# A NOTE ON THE NUMBER OF COMPLEX ROOTS OF A POLYNOMIAL OF ONE VARIABLE 
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#### Abstract

The purpose of this article is twofold. The first aim is to find the number of complex solutions of a polynomial of one variable counting multiplicity. Then, our second aim is to approximate these solutions.


## 1 Introduction

From the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, we deduce that every non-constant, single variable, degree $n$ polynomial with real coefficients has counted with multiplicity, exactly $n$ complex roots (see [3]). Mathematicians found the formula for the solution of a polynomial of degree less than 5 (see [2]). However, for an equation of degree greater than or equal to 5 , we can't find a general formula of roots by radicals (see [4]). In this article, we want to find the number of complex solutions of a polynomial of one variable counting multiplicity. Then, we can approximate these solutions numerically. First of all, we list here some results about the information on the roots of a polynomial see for example $[3,6]$.

Theorem 1.1 (Descartes' Rule [3]). The number of positive real roots of an equation with real coefficients is either equal to the number of its variations of sign or is less than that number by a positive even integer. A root of multiplicity m is here counted as m roots.

This rule can be derived as a corollary of the Budan's Theorem below.
Theorem 1.2 (Budan's Theorem [3]). Let $a$ and $b$ be real numbers, $a<b$, neither a root of $f(x)=0$, an equation of degree $n$ with real coefficients. Let $V_{a}$ denote the number of variations of the sign of

$$
f(x) ; f^{\prime}(x) ; f^{\prime \prime}(x) ; \ldots ; f^{(n)}(x)
$$

for $x=a$, after vanishing terms have been deleted. Then $V_{a}-V_{b}$ is either the number of real roots of $f(x)=0$ between a and b or exceeds the number of those roots by a positive even integer. A root of multiplicity $m$ is here counted as $m$ roots.

Given a square-free polynomial $f(x)$, denote:

- $f_{0}(x)=f(x)$.
- $f_{1}(x)=f^{\prime}(x)$.
- $f_{2}(x)=-\operatorname{rem}\left(f_{0}(x), f_{1}(x)\right)=f_{1}(x) q_{0}(x)-f_{0}(x)$, where $\operatorname{rem}\left(f_{0}(x), f_{1}(x)\right)$ is the remainder when polynomial long division is used to divide $f_{0}(x)$ by $f_{1}(x)$, and $q_{0}(x)$ is the quotient of said long division.

[^0]- $f_{3}(x)=-\operatorname{rem}\left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right)=f_{2}(x) q_{1}(x)-f_{1}(x)$.
- In general, we define $f_{k}$ inductively as $-\operatorname{rem}\left(f_{k-2}(x), f_{k-1}(x)\right)=f_{k-1}(x) q_{k-2}(x)-f_{k-2}(x)$.
- Repeat this process until we arrive at some $m$ such that $\operatorname{rem}\left(f_{m-1}, f_{m}\right)=0$, where $f_{m}(x) \neq$ 0.

The finite sequence $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ is called a Sturm sequence or a Sturm chain. Using this definition, we can locate the distinct real roots of a polynomial.

Theorem 1.3 (Sturm theorem [6]). Let $f(x)=0$ be a polynomial equation and let

$$
f_{0}(x), f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{m}(x)
$$

be a Sturm sequence of the polynomial $f(x)$. Then for any two real numbers $a<b$, neither of which is a root of $f(x)=0$, the number of distinct roots of $f(x)=0$ lying between $a$ and $b$ equals the difference $g_{f}(a)-g_{f}(b)$ between the variations of the signs of the Sturm functions at $x=a$ and $x=b$.

## 2 Main result

In this section, we use the Sturm theorem to deduce a result on the number of complex solutions of a polynomial of one variable. For simplicity, for a polynomial $f(x)$ of degree $n$, we denote

- $R f$ : the number of real distinct roots of $f(x)$.
- $R^{k} f$ : the number of real roots of multiple greater than or equal to $k$ of $f(x)$.
- $C f$ : the number of complex distinct roots of $f(x)$.
- $C^{k} f$ : the number of complex roots of multiple greater than or equal to $k$ of $f(x)$.

We have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Consider $f(x) \in P_{n}[x]$ be a polymomial of degree $n \geq 1$. Let $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots f_{m}, f_{m+1}=$ 0 be its Sturm sequence. Then, if $f_{m}$ is a constant, then $f$ only has simple roots. If $f_{m}$ is a non-contant polynomial then $f$ has multiple roots. Denote $f_{m_{0}}, f_{m_{1}}, \ldots, f_{m_{s}}, f_{m_{s+1}}$ be the Sturm sequence of $f_{m}$. Then:

1. The number of real distinct roots of $f(x)$ is

$$
R^{1} f=R f=g_{f}(-\infty)-g_{f}(+\infty)=g_{f_{0} / f_{m}}(-\infty)-g_{f_{0} / f_{m}}(+\infty)
$$

2. The number of complex distinct roots of $f(x)$

$$
C^{1} f=C f=\operatorname{deg} \frac{f_{0}}{f_{m}}-g(-\infty)+g(+\infty)=n-\operatorname{deg} f_{m}-R f .
$$

3. The number of real roots of $f(x)$ of multiplicity 1 is

$$
g(-\infty)-g(+\infty)-g_{f_{m}}(-\infty)+g_{f_{m}}(+\infty)=R f-R f_{m}
$$

where $g_{f_{m}}(x)$ the number of changes sign of Sturm sequence of $f_{m}(x)$.
4. The number of complex roots of multiple greater than or equal to 1 of $f(x)$ is

$$
n-2 \operatorname{deg} f_{m}+\operatorname{deg} f_{m_{s}}-R^{1} f+R^{1} f m
$$

where $f_{m_{0}}, f_{m_{1}}, \ldots, f_{m_{s}}, f_{m_{(s+1)}}=0$ is Sturm sequence of $f_{m}$.
Proof. 1. This is derived directly from the Sturm theorem since the multiplicity does not affect the difference $g_{f}(-\infty)-g_{f}(+\infty)$.
2. Since the polynomial $f_{0} / f_{m}$ only contains simple roots of $f$ so the numbers of distinct complex roots of $f$ is

$$
C f=\operatorname{deg} \frac{f_{0}}{f_{m}}-g(-\infty)+g(+\infty)
$$

3. If $f_{m}$ (the greatest common divisor of $f$ and its derivative) is a non-constant polynomial then $f(x)$ has roots with multiple greater than 1 . We construct the Sturm sequence of $f_{m}$. Then $g_{f_{m}}(-\infty)+g_{f_{m}}(+\infty)$ is the numbers of distinct real roots of $f_{m}$. This is the number of roots with multiple greater than 1 of the $f(x)$. So, the number of real roots with multiplicity 1 is

$$
g(-\infty)-g(+\infty)-g_{f_{m}}(-\infty)+g_{f_{m}}(+\infty)=R f-R f_{m}
$$

4. The number of distinct complex roots (not counting multiplicity) of $f_{m}$ is

$$
C f_{m}=\operatorname{deg} \frac{f_{m}}{f_{m_{s}}}-g_{f_{m}}(-\infty)+g_{f_{m}}(+\infty)=\operatorname{deg} f_{m}-\operatorname{deg} f_{m_{s}}-R f_{m}
$$

This is the number of complex roots of multiplicity greater than or equal 2 of $f(x)$. Thus, the the number of complex roots of multiplicity 1 of $f(x)$ is:

$$
C f-C f_{m}=n-2 \operatorname{deg} f_{m}+\operatorname{deg} f_{m_{s}}-R^{1} f+R^{1} f m
$$

Remark 2.2. Continue the Sturm sequence of $f_{m_{s}}$ and so on, we will get the numbers of complex roots with higher multiplicity.

## 3 Numerical examples

In this section, we will use Newton's method ${ }^{2}$ and the modified Newton's methodto approximate the solution of $f(x)$. Newton's method is a root-finding algorithm that produces successively better approximations to the roots of a real-valued function. The most basic version starts with a real-valued function $f$, its derivative $f^{\prime}$, and an initial guess $x_{0}$ for a root of $f$. If $f$ satisfies certain assumptions and the initial guess is close, then

$$
x_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}
$$

[^1]is a better approximation of the root than $x_{0}$. The process is repeated as
$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$
until a sufficiently precise value is reached. If we know the multiplicity of roots, we can use the modified Newton's Method.

Theorem 3.1 ([5]). If $f$ is $(m+1)$-times continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$, which contains a root $r$ of multiplicity $m>1$, then Modified Newton's Method

$$
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{m f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

converges locally and quadratically to $r$.
Remark 3.2. When $m=1$, this theorem becomes the original Newton's Method.
Example 3.3. Consider the following polynomial of degree 8:

$$
f(x)=x^{8}+12 x^{7}+68 x^{6}+236 x^{5}+550 x^{4}+884 x^{3}+964 x^{2}+660 x+225=0 .
$$

The Sturm sequence of $f$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}(x)=f(x)=x^{8}+12 x^{7}+68 x^{6}+236 x^{5}+550 x^{4}+884 x^{3}+964 x^{2}+660 x+225 \\
& f_{1}(x)=f^{\prime}(x)=8 x^{7}+84 x^{6}+408 x^{5}+1180 x^{4}+2200 x^{3}+2652 x^{2}+1928 x+660 \\
& f_{2}(x)=-5 x^{6}-48 x^{5}-215 x^{4}-560 x^{3}-903 x^{2}-864 x-405 \\
& f_{3}(x)=x^{5}+5 x^{4}+10 x^{3}+6 x^{2}-7 x-15 \\
& f_{4}(x)=x^{4}+6 x^{3}+16 x^{2}+22 x+15 \\
& f_{5}(x)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following table:

| x | $f_{0}(x)$ | $f_{1}(x)$ | $f_{2}(x)$ | $f_{3}(x)$ | $f_{4}(x)$ | $g(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\infty$ | + | - | - | - | + | 2 |
| $\infty$ | + | + | - | + | + | 2 |

So, the number of distinct real roots of $f(x)$ is

$$
R f=g(-\infty)-g(+\infty)=2-2=0
$$

This means $f(x)$ has no real roots. So, all 8 roots are complex. We see that $f_{4}(x)$ is the greatest common divisor of $f$ and $f^{\prime}$. Thus, the number of distinct complex roots of $f(x)$ is

$$
C f=n-\operatorname{deg} f_{4}-R f=8-4-0=4 .
$$

Next, we consider the sturm sequence of $f_{4}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{4_{0}}(x)=f_{4}(x)=x^{4}+6 x^{3}+16 x^{2}+22 x+15 \\
& f_{4_{1}}(x)=4 x^{3}+18 x^{2}+32 x+22 \\
& f_{4_{2}}(x)=-\frac{5}{4} x^{2}-\frac{9}{2} x-\frac{27}{4} \\
& f_{4_{3}}(x)=\frac{64}{25} x-\frac{64}{25} \\
& f_{4_{3}}(x)=\frac{25}{2} \\
& f_{4_{4}}(x)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

| x | $f_{4_{0}}(x)$ | $f_{4_{1}}(x)$ | $f_{4_{2}}(x)$ | $f_{4_{3}}(x)$ | $f_{4_{4}}(x)$ | $g(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\infty$ | + | - | - | - | + | 2 |
| $\infty$ | + | + | - | + | + | 2 |

From the Sturm sequence of $f_{4}(x)$, we see that $f_{4}$ does not have multiple roots and from the table above we see that $f_{4}$ has no distinct real roots. Thus, $f_{4}$ have 4 distinct complex roots of the form: $a \pm i b$ và $c \pm i d$ where $(a, b) \neq(c, d)$.

To approximate solutions of $f$, we use the modified Newton's methodwith $m=2$. With intial guess $x_{0}=1+i$, after 10 iterations, we get the result $x_{10}=-2-i$. If we choose $x_{0}=i$ after 7 iterations, we get the result : $x_{7}=-1.0000+1.4142 i$. If we use the Newton method, we need 17 iteration to get the same result as shown in the following tables:

| k | Newton's method | Modified Newton's method |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $1+\mathrm{i}$ | $1+\mathrm{i}$ |
| 1 | $0.6210+0.9088 \mathrm{i}$ | $0.2420+0.8175 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 2 | $0.2766+0.8390 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.3888+0.7324 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 3 | $-0.0403+0.7925 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.9568+0.7888 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 4 | $-0.3364+0.7738 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.4765+1.1562 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 5 | $-0.6163+0.7919 \mathrm{i}$ | $-2.1792-0.7662 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 6 | $-0.8792+0.8633 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.9572-0.9262 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 7 |  | $-1.9989-1.0084 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 8 |  | $-1.9999-1.0000 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 9 |  | $-2.0000-1.0000 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 10 |  | $-2.0000-1.0000 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 17 | $-1.0000+1.4142 \mathrm{i}$ |  |
| 18 | $-1.0000+1.4142 \mathrm{i}$ |  |

and

| k | Newton's method | Modified Newton's Method |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | i | i |
| 1 | $-0.2800+0.9600 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.0334+1.0182 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 2 | $-0.5371+0.9555 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.2977+1.4562 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 3 | $-0.7684+0.9959 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.0302+1.2350 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 4 | $-0.9588+1.0925 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.0413+1.4598 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 5 | $-1.0662+1.2451 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.0048+1.4114 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 6 | $-1.0419+1.3617 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.0000+1.4142 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 7 | $-1.0190+1.3912 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.0000+1.4142 \mathrm{i}$ |
| 8 | $-1.0091+1.4033 \mathrm{i}$ |  |
| 9 | $-1.0045+1.4089 \mathrm{i}$ |  |
| 10 | $-1.0022+1.4116 \mathrm{i}$ |  |
| 17 | $-1.0000+1.4142 \mathrm{i}$ |  |
| 18 | $-1.0000+1.4142 \mathrm{i}$ |  |

All 4 solutions are sketched in the following figure:


Example 3.4. Consider the polynomial equation of degree 11:

$$
f(x)=x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{8}-2 x^{7}-2 x^{6}-2 x^{5}-2 x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=0,
$$

The Sturm sequence of $f(x)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}(x)=f(x)=x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{8}-2 x^{7}-2 x^{6}-2 x^{5}-2 x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1 \\
& f_{1}(x)=p^{\prime}(x)=11 x^{10}+10 x^{9}+9 x^{8}+8 x^{7}-14 x^{6}-12 x^{5}-10 x^{4}-8 x^{3}+3 x^{2}+2 x+1 \\
& f_{2}(x)=-x^{9}-2 x^{8}+8 x^{7}+8 x^{6}+10 x^{5}+12 x^{4}-8 x^{3}-8 x^{2}-9 x-10 \\
& f_{3}(x)=-x^{8}+2 x^{4}-1 \\
& f_{4}(x)=-x^{7}-x^{6}-x^{5}-x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1 \\
& f_{5}(x)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$



We have the following table:

| x | $f_{0}(x)$ | $f_{1}(x)$ | $f_{2}(x)$ | $f_{3}(x)$ | $f_{4}(x)$ | $g(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\infty$ | - | + | + | - | + | 3 |
| $\infty$ | + | + | - | - | - | 1 |

Thus, $f$ has $3-1=2$ distinct real solution (not counting multiplicity). The number of complex distinct roots of $f$ is

$$
11-7-2=2 \text {. }
$$

So $f$ has two complex roots (not counting multiplicity) of the form $a \pm i b$. To get the information about multiplicity, we consider the Sturm sequence of $f_{4}: f_{4}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{4_{0}}(x)=f_{4}(x)=-x^{7}-x^{6}-x^{5}-x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1 \\
& f_{4_{1}}(x)=f_{4}^{\prime}(x)=-7 x^{6}-6 x^{5}-5 x^{4}-4 x^{3}+3 x^{2}+2 x+1 \\
& f_{4_{2}}(x)=x^{5}+2 x^{4}-4 x^{3}-4 x^{2}-5 x-6 \\
& f_{4_{3}}(x)=x^{4}-1 \\
& f_{4_{4}}(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1 \\
& f_{4_{5}}(x)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have the following table:

| x | $f_{4_{0}}(x)$ | $f_{4_{1}}(x)$ | $f_{4_{2}}(x)$ | $f_{4_{3}}(x)$ | $f_{4_{4}}$ | $g(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\infty$ | + | - | - | + | 0 | 2 |
| $\infty$ | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 |

Thus, $f_{4}(x)$ has $2-0=2$ distinct real roots (not counting multiplicity). Then, we deduce that the roots $f$ have multiplicity greater than or equal to 2 . Then, $f$ has no simple real root and $f$ two complex roots with multiplicity greater than or equal to 2 . We can continue to calculate the Sturm sequence of $f_{4_{3}}$. However, in this particular case, we have $f_{4_{3}}(x)=\left(x^{2}+1\right)(x+1)$.Thus,
it has one simple root $x=-1$ and two complex roots $x= \pm i$. Therefore, $x=-1$ is a root with multiplicity 3 , and $x= \pm i$ are also roots with multiplicity 3 . The other real root is multiple 2. Then $f(x)=(x+i)^{3}(x-i)^{3}(x+1)^{3}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}$. Divide $f(x)$ by $(x+i)^{3}(x-i)^{3}(x+1)^{3}=$ $(x+1)^{3}(x+1)^{3}$ we derive $x_{0}=1$. So, we have all the roots

- $x=1$ (multiple 2$)$
- $x=-1$ (multiple 3 )
- $x= \pm i$ (multiple 3)

In the general case, when it is not easy to factor $f_{4_{3}}(x)$, we continue this process to get the result.

## 4 Conclusion

The main result of the article is to state the theorem for calculating the number of complex solutions with corresponding multiples of any $n$ degree algebraic equation. Then, we can accurately calculate the solution in special cases or approximate the solution with Newton's algorithm with known multiples.
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