{1}}, \overline{y_{2}}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the Lie brackets in $\mathcal{H}$ are the same as those in $\mathbb{R} \oplus \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{C})$, i.e.

$$
\left[\overline{x_{1}}, \overline{y_{1}}\right]=\overline{y_{1}},\left[\overline{x_{1}}, \overline{y_{2}}\right]=\overline{y_{2}} \text { and }\left[\overline{x_{2}}, \overline{y_{1}}\right]=\overline{y_{2}},\left[\overline{x_{2}}, \overline{y_{2}}\right]=-\overline{y_{1}} .
$$

It implies that the Lie brackets in $\mathcal{G}$ must have the form

|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ | $y_{3}$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ |  | $\lambda_{1} z$ | $y_{1}+\lambda_{2} z$ | $y_{2}+\lambda_{3} z$ | $\lambda_{4} z$ | $\lambda_{5} z$ |
| $x_{2}$ |  |  | $y_{2}+\lambda_{6} z$ | $-y_{1}+\lambda_{7} z$ | $\lambda_{8} z$ | $\lambda_{9} z$ |
| $y_{1}$ |  |  |  | $\lambda_{10} z$ | $\lambda_{11} z$ | $\lambda_{12} z$ |
| $y_{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $\lambda_{13} z$ | $\lambda_{14} z$ |
| $y_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\lambda_{15} z$ |

If so, it follows from the equation (5.12) that

$$
\lambda_{12}=\lambda_{14}=0
$$

This means $\left[y_{1}, z\right]=\left[y_{2}, z\right]=0$. Because $\mathcal{G}^{2} \neq\{0\}$, we must have $\lambda_{10} \neq 0$. By basis changing $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda_{10}} z$, we may assume $\lambda_{10}=1$.
Now, by checking the Jacobi identity to the following triples $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) ;\left(x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) ;\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$; $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{3}\right) ;\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{3}\right)$; and $\left(x_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$; we obtain

$$
\lambda_{5}=2, \lambda_{9}=\lambda_{15}=2 \lambda_{8}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{15}=\lambda_{11}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{15}=\lambda_{13}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{15}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\lambda_{5}=2, \lambda_{8}=\lambda_{9}=\lambda_{11}=\lambda_{12}=\lambda_{13}=\lambda_{14}=\lambda_{15}=0
$$

By basis changing $y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}-\frac{\lambda_{4}}{2} z$ if necessary, we get $\mathcal{G}$ decomposable. In the other words, $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of $\mathbb{R}$ with a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is 2 -step solvable, so is $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$. Furthermore, non-zero coadjoint orbits of $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ have the same dimension [8, Theorem 3.1]. In the other words, $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ is a 2 -step solvable MD-algebra whose non-trivial coadjoint orbits are all of codimension 1. According to Proposition 2.11, $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ must be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}_{5,45}$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{5,45}$. This completes the proof.
6. Concluding Remarks. In summary, the paper has introduced the classification of $\mathrm{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-class with $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$. There are 14 different $\operatorname{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebras (up to an isomorphism) of dimension $n<5$ listed in Table 1. The subclass of all 2-step nilpotent $\operatorname{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebras with $n \geq 6$ is classified by canonical forms of associated pencils of matrices, in which algebras of dimension $n \leq 10$ are listed in Table 3. The remaining subclass of $\mathrm{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebras is classified (up to an isomorphism) and listed in Table 2. In the following tables, $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is used to denote a basis of corresponding $\operatorname{MD}_{n-2}(n)$-algebra $\mathcal{G}$.

Table 1
List of all $M D_{n-2}(n)$-algebras with $n=2,4$.

| $n$ | Algebras | Non-trivial Lie brackets | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ | - |  |
| 4 | $\mathfrak{n}_{4,1}$ | $\left[x_{2}, x_{4}\right]=x_{1},\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=x_{2}$ |  |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{4,1}$ | $\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right]=x_{1},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right]=x_{3}$ |  |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{4,2}$ | $\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right]=x_{1},\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right]=x_{2}+x_{3}$ |  |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{4,3}$ | $\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right]=x_{1},\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right]=\alpha x_{2},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right]=\beta x_{3}$ | $0<\|\beta\| \leq\|\alpha\| \leq 1,(\alpha, \beta) \neq(-1,-1)$ |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{4,4}$ | $\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right]=x_{1},\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right]=\alpha x_{3}$ | $\alpha \neq 0$ |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{4,5}$ | $\left[x_{4}, x_{1}\right]=\alpha x_{1},\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right]=\beta x_{2}-x_{3},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right]=x_{2}+\beta x_{3}$ | $\alpha>0$ |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{4,6}$ | $\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right]=x_{2},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right]=-x_{3}$ |  |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{4,7}$ | $\left[x_{4}, x_{2}\right]=-x_{3},\left[x_{4}, x_{3}\right]=x_{2}$ |  |
|  | aff(R) $) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2}$ | $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=x_{2}$ |  |
|  | $\mathfrak{n}_{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=x_{1}$ |  |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{3,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]=x_{1},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right]=\alpha x_{2}$ | $0<\|\alpha\| \leq 1$ |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{3,2} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]=x_{1},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2}$ |  |
|  | $\mathfrak{s}_{3,3} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\left[x_{3}, x_{1}\right]=x_{1}-x_{2},\left[x_{3}, x_{2}\right]=x_{1}+\alpha x_{2}$ | $\alpha \geq 0$ |

Table 2
List of all $M D_{n-2}(n)$-algebras with $n \geq 6$ which are not 2-step nilpotent.


Table 3
List of all 2-step nilpotent $M D_{n-2}(n)$-algebras with $6 \leq n \leq 10$.

| $n$ | Algebras | Non-trivial Lie brackets |  |  |  |  |  | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\mathfrak{n}_{6,1}$ | $\left[x_{4}, x_{5}\right]=x_{2},\left[x_{4}, x_{6}\right]=x_{3},\left[x_{5}, x_{6}\right]=x_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | There is no $\mathrm{MD}_{6}(8)$-algebra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\mathcal{G}_{10,1}(0,1, \mu, \lambda)$ | $[\cdot, \cdot]$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{7}$ |  | $x_{8}$ | $0<\|\lambda\| \leq 1$ |
|  |  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{9}$ | $-x_{10}$ | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | $x_{2}$ | $-x_{10}$ | $-x_{9}$ | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | $x_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | $\lambda x_{9}$ |  | $\mu x_{9}-x_{10}$ |  |
|  |  | $x_{6}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mu x_{9}-x_{10}$ |  | $-\lambda x_{9}$ |  |
|  | $\mathcal{G}_{10,2}(0, \lambda)$ | $[\cdot, \cdot]$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ |  | $\lambda \neq 0$ |
|  |  | $x_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $\lambda x_{9}$ | $-x_{10}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $x_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $-x_{10}$ | $-\lambda x_{9}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $x_{3}$ | $\lambda x_{9}$ | $-x_{10}$ | 0 | $x_{9}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $x_{4}$ | $-x_{10}$ | $-\lambda x_{9}$ | $x_{9}$ | 0 |  |  |
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